

Generalized Szász-Mirakian type operators

Raksha Rani Agrawal and Nandita Gupta

Abstract. In this paper we propose certain modifications of Szász-Mirakian type operators and study their approximation properties. We also give a Voronovskaya type theorem for these operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 41A25, 41A36.

Keywords: Linear positive operators, Schurer type operator, Szász-Mirakian operators, Voronovskaya type theorem.

1. Introduction

Classical Szász-Mirakian operator is defined as

$$S_n(f; x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{(k)!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \quad (1.1)$$

with $x \in R_0 = [0, \infty)$, $n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $k \in N_0 = N \cup 0$ and $f \in C(R_0)$. The approximation behaviour of this operator for bounded functions is well known (see, e.g. [2, 8]). Hermann considered this operator on a much wider class, growing faster than exponentially. In 2005, Schurer[6, 7] type generalization was given by Moreno [4] for this operator 1.1.

$$S_{n,p}(f; x) = e^{-(n+p)x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^k}{(k)!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in R_0, n \in N, p \in N_0.$$

Later Firlej and Rempulska [3] introduced a modified Szász-Mirakian operator:

$$\bar{S}_n(f; x) = \frac{1}{\cosh(nx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^{2k}}{(2k)!} f\left(\frac{2k}{n}\right), \quad x \in R_0, n \in N.$$

Received 20 April 2022; Accepted 14 July 2023.

© Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

 This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.

Motivated by the above two modifications now we consider Szász-Mirakian type operators for $f \in C_B$

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(f; x) = \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} f\left(\frac{2k}{n}\right), x \in R_0, n \in N, p \in N_0. \quad (1.2)$$

and $\cosh x, \tanh x$ are elementary hyperbolic functions. Let

$$C_B^2 = \{f \in C_B \cap C^2(R_0) : f'; f'' \in C_B\}$$

be the space of real-valued functions uniformly continuous and bounded on $R_0 = [0; \infty)$ and let the norm in C_B be given by the formula

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in R_0} |f(x)|.$$

In the year 2008, Deo et al. [1] studied Voronovskaya type results for modified Bernstein operators. Now the purpose of this study is to give Voronovskaya type theorems for Schurer ([6, 7]) as well Firlej and Rempulska [3] type modification of Szász-Mirakian operators.

2. Auxiliary results

In this section we prove some results on $\widehat{S}_{n,p}$ that will help in establishing the main result.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $n \in N$ and $x \in R_0$ we have*

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(1; x) = 1, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t; x) = \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \tanh((n+p)x), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^2; x) = \frac{((n+p)x)^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} \tanh((n+p)x), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^3; x) = \frac{1}{n^3} \left[\{((n+p)x)^3 + (n+p)x\} \tanh((n+p)x) + 3((n+p)x)^2 \right], \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^4; x) = \frac{1}{n^4} \left[((n+p)x)^4 + \{6((n+p)x)^3 + (n+p)x\} \tanh((n+p)x) + 7((n+p)x)^2 \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. From (1.2) we can easily obtain (2.1) and

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t; x) = \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{2k}{n}\right) = \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \tanh((n+p)x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^2; x) &= \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{2k}{n}\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{\{(2k)(2k-1)+2k\}}{n^2} \\
&= \frac{((n+p)x)^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} \tanh((n+p)x) \\
\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^3; x) &= \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{2k}{n}\right)^3 \\
&= \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \frac{\{(2k)(2k-1)(2k-2)+6k(2k-1)+2k\}}{n^3} \\
&= \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \left[\frac{((n+p)x)^3 + (n+p)x}{n^3} \sinh((n+p)x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{3((n+p)x)^2}{n^3} \cosh((n+p)x) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n^3} [(n+p)x]^3 \tanh((n+p)x) + 3((n+p)x)^2 + (n+p)x \tanh((n+p)x) . \\
\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^4; x) &= \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{2k}{n}\right)^4 \\
&= \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!n^4} \left[(2k)(2k-1)(2k-2)(2k-3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 6(2k)(2k-1)(2k-2) + 7(2k)(2k-1) + 2k \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{n^4} [(n+p)x]^4 + (6(n+p)x)^3 + (n+p)x \tanh((n+p)x) + 7((n+p)x)^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using above Lemma 2.1, we shall prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *The following equalities hold for all $x \in R_0$ and $n \in N$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x) &= \frac{(n+p)x}{n} [\tanh((n+p)x) - 1] + \frac{px}{n} \\
\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x) &= \frac{(n+p)}{n} \left(2x^2 - \frac{x}{n}\right) (1 - \tanh(n+p)x) + \frac{(n+p)x + p^2x^2}{n^2} \\
\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^3; x) &= \frac{(n+p)}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{(n+p)^2}{n^2} x^3 + \frac{x}{n^2} - \frac{3x^2}{n} + 3x^3 \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{3(n+p)^2x^2}{n^3} - \frac{3x(n+p)^2x^2}{n^2} - x^3
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; x) \\ &= \frac{(n+p)}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{6(n+p)^2x^3}{n^3} - \frac{4(n+p)^2x^3}{n^2} + \frac{x}{n^3} - \frac{4x^2}{n^2} + \frac{6x^3}{n} - 4x^4 \right] \\ &+ \frac{((n+p)x)^4 + 7((n+p)x)^2 - 12xn((n+p)x)^2 + 6x^2n^2((n+p)x)^2 + x^4n^4}{n^4} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.1 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x) &= \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) - x \\ &= \frac{(n+p)x}{n} [\tanh((n+p)x) - 1] + \frac{px}{n} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x) &= \frac{((n+p)x)^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} \tanh((n+p)x) \\ &- 2x \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) + x^2 \\ &= \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} - 2x \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \right] + x^2 + \frac{((n+p)x)^2}{n^2} \\ &= \frac{(n+p)}{n} \left(2x^2 - \frac{x}{n} \right) (1 - \tanh(n+p)x) + \frac{(n+p)x + p^2x^2}{n^2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^3; x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^3} [((n+p)x)^3 \tanh((n+p)x) + 3((n+p)x)^2 + (n+p)x \tanh((n+p)x)] \\ &- 3x \left[\frac{((n+p)x)^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} \tanh((n+p)x) \right] \\ &+ 3 \frac{(n+p)x^3}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) - x^3 \\ &= \frac{(n+p)}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{(n+p)^2}{n^2} x^3 + \frac{x}{n^2} - \frac{3x^2}{n} + 3x^3 \right] \\ &+ \frac{3(n+p)^2x^2}{n^3} - \frac{3x(n+p)^2x^2}{n^2} - x^3 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; x) &= \widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^4; x) - 4x\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^3; x) + 6x^2\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t^2; x) - 4x^3\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t; x) + x^4\widehat{S}_{n,p}(1; x) \\ &= \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{(n+p)x + 6((n+p)x)^3}{n^4} - \frac{4x\{(n+p)x\}^3 + (n+p)x}{n^3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{6x^3(n+p) - 4x^4n(n+p)}{n^2} \right] \\ &+ \frac{((n+p)x)^4 + 7((n+p)x)^2 - 12xn((n+p)x)^2 + 6x^2n^2((n+p)x)^2 + x^4n^4}{n^4} \\ &= \frac{(n+p)}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{6(n+p)^2x^3}{n^3} - \frac{4(n+p)^2x^3}{n^2} + \frac{x}{n^3} - \frac{4x^2}{n^2} + \frac{6x^3}{n} - 4x^4 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{((n+p)x)^4 + 7((n+p)x)^2 - 12xn((n+p)x)^2 + 6x^2n^2((n+p)x)^2 + x^4n^4}{n^4} \quad (2.9)$$

□

In order to prove a Voronovskaya type theorem we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *For $n, r \in N, p \in N_0$ and $x \geq 0$, the following results hold*

$$(a) 0 \leq x^r(1 - \tanh((n+p)x)) \leq 2^{1-r}r!(n+p)^{-r}$$

$$(b) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [n \{\tanh((n+p)x) - 1\}] = 0$$

$$(c) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\tanh((n+p)x)\} = 1,$$

and

$$(d) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\{\tanh((n+p)x) - 1\}}{n} = 0$$

Proof. We shall use an inequality considered in (eq.(22), [5]) which says that, for $m, r \in N$ and $x \geq 0$,

$$0 \leq x^r(1 - \tanh mx) \leq 2^{1-r}r!m^{-r}$$

Now on replacing m by $n+p, n \in N, p \in N_0$ we get the desired result.

Following the technique used in Lemma 2 of [5], we easily obtain (b),(c) and (d). □

Lemma 2.4. *For every fixed $x \in R_0$, we have*

$$(i) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x) = px,$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x) = x,$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2.2 we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x) &= \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) - x \\ &= \frac{(n+p)x}{n} [\tanh((n+p)x) - 1] + \frac{px}{n} \\ &= x [\tanh((n+p)x) - 1] + \frac{px}{n} \tanh((n+p)x). \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$n\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x) = xn [\tanh((n+p)x) - 1] + px \tanh((n+p)x).$$

Using Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [xn \{\tanh((n+p)x) - 1\} + px \tanh((n+p)x)] \\ &= px. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x) = \frac{(n+p)}{n} \left(2x^2 - \frac{x}{n}\right) (1 - \tanh(n+p)x) + \frac{(n+p)x + p^2x^2}{n^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x) &= 2x^2[n\{1 - \tanh((n+p)x)\}] \\
&\quad + 2px^2[1 - \{\tanh((n+p)x)\}] - x[\{1 - \tanh((n+p)x)\}] \\
&\quad - \frac{px}{n}[1 - \{\tanh((n+p)x)\}] + x + \frac{px}{n} + \frac{p^2x^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

Again using Lemma 2.3 we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x) = x \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.5. *The following inequalities are satisfied for all $n \in N, p \in N_0$ and $x \in R_0$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x)| &\leq \frac{px+1}{n} \\
|\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x)| &\leq \frac{3+(p+1)x+p^2x^2}{n} \\
|\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; x)| &\leq \frac{47+(1+p)x+(3+10p+7p^2)x^2+6p^2x^3+p^4x^4}{n^2} \quad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $n, r \in N, p \in N_0$ and $x \geq 0$ we have

$$0 \leq x^r(1 - \tanh(n+p)x) \leq 2^{1-r}r!(n+p)^{-r}.$$

So from Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t-x; x)| &= \left| \frac{(n+p)x}{n} [\tanh((n+p)x) - 1] \right| + \frac{px}{n} \\
&\leq \frac{px+1}{n} \\
\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x) &= \frac{((n+p)x)^2}{n^2} + \frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} \tanh((n+p)x) \\
&\quad - 2x \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) + x^2 \\
&= \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} - 2x \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \right] + x^2 + \frac{((n+p)x)^2}{n^2} \\
&= (\tanh(n+p)x - 1) \left[\frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} - 2x \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \right] + \frac{(n+p)x + p^2x^2}{n^2} \\
|\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^2; x)| &= \left| (\tanh(n+p)x - 1) \left[\frac{(n+p)x}{n^2} - 2x \frac{(n+p)x}{n} \right] + \frac{(n+p)x + p^2x^2}{n^2} \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1+(n+p)x+p^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{2}{n(n+p)} \\
&\leq \frac{3+px+p^2x^2}{n^2} + \frac{x}{n} \\
&\leq \frac{3+px+x+p^2x^2}{n} \\
&\leq \frac{3+(p+1)x+p^2x^2}{n}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; x) \\
&= \frac{(n+p)}{n} \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{6(n+p)^2x^3}{n^3} - \frac{4(n+p)^2x^3}{n^2} + \frac{x}{n^3} - \frac{4x^2}{n^2} + \frac{6x^3}{n} - 4x^4 \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{((n+p)x)^4 + 7((n+p)x)^2 - 12xn((n+p)x)^2 + 6x^2n^2((n+p)x)^2 + x^4n^4}{n^4} \\
&= \tanh((n+p)x) \left[\frac{6(n+p)^3x^3}{n^4} - \frac{4(n+p)^3x^4}{n^3} + \frac{(n+p)x}{n^4} - \frac{4(n+p)x^2}{n^3} + \frac{6(n+p)x^3}{n^2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 4\frac{(n+p)}{n}x^4 \right] + \frac{(n+p)^4x^4}{n^4} + \frac{7(n+p)^2x^2}{n^4} - \frac{12(n+p)^2x^3}{n^3} + \frac{6(n+p)^2x^4}{n^2} + x^4 \\
&= \left(\tanh((n+p)x) - 1 \right) \left[\frac{6(n+p)^3x^3}{n^4} - \frac{4(n+p)^3x^4}{n^3} + \frac{(n+p)x}{n^4} - \frac{4(n+p)x^2}{n^3} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{6(n+p)x^3}{n^2} - 4\frac{(n+p)}{n}x^4 \right] + \left(\frac{(n+p)^4}{n^4} - \frac{4(n+p)^3}{n^3} + \frac{6(n+p)^2}{n^2} - 4\frac{(n+p)}{n} + 1 \right) x^4 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{6(n+p)^3}{n^4} + \frac{6(n+p)}{n^2} - \frac{12(n+p)^2}{n^3} \right) x^3 + \left(\frac{7(n+p)^2}{n^4} - \frac{4(n+p)}{n^3} \right) x^2 + \frac{(n+p)}{n^4} x \\
|\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t-x)^4; x)| &\leq \frac{10}{n^4} + \frac{16}{n^3(n+p)} + \frac{9}{n^2(n+p)^2} + \frac{12}{n(n+p)^3} + \\
&\quad \frac{p^4x^4}{n^4} + \frac{6p^2x^3}{n^3} + \frac{(3+10p+7p^2)x^2}{n^2} + \frac{(1+p)x}{n^2} \\
&\leq \frac{47}{n^4} + \frac{p^4x^4 + 6p^2x^3 + (3+10p+7p^2)x^2 + (1+p)x}{n^2} \\
&\leq \frac{47 + (1+p)x + (3+10p+7p^2)x^2 + 6p^2x^3 + p^4x^4}{n^2}
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Voronovskaya type theorems

In this section we give a Voronovskaya-type theorem for the operators $\widehat{S}_{n,p}$ with the help of properties of $\widehat{S}_{n,p}$, which are already mentioned in the above lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that x_0 is a fixed point in R_0 and $\varphi(t; x_0)$ is a given function belonging to C_B and such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} \varphi(t; x_0) = 0 \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow p} \varphi(t; 0) = 0 \right)$$

Then for a fixed $p \in N$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{S}_{n,p}(\varphi(t; x_0); x_0) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. By (1.2) we have for $n \in N$ and a fixed point $x_0 \geq 0$

$$\widehat{S}_{n,p}(\varphi(t; x_0); x_0) = \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \varphi\left(\frac{2k}{n}; x_0\right) \quad (3.2)$$

Choose $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\varphi(\cdot; x_0) \in C_B$, there exists a positive constant $\delta \equiv \delta(\epsilon)$ such that

$$|\varphi(t; x_0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \text{if } |t - x_0| < \delta, \quad t \geq 0$$

Moreover there exists a positive constant M such that $|\varphi(t; x_0)| \leq M$ for all $t > 0$. Hence, from (3.2) we get for every $n \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{S}_{n,p}(\varphi(t; x_0); x_0)| &\leq \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k \in Q_{1,n}} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{2k}{n}; x_0\right) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k \in Q_{2,n}} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left| \varphi\left(\frac{2k}{n}; x_0\right) \right| \\ &= E_1 + E_2 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$Q_{1,n} = k \in N_0 : \left| \frac{2k}{n} - x_0 \right| < \delta$$

and

$$Q_{2,n} = k \in N_0 : \left| \frac{2k}{n} - x_0 \right| \geq \delta.$$

From (2.1) we get,

$$E_1 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{3.4}$$

$$E_2 \leq M \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k \in Q_{2,n}} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \tag{3.5}$$

Since $\left| \frac{2k}{n} - x_0 \right| \geq \delta$ implies $1 \leq \delta^{-2} \left(\frac{2k}{n} - x_0 \right)^2$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &\leq M \delta^{-2} \frac{1}{\cosh((n+p)x)} \sum_{k \in Q_{2,n}} \frac{((n+p)x)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{2k}{n} - x_0 \right)^2 \\ &\leq M \delta^{-2} \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t - x_0)^2; x_0) \end{aligned}$$

which by Lemma 2.5 gives,

$$E_2 \leq \frac{M(3 + px_0 + x_0 + p^2 x_0^2)}{n \delta^2}$$

It is obvious that for given $\epsilon > 0, \delta > 0, M > 0$ and $x_0 \geq 0$ we can choose $n_0 \equiv n_0(\epsilon; \delta; M; x_0) \in N$ such that for all natural numbers $n > n_0$ one gets

$$\frac{M(3 + px_0 + x_0 + p^2 x_0^2)}{n \delta^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Hence,

$$E_2 < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ for } n > n_0. \tag{3.6}$$

Using equations (3.4) and (3.6) to (3.3) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{S}_{n,p}(\varphi(t; x_0); x_0) = 0$$

and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *If $f \in C_B^2$, then for every fixed $x \in R_0$ one gets*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\{\widehat{S}_{n,p}(f; x) - f(x)\} = pxf'(x) + \frac{x}{2}f''(x). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Let $x_0 \in R_0$ be a fixed point. Then by Taylor formula we can write for every $t \in R_0$,

$$f(t) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(t - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)(t - x_0)^2 + \psi(t; x_0)(t - x_0)^2, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\psi(t; x_0) \in C_B$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow x_0} \psi(t; x_0) = \left(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(t; 0) = 0 \right)$

On applying the operator $\widehat{S}_{n,p}$ on both sides of (3.8), we have for every $n \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{S}_{n,p}(f; x_0) - f(x_0) &= f'(x_0)\widehat{S}_{n,p}(t - x_0; x_0) + \frac{1}{2}f''(x_0)\widehat{S}_{n,p}((t - x_0)^2; x_0) \\ &\quad + \widehat{S}_{n,p}(\psi(t; x_0)(t - x_0)^2; x_0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

In view of (3.9) and by Holder's inequality we get for $n \in N$

$$|\widehat{S}_{n,p}(\psi(t; x_0)(t - x_0)^2; x_0)| \leq \left\{ \widehat{S}_{n,p}(\psi^2(t; x_0); x_0) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t - x_0)^4; x_0) \right\}^{1/2} \quad (3.10)$$

Since the function $\varphi(t; x_0) = \psi^2(t; x_0), t \geq 0$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 3.1 we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{S}_{n,p}(\psi^2(t; x_0); x_0) = 0$$

From (2.10) it follows that there exists a constant $M_1 = M_1(p, x_0)$ depending on p and x_0 such that

$$n^2 \widehat{S}_{n,p}((t - x_0)^4; x_0) \leq M_1 \text{ for } n \in N$$

Consequently we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\widehat{S}_{n,p}(\psi(t; x_0)(t - x_0)^2; x_0) = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

from (3.10). Using Lemma 2.4 and (3.11), we derive immediately (3.7) from (3.9). Thus the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] Deo, N., Noor, M.A., Siddiqui, M.A., *On approximation by a class of new Bernstein type operators*, Appl. Math. Comput., **201**(2008), 604-612.
- [2] Ditzian, Z., Totik, V., *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [3] Firlej, B., Rempulska, L., *Approximation function by some linear positive operators*, Fasciculi Mathematici, **27**(1997), 65-79.
- [4] Lopez-Moreno, A.J., *Preservation of Lipschitz constants by Bernstein type operators*, Acta Math. Hungar., **102**(4)(2005), 343-354.
- [5] Rempulska, L., Skorupa, M., *A Voronowskaja-type theorem for some linear positive operators*, Indian Journal of Mathematics, **39**(1997), no. 2, 127-137.

- [6] Schurer, F., *Linear positive operators in approximation theory*, Math. Inst. Techn. Univ. Delft Report, (1962).
- [7] Schurer, F., *On Linear Positive Operators in Approximation Theory*, Dissertation, University of Delft, 1965.
- [8] Totik, V., *Approximation by Bernstein polynomials*, Amer. J. Math., **116**(1994), 995-1008.

Raksha Rani Agrawal
Department of Mathematics,
S.S.T.C./S.S.G.I. College,
Durg, Chhattisgarh, India
e-mail: raksha_mukesh@yahoo.com

Nandita Gupta
Government Polytechnic,
Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh, India
e-mail: nandita_dec@yahoo.com