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#### Abstract

By using a univalent and analytic function $\tau$ in a suitable open disk centered in origin, we attach to analytic functions $f$, the complex Bernsteintype operators of the form $B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f)=B_{n, q}\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right) \circ \tau$, where $B_{n, q}$ denote the classical complex $q$-Bernstein polynomials, $q \geq 1$. The new complex operators satisfy the same quantitative estimates as $B_{n, q}$. As applications, for two concrete choices of $\tau$, we construct complex rational functions and complex trigonometric polynomials which approximate $f$ with a geometric rate.
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## 1. Introduction

Starting from the classical Bernstein polynomials defined for $f \in C[0,1]$ by

$$
B_{n}(f)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)
$$

a new sequence of Bernstein-type operators of real variable is introduced in [1] by the formula

$$
B_{n}^{\tau} f:=B_{n}\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right) \circ \tau,
$$

where $\tau$ is a real-valued function on $[0,1]$ which satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(\tau_{1}\right) \tau$ is differentiable of any order on $[0,1]$,
$\left(\tau_{2}\right) \tau(0)=0, \tau(1)=1$ and $\tau^{\prime}(x)>0$ on $[0,1]$.
Specifically, $B_{n}^{\tau}(f)$ in [1] is given by

$$
B_{n}^{\tau}(f)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \tau^{k}(x)(1-\tau(x))^{n-k}\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), x \in[0,1]
$$

According to [1], the sequence $B_{n}^{\tau}(f), n \in \mathbb{N}$, converges uniformly to $f \in C[0,1]$.

In [6]-[7] and [2], the complex form of the $q$-Bernstein polynomials, $q \geq 1$, given by

$$
B_{n, q}(f)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}_{q} z^{k} \cdot \Pi_{s=0}^{n-k-1}\left(1-q^{s} z\right) f\left(\frac{[k]_{q}}{[n]_{q}}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

were intensively studied. Here $f$ is a complex-valued analytic function in an open disk of radius $\geq 1$ and centered in origin. Also, above we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[n]_{q}=\left(q^{n}-1\right) /(q-1),} \\
\binom{n}{k}_{q}=\frac{[n]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}!\cdot[n-k]_{q}!}, \\
{[n]_{q}!=[1]_{q} \cdot[2]_{q} \cdot \ldots \cdot[n]_{q},[0]_{q}!=1 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that for $q=1, B_{n, q}(f)$ reduce to the classical Bernstein polynomials.
Inspired by the real case in [1], in this paper we consider the idea in the complex setting and introduce the complex operators defined by

$$
B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f)(z)=B_{n, q}\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right)(\tau(z)), n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \mathbb{C}, q \geq 1
$$

where denoting $\mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z \in \mathbb{C} ;|z|<R\}$, now $\tau$ satisfies the following properties:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tau: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, R>1, \text { is analytic, univalent, } \tau(0)=0, \tau(1)=1, \\
\text { and there exists } R^{\prime}>1 \text { such that } \mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}} \subset \tau\left(\mathbb{D}_{R}\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{array}
$$

By using the approach in [2], for the complex operators $B_{n, q}^{\tau}$ we prove upper and lower estimates and a quantitative Voronovskaja-type result in some compact subsets generated by $\tau$.

Also, two important examples for $\tau$ are considered, which generate sequences of complex rational operators and of trigonometric polynomials of complex variable, approximating for $q>1$ the function $f$ with the geometric rate $\frac{1}{q^{n}}$ in some compact disks centered in origin.

## 2. Approximation results

In this section, we present the main approximation properties of the operators $B_{n, q}^{\tau}$. Firstly, we consider the case when $q=1$. We have:

Theorem 2.1. Let $\tau$ be satisfying the conditions in (1.1) and $f: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}, R>1$. Since $g: \mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $g(w)=\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right)(w)$ is analytic on the disk $\mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}}, R^{\prime}>1$, let us write $g(w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} w^{k}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}}$.

Let $1 \leq r^{\prime}<R^{\prime}$ be arbitrary fixed. Then, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$ with $|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:
(i) (Upper estimate)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)-f(z)\right| \leq \frac{C_{r^{\prime}}^{\tau}}{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{r^{\prime}}^{\tau}=\frac{3 r^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}+1\right)}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k(k-1)\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}<\infty$.
(ii) (Voronovskaja-type result)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{\tau(z)(1-\tau(z))}{2 n} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)(z)\right| \leq \frac{5\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{2} M_{r^{\prime}}^{\tau}}{2 n^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\tau}^{2} f(z):=\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}(\tau(z))=g^{\prime \prime}(\tau(z))$ is detailed by

$$
D_{\tau}^{2}(f)(z)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{\left(\tau^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}}-\frac{\tau^{\prime \prime}(z) f^{\prime}(z)}{\left(\tau^{\prime}(z)\right)^{3}}=\frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}(z)}\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{\tau^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
M_{r^{\prime}}^{\tau}=\sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k(k-1)(k-2)^{2} \cdot\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}<\infty
$$

(iii) If $f$ is not a polynomial in $\tau$ of degree $\leq 1$, then

$$
\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau} \sim \frac{1}{n}
$$

where $\|F\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}=\sup \left\{|F(z)| ;|z|<R,|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}\right\}$ and the constants in the equivalence depend only on $f, \tau$ and $r^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $g(w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} w^{k}$ be an analytic function in a disk $\mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}}$ with $R^{\prime}>1$. Also, for simplicity, denote the classical Bernstein polynomials $B_{n, 1}(g)(w)$ by $B_{n}(g)(w)$.
(i) According to Theorem 1.1.2, (i), page 6 in [2], for all $1 \leq r^{\prime}<R^{\prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|w| \leq r^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left|B_{n}(g)(w)-g(w)\right| \leq \frac{C_{r^{\prime}}}{n}
$$

where $C_{r^{\prime}}=\frac{3 r^{\prime}\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)\left|c_{k}\right|\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}$.
Now, if above we replace $g$ by $f \circ \tau^{-1}$ and $w$ by $\tau(z)$, then we easily arrive at the required estimate (2.1).
(ii) According to Theorem 1.1.3, (ii), page 9 in [2], for all $1 \leq r^{\prime}<R^{\prime}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $|w| \leq r^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left|B_{n}(g)(w)-g(w)-\frac{w(1-w)}{2 n} g^{\prime \prime}(w)\right| \leq \frac{5\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)^{2} M_{r^{\prime}}}{2 n^{2}}
$$

where $M_{r^{\prime}}=\sum_{k=3}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right| k(k-1)(k-2)^{2} \cdot\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}$. Take $g(w)=\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right)(w)=f\left[\tau^{-1}(w)\right]$. Since

$$
g^{\prime}(w)=f^{\prime}\left[\tau^{-1}(w)\right] \cdot\left(\tau^{-1}(w)\right)^{\prime}=f^{\prime}\left[\tau^{-1}(w)\right] \cdot \frac{1}{\tau^{\prime}\left(\tau^{-1}(w)\right)}
$$

differentiating once again, we easily get

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(w)=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(\tau^{-1}(w)\right)}{\left[\tau^{\prime}\left(\tau^{-1}(w)\right)\right]^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime}\left(\tau^{-1}(w)\right) \cdot \tau^{\prime \prime}\left(\tau^{-1}(w)\right)}{\left[\tau^{\prime}\left(\tau^{-1}(w)\right)\right]^{3}}
$$

Now, replacing in the above estimate $g$ by $f \circ \tau^{-1}$ and $w$ by $\tau(z)$, we immediately get (2.2).
(iii) According to Corollary 1.1.5, page 14 in [2], it follows that for all $1 \leq r^{\prime}<R^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left\|B_{n}(g)-g\right\|_{r^{\prime}}=\sup \left\{\left|B_{n}(g)(w)-g(w)\right| ;|w| \leq r^{\prime}\right\} \sim \frac{1}{n}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{n}(g)-g\right\|_{r^{\prime}} & \geq \sup \left\{\left|B_{n}(g)(\tau(z))-g(\tau(z))\right| ;|z|<R,|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

which does not imply the required equivalence in the statement.
For this reason, we have to use here the standard method in [2] and the estimates (2.1) and (2.2). Thus, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$ with $|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)-f(z)=\frac{1}{n}\left\{\frac{\tau(z)(1-\tau(z))}{2} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)(z)\right. \\
\left.+\frac{1}{n}\left[n^{2}\left(B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)-f(z)-\frac{\tau(z)(1-\tau(z))}{2 n} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)(z)\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then, the obvious inequality $\|F+G\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau} \geq\|F\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}-\|G\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}$ implies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau} \geq \frac{1}{n}\left\{\left\|\frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{2} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}\right. \\
\left.-\frac{1}{n}\left[n^{2}\left(\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f-\frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{2 n} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}\right)\right]\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the hypothesis on $f$ we immediately get that $g(\tau(z))$ is not a polynomial in $\tau(z)$ of degree $\leq 1$. Then, by the formula $D_{\tau}^{2}(f)(z)=g^{\prime \prime}(\tau(z))$ we easily get

$$
\left\|\frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{2} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}>0
$$

Indeed, supposing the contrary, it follows the obvious contradiction $g^{\prime \prime}(\tau(z))=0$, for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$.

Since by (2.2) there exists a constant $C>0$ with

$$
n^{2}\left(\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f-\frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{2 n} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}\right) \leq C
$$

it is clear that there exists $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau} \quad \geq \frac{1}{2 n}\left\|\frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{2} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}, \text { for all } n \geq n_{0}
$$

Then, for $1 \leq n \leq n_{0}-1$ we obviously have

$$
\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau} \geq \frac{M_{r^{\prime}, n, \tau}(f)}{n}
$$

with $M_{r^{\prime}, n, \tau}(f)=n \cdot\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}>0$, which finally leads to

$$
\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau} \geq \frac{C_{r^{\prime}, \tau}(f)}{n}, \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

where

$$
C_{r^{\prime}, \tau}(f)=\min \left\{M_{r^{\prime}, 1, \tau}, M_{r^{\prime}, 2, \tau}(f), \ldots, M_{r^{\prime}, n_{0}-1, \tau}(f),\left\|\frac{\tau(1-\tau)}{4} D_{\tau}^{2}(f)\right\|_{r^{\prime}, \tau}\right\}
$$

Combining now with the estimate (2.1) from the point (i), we get the required equivalence.
In the case $q>1$ we have the following upper estimate of the geometric order $\frac{1}{q^{n}}$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $f: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}, R>q$ and $\tau$ satisfying the conditions in (1.1). Denote

$$
g(w)=\left(f \circ \tau^{-1}\right)(w)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} w^{k}, w \in \mathbb{D}_{R^{\prime}}
$$

For all $q \in\left(1, R^{\prime}\right), 1 \leq r^{\prime}<\frac{R^{\prime}}{q}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}_{R}$ with $|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\left|B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f)(z)-f(z)\right| \leq \frac{M_{r^{\prime}, q}^{\tau}}{[n]_{q}} \leq \frac{q \cdot M_{r^{\prime}, q}^{\tau}}{q^{n}}
$$

where $M_{r^{\prime}, q}^{\tau}=2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|(k-1)[k-1]_{q}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{k}<\infty$.
Proof. According to Theorem 1.5.1, page 51 in [2] we have

$$
\left|B_{n, q}(g)(w)-g(w)\right| \leq \frac{M_{r^{\prime}, q}}{[n]_{q}} \leq \frac{q \cdot M_{r^{\prime}, q}^{\tau}}{q^{n}}, \text { for all } 1 \leq r^{\prime}<R^{\prime}, n \in \mathbb{N},|w| \leq r^{\prime}
$$

where $M_{r^{\prime}, n}=\frac{3 r^{\prime}\left(1+r^{\prime}\right)}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)\left|c_{k}\right|\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{k-2}$.
Now, if above we replace $g$ by $f \circ \tau^{-1}$ and $w$ by $\tau(z)$, then we easily arrive at the required estimate.
Remark 2.3. In a similar manner with Theorem 2.1, (ii), applying the results in, e.g., [10], for $B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f)$ we may deduce a quantitative Voronovskaja-type result of order $\frac{1}{q^{2 n}}$.

## 3. Applications

In this section we apply the previous results to the cases of two concrete examples for $\tau$. As consequences, we construct sequences of complex rational functions and complex trigonometric polynomials, convergent to $f$ with a geometric rate. The first result is the following.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f: \mathbb{D}_{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ with $R>1+\sqrt{2}$ and denote

$$
\tau(z)=\frac{R z}{R+1-z},|z|<R
$$

Then, with the notations in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we have:
(i) $B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)$ and $B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f)(z), q>1$, are complex rational functions on $\mathbb{D}_{R}$;
(ii) $\tau$ satisfies the conditions in (1.1) with $R^{\prime}=\frac{R^{2}}{2 R+1}>1$;
(iii) if $1 \leq r^{\prime}<R^{\prime}$ then $1 \leq \frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+r^{\prime}}<R$ and for all $|z| \leq r=\frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{\left.R+r^{\prime}\right)}$, the upper estimates (2.1), (2.2) in Theorem 2.1, (i)-(ii) and the equivalence $\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{n}$ hold.
(iv) If $1<q<R^{\prime}$ and $1 \leq r^{\prime}<\frac{R^{\prime}}{q}$, then the estimate in Theorem 2.2 holds for all $|z| \leq r=\frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+r^{\prime}}$.

Proof. (i) It is clear that both kinds of operators $B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)$ and $B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f), q>1$, are complex rational functions on $\mathbb{D}_{R}$.
(ii) We are interested on the image of $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ through the analytic and univalent mapping $\tau$. Writing $w=\frac{R z}{R+1-z}$, we get $z=\frac{(R+1) w}{w+R}$, so that $|z|<R$ is equivalent to

$$
\left|\frac{(R+1) w}{w+R}\right|<R
$$

Denoting now $w=u+i v$, the previous inequality is equivalent to

$$
\frac{(R+1) \sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}}}{\sqrt{(u+R)^{2}+v^{2}}}<R
$$

which is equivalent to the inequality $(R+1)^{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)<R^{2}\left[(u+R)^{2}+v^{2}\right]$. Simple calculations lead this last inequality to the following list of equivalent inequalities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
u^{2}\left[(R+1)^{2}-R^{2}\right]+v^{2}\left[(R+1)^{2}-R^{2}\right]<2 R^{3} u+R^{4}, \\
u^{2}-2 u \frac{R^{3}}{2 R+1}+v^{2}<\frac{R^{4}}{2 R+1}, \\
\left(u-\frac{R^{3}}{2 R+1}\right)^{2}+v^{2}<\left[\frac{R^{2}(R+1)}{2 R+1}\right]^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This last inequality represents a disk of center $\left(R^{3} /(2 R+1), 0\right)$ and of radius

$$
R^{2}(R+1) /(2 R+1)
$$

Now, simple geometric reasonings lead to the fact that the above disk includes the disk of center in origin and of radius

$$
\left|\frac{R^{3}}{2 R+1}-\frac{R^{2}(R+1)}{2 R+1}\right|=\frac{R^{2}}{2 R+1}
$$

where by the hypothesis $R>1+\sqrt{2}$ we immediately get $R^{2} /(2 R+1)>1$. Concluding, since also we have $\tau(0)=0$ and $\tau(1)=1$, it follows that $\tau$ satisfies (1.1) with $R^{\prime}=R^{2} /(2 R+1)$.
(iii) Let $1 \leq r^{\prime}<R^{\prime}$. Evidently that $\frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+r^{\prime}} \geq 1$ and since the function

$$
F(x)=\frac{(R+1) x}{R+x}
$$

is strictly increasing as function of $x \geq 0$, it follows

$$
\frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+r^{\prime}}<\frac{R^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+R^{\prime}}=\frac{R^{3}+R^{2}}{3 R^{2}+R}<R
$$

Then, since $\frac{R|z|}{R+1-|z|} \leq r^{\prime}$ is equivalent with the inequality $|z| \leq r=\frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+r^{\prime}}$, by the obvious inequality $|\tau(z)|=\frac{R|z|}{|R+1-z|} \leq \frac{R|z|}{R+1-|z|},|z|<R$, it follows that the inequality $|z| \leq \frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+r^{\prime}}$ implies $|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}$ and therefore Theorem 2.1, (i), (ii) holds for these $z$.

In order to prove the equivalence, we use exactly the same reasonings as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, (iii), taking into account that (2.1) and (2.2) hold for all $|z| \leq r=\frac{r^{\prime}(R+1)}{R+r^{\prime}}$.
(iv) If $1<q<R^{\prime}$ and $1 \leq r^{\prime}<\frac{R^{\prime}}{q}$, then reasoning as in the previous case $q=1$, we immediately get the desired conclusion.
Theorem 3.2. Let $f: \mathbb{D}_{\pi / 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{\pi / 2}$ and $\tau(z)=\frac{\sin (z)}{\sin (1)},|z|<\frac{\pi}{2}$. Then, with the notations in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we have:
(i) $B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)$ and $B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f)(z), q>1$, are trigonometric polynomials of complex variable on $\mathbb{D}_{\pi / 2}$;
(ii) $\tau$ satisfies the conditions in (1.1) with $R=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $R^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sin (1)}>1$;
(iii) for any $1 \leq r^{\prime}<\frac{1}{\sin (1)}$ and for all $|z| \leq r:=\frac{\pi r^{\prime} \sin (1)}{2 \cosh (\pi / 2)}<\frac{\pi}{2}$, the upper estimates (2.1), (2.2) in Theorem 2.1, (i)-(ii) and the equivalence $\left\|B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)-f\right\|_{r} \sim \frac{1}{n}$ hold.
(iv) If $1<q<R^{\prime}$ and $1 \leq r^{\prime}<\frac{R^{\prime}}{q}$, then the estimate in Theorem 2.2 holds for all $|z| \leq r=\frac{\pi r^{\prime} \sin (1)}{2 \cosh (\pi / 2)}$.
Proof. (i) It is clear that both kinds of operators $B_{n, 1}^{\tau}(f)(z)$ and $B_{n, q}^{\tau}(f), q>1$, are trigonometric polynomials of complex variable on $\mathbb{D}_{\pi / 2}$.
(ii) From the well-known facts that $\sin (z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}_{\pi / 2}$ and that its inverse $\arcsin (z)$ exists in $\mathbb{C} \backslash((-\infty, 1) \cup(1,+\infty))$ (see, e.g., [3], p. 164 and [8], pp. 90-91), it is immediate that $\tau(z)$ satisfies (1.1) with $R=\pi / 2$ and $R^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\sin (1)}>1$.
(iii) For any $r^{\prime} \in\left[1, R^{\prime}\right)$, we are interested to find a disk centered in origin and contained in the set $\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}_{\pi / 2} ;|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}\right\}$.

Firstly, we observe that for all $|z|<\pi / 2$ we have

$$
|\tau(z)|=\frac{|\sin z|}{\sin (1)}=\left|\frac{e^{i z}-e^{-i z}}{2 i \sin (1)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sin (1)} \frac{e^{-y}+e^{y}}{2}=\frac{1}{\sin (1)} \cosh y<\frac{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}}{\sin (1)}
$$

Now, we will use the following version of the Schwarz's lemma (see, e.g., [9], p. 218): if $f$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}, f(0)=0$ and $|f(z)|<M$ for all $|z|<R$, then $|f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{R}|z|$, for all $|z|<R$.

Taking above $R=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $M=\frac{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}}{\sin (1)}$, we immediately get that for all $|z|<\frac{\pi}{2}$ we have $|\tau(z)| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}}{\sin (1)}|z|$.

Now, if we put the condition $\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\cosh \frac{\pi}{2}}{\sin (1)}|z| \leq r^{\prime}$, then we easily obtain that for all $|z| \leq r=\frac{\pi r^{\prime} \sin (1)}{2 \cosh (\pi / 2)}$ it follows $|\tau(z)| \leq r^{\prime}$ and therefore Theorem 2.1, (i) and (ii) hold for these values of $z$.

Note here that for any $1 \leq r^{\prime}<\frac{1}{\sin (1)}$, we still have $\frac{\pi r^{\prime} \sin (1)}{2 \cosh (\pi / 2)}<\frac{\pi}{2}$.
The equivalence is immediate from Theorem 2.1, (iii).
(iv) If $1<q<R^{\prime}$ and $1 \leq r^{\prime}<\frac{R^{\prime}}{q}$, then reasoning as in the previous case $q=1$, we easily get the desired conclusion.

Remark 3.3. The hypothesis $\tau(0)=0$ and $\tau(1)=1$ in (1.1) imply that the new defined $\tau$-operators coincide with the function $f$ at the points 0 and 1 .

Remark 3.4. Evidently that the considerations in this paper can be applied to other choices of the mapping $\tau$ and to other complex $q$-Benstein-type operators like, for example, those studied in [4]-[5].
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