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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the oscillatory behavior of solutions to a class of third-order differential equations of the form $$
\mathcal{L} z(t)+f(t) y^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0,
$$ where $\mathcal{L} z(t)=\left(p(t)\left(q(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is a semi-canonical operator and $z(t)=y(t)+$ $g(t) y(\tau(t))$. The main idea is to convert the semi-canonical operator into canonical form and then obtain some new sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions. The obtained results essentially improve and complement to the known results. Examples are provided to illustrate the main results.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the oscillation of solutions of the semicanonical third-order neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} z(t)+f(t) y^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}>0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the differential operator defined by

$$
\mathcal{L} z(t)=\left(p(t)\left(q(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}, \quad z(t)=y(t)+g(t) y(\tau(t)),
$$
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and $\beta$ is the ratio of odd positive integers. Throughout the paper, and without further mention, we will always assume that:
$\left(H_{1}\right) f, g \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), g(t) \geq 1, g(t) \not \equiv 1$ for large $t$, and $f(t) \geq 0$ is not identically zero for large $t$,
$\left(H_{2}\right) \tau, \sigma \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \tau(t) \leq t, \tau$ is strictly increasing, $\sigma$ is nondecreasing, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty ;$
$\left(H_{3}\right)$ the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is in semi-canonical form, that is,
$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(t)} d t<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q(t)} d t=\infty
$$
where $p, q \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right),(0, \infty)\right)$.
By a solution of (1.1), we mean a function $y \in C\left(\left[t_{y}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ for some $t_{y} \geq t_{0}$ such that $z \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{y}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), q z^{\prime} \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{y}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), p\left(q z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{y}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $y$ satisfies (1.1) on $\left[t_{y}, \infty\right)$. We only consider those solutions of (1.1) that exist on some half-line $\left[t_{y}, \infty\right)$ and satisfy the condition
$$
\sup \left\{|y(t)|: T_{1} \leq t<\infty\right\}>0 \text { for any } T_{1} \geq t_{y}
$$
we tacitly assume that (1.1) possesses such solutions. Such a solution $y(t)$ of (1.1) is said to be oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros on $\left[t_{y}, \infty\right)$, and it is called nonoscillatory otherwise. Equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory.

In the recent years many papers appeared in the literature dealing with the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of various classes of third-order neutral type differential equations; see for example $[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,12,13,16,17$, $19,20]$ and the references cited therein. However, except for the papers $[5,6,12,19$, 20], all the papers mentioned above were dealing with the case when $g(t)$ is bounded, that is, the cases when $0 \leq g(t) \leq g_{0}<1,-1<g_{0} \leq g(t) \leq 0$ and $0<g(t) \leq g_{0}<\infty$ were studied and so the criteria obtained in these papers cannot be applied to the case $g(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, very recently in [5, 6, 20] the authors studied equation (1.1) and obtained oscillation criteria where $q(t) \equiv 1$ and $p(t) \equiv 1$ or $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(t)} d t=\infty$. Based on these observations, the aim of this paper is to obtain some oscillation criteria that can be applied not only to the case where $g(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ but also to the cases when $g(t)$ is bounded, $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(t)} d t<\infty$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q(t)} d t=\infty$. The main idea is to connect the semi-canonical equation (1.1) with that of canonical equations and then we obtain oscillation criteria for (1.1).

In the sequel, we deal only with positive solutions of $(1.1)$, since if $y(t)$ is a solution of (1.1), then $-y(t)$ is also a solution.

## 2. Main results

Throughout the paper we employ the following notations:

$$
A(t):=\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(s)} d s, \quad a(t):=p(t) A^{2}(t), \quad b(t):=\frac{q(t)}{A(t)}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
F(t):=A(t) f(t), \quad \Pi(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} d s, \quad B(t):=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{\Pi(s)}{b(s)} d s, \\
c(t):=\exp \left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{\Pi(s)}{b(s) B(s)} d s\right) \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1} \quad \text { for some } t_{1} \geq t_{0} \\
h(t):=\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t)), \quad \lambda(t):=\tau^{-1}(\eta(t)), \quad \eta \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right), \\
\psi_{1}(t):=\frac{1}{g\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}\left[1-\frac{c\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}{g\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right) c\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}\right], \\
\psi_{2}(t):=\frac{1}{g\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}\left[1-\frac{1}{g\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
R(t):=\int_{h(t)}^{\lambda(t)}\left(\frac{1}{b(u)} \int_{u}^{\lambda(t)} \frac{1}{a(v)} d v\right) d u
$$

In order to ensure the nonnegativity of $\psi_{1}(t)$, we assume the following condition also holds:
$\left(H_{4}\right)$ There exists a $t_{1} \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{c\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}{g\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right) c\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)} \leq 1 \quad \text { for all } t \geq t_{1} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} d t=\infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the semi-canonical operator $\mathcal{L}$ has the following unique canonical representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} z(t)=\frac{1}{A(t)}\left(p(t) A^{2}(t)\left(\frac{q(t)}{A(t)} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p(t) A^{2}(t)\left(\frac{q(t)}{A(t)} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} & =\left(A(t) p(t)\left(q(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \\
& =A(t)\left(p(t)\left(q(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{1}{A(t)}\left(p(t) A^{2}(t)\left(\frac{q(t)}{A(t)} z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(p(t)\left(q(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Taking (2.2) into account, we see that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{A(t)}{q(t)} d t=\infty
$$

and since

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p(t) A^{2}(t)} d t=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{A(t)}-\frac{1}{A\left(t_{0}\right)}\right)=\infty
$$

we say that (2.3) is in the canonical form. However, Trench proved in [18] that there exists only one canonical representation of $\mathcal{L}$ (up to multiplicative constants with product 1) and so our canonical form is unique. This completes the proof.

From Theorem 2.1, it follows that (1.1) can be written in the canonical form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+F(t) y^{\beta}(\sigma(t))=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the next result is immediate.
Theorem 2.2. Assume that (2.2) holds. Then semi-canonical equation (1.1) possesses solution $y(t)$ if and only if canonical equation (2.4) has the solution $y(t)$.

Corollary 2.3. Assume that (2.2) holds. Then semi-canonical differential equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution if and only if canonical equation (2.4) has an eventually positive solution.

Corollary 2.3 clearly simplifies investigation of (1.1) since for (2.4) if $y(t)$ is an eventually positive solution, then the corresponding function $z(t)$ satisfies either
(I) $z(t)>0, \quad b(t) z^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0, \quad\left(a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}<0$, or
(II) $z(t)>0, \quad b(t) z^{\prime}(t)<0, \quad a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0, \quad\left(a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}<0$
for sufficiently large $t$.
Lemma 2.4. Assume that $z(t)$ satisfies case (I) for all $t \geq t_{1}$ for some $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
z^{\prime}(t) & \geq \frac{\Pi(t)}{b(t)} a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime},  \tag{2.5}\\
z(t) & \geq B(t) a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime},  \tag{2.6}\\
z(t) & \geq \frac{B(t)}{\Pi(t)} b(t) z^{\prime}(t), \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z(t)}{c(t)} \text { is nonincreasing } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{1}$.
Proof. Since $a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}$ is positive and decreasing, we see that

$$
b(t) z^{\prime}(t)=b\left(t_{1}\right) z^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t} a(s) \frac{\left(b(s) z^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\prime}}{a(s)} d s
$$

or

$$
z^{\prime}(t) \geq \frac{a(t)}{b(t)}\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \Pi(t)
$$

i.e., (2.5) holds. Integrating the last inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$ yields

$$
z(t) \geq a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} \frac{\Pi(s)}{b(s)} d s=B(t) a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}
$$

i.e., (2.6) holds. From (2.5), we see that $b(t) z^{\prime}(t) / \Pi(t)$ is decreasing for $t \geq t_{2}$ for some $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$, and therefore

$$
z(t)=z\left(t_{2}\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{b(s) z^{\prime}(s)}{\Pi(s)} \frac{\Pi(s)}{b(s)} d s \geq \frac{B(t)}{\Pi(t)} b(t) z^{\prime}(t)
$$

From the last inequality, we see that

$$
\left(\frac{z(t)}{c(t)}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\left(z^{\prime}(t)-\frac{\Pi(t)}{b(t) B(t)} z(t)\right)}{c(t)} \leq 0
$$

for $t \geq t_{3}$ for some $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$. Hence, $z(t) / c(t)$ is non-increasing. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.5. Let (2.2) holds. Assume that there exists a nondecreasing function $\eta \in$ $C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\sigma(t) \leq \eta(t)<\tau(t)$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. If both first-order delay differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}(t)+F(t) \Psi_{1}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) B^{\beta}(h(t)) X^{\beta}(h(t))=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}(t)+F(t) \Psi_{2}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) R^{\beta}(t) W^{\beta}(\lambda(t))=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

oscillate, then (1.1) oscillates.
Proof. Let $y(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1.1), say $y(t)>0, y(\tau(t))>0$, and $y(\sigma(t))>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ for some $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. From Corollary $2.3, y(t)$ is also a positive solution of (2.4) for $t \geq t_{1}$. Then the corresponding function $z(t)$ satisfies either case (I) or case (II) for $t \geq t_{2}$ for some $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$.

First, we consider case (I). From the definition of $z$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
y(t) & =\frac{1}{g\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}\left[z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)-y\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right] \\
& \geq \frac{z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}{g\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}-\frac{z\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)}{g\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right) g\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Now $\tau(t) \leq t$ and $\tau$ is strictly increasing, so $\tau^{-1}$ is increasing and $t \leq \tau^{-1}(t)$. Thus,

$$
\tau^{-1}(t) \leq \tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)
$$

From this and the fact that $z(t) / c(t)$ is nonincreasing, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right) \leq \frac{c\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right) z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)}{c\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.12) in (2.11) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t) \geq \psi_{1}(t) z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)=\infty$, we can choose $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$ such that $\sigma(t) \geq t_{2}$ for all $t \geq t_{3}$. Thus, it follows from (2.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\sigma(t)) \geq \psi_{1}(\sigma(t)) z(h(t)) \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{3} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.14) with (2.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+F(t) \psi_{1}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) z^{\beta}(h(t)) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{3} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(h(t)) \geq B(h(t)) a(h(t))\left(b(h(t)) z^{\prime}(h(t))\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.16) in (2.15) and letting $X(t)=a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}$, we see that $X(t)$ is a positive solution of the first-order delay differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime}(t)+F(t) \psi_{1}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) B^{\beta}(h(t)) X^{\beta}(h(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by Corollary 1 of [14], we conclude that (2.9) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction.

Next, we consider case (II). Since $z$ is strictly decreasing and $\tau(t) \leq t$, we have

$$
z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right) \geq z\left(\tau^{-1}\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)\right)
$$

and using this in (2.11), we obtain

$$
y(t) \geq \psi_{2}(t) z\left(\tau^{-1}(t)\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\sigma(t)) \geq \psi_{2}(\sigma(t)) z(h(t)) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{3}$ for some $t_{3} \geq t_{2}$. Using (2.18) in (2.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+F(t) \psi_{2}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) z^{\beta}(h(t)) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{3} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t \geq s \geq t_{3}$, we have

$$
b(t) z^{\prime}(t)-b(s) z^{\prime}(s)=\int_{s}^{t} \frac{a(u)\left(b(u) z^{\prime}(u)\right)^{\prime}}{a(u)} d u
$$

or

$$
-z^{\prime}(s) \geq\left(\frac{1}{b(s)} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{a(u)} d u\right) a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}
$$

Again integrating, we have

$$
-z(t)+z(s) \geq\left(\int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{b(u)}\left(\int_{u}^{t} \frac{1}{a(v)} d v\right) d u\right) a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(s) \geq\left[\int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{b(u)}\left(\int_{u}^{t} \frac{1}{a(v)} d v\right) d u\right] a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sigma(t) \leq \eta(t)$ and the fact that $\tau$ is strictly increasing, we have

$$
\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t)) \leq \tau^{-1}(\eta(t))
$$

Setting $s=\tau^{-1}(\sigma(t))$ and $t=\tau^{-1}(\eta(t))$ into (2.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(h(t)) \geq\left(\int_{h(t)}^{\lambda(t)} \frac{1}{b(u)}\left(\int_{u}^{\lambda(t)} \frac{1}{a(v)} d v\right) d u\right) a(\lambda(t))\left(b(\lambda(t)) z^{\prime}(\lambda(t))\right)^{\prime} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.21) in (2.19) and letting $W(t)=a(t)\left(b(t) z^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}$, we see that $W$ is a positive solution of the first-order delay differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}(t)+F(t) \psi_{2}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) R^{\beta}(t) W^{\beta}(\lambda(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to the case (I) and hence the details are not repeated. This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.6. Let (2.2) holds and $\beta=1$. Assume that there exists a nondecreasing function $\eta \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\sigma(t) \leq \eta(t)<\tau(t)$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{t} F(s) \psi_{1}(\sigma(s)) B(h(s)) d s>\frac{1}{e} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\lambda(t)}^{t} F(s) \psi_{2}(\sigma(s)) R(s) d s>\frac{1}{e} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. The proof follows from a well-known result in [11] and Theorem 2.5, and hence the details are omitted.

Corollary 2.7. Let (2.2) holds and $0<\beta<1$. Assume that there exists a nondecreasing function $\eta \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\sigma(t) \leq \eta(t)<\tau(t)$ for all $t \geq t_{0}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty} F(t) \psi_{1}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) B^{\beta}(h(t)) d t=\infty \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{\infty} F(t) \psi_{2}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) R^{\beta}(t) d t=\infty \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $T \in\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ with $\sigma(t) \geq t_{0}$ for all $t \geq T$, then (1.1) oscillates.
Proof. Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 2.5, we again arrive at (2.17) and (2.22) for $t \geq t_{3}$. Since $h(t)<t$ and $X(t)$ is positive and decreasing, inequality (2.17) takes the form

$$
X^{\prime}(t)+F(t) \psi_{1}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) B^{\beta}(h(t)) X^{\beta}(t) \leq 0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X^{\prime}(t)}{X^{\beta}(t)}+F(t) \psi_{1}^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) B^{\beta}(h(t)) \leq 0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (2.27) from $t_{3}$ to $t$ yields

$$
\int_{t_{3}}^{t} F(s) \psi_{1}^{\beta}(\sigma(s)) B^{\beta}(h(s)) d s \leq \frac{X^{1-\beta}\left(t_{3}\right)}{1-\beta}<\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

which contradicts (2.25). The remainder of the proof follows from $\lambda(t)<t$ and inequality (2.22). The proof is complete.

In our final result, assume that $\sigma(t)=t-\delta_{1}, \tau(t)=t-\delta_{3}$ and $\eta(t)=t-\delta_{2}$, where $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{3}$ are positive real numbers.

Corollary 2.8. Let (2.2) holds and $\beta>1$. If $\delta_{1} \geq \delta_{2}>\delta_{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{-t /\left(\delta_{1}-\delta_{3}\right)} \log \left(F(t) \psi_{1}^{\beta}\left(t-\delta_{1}\right) B^{\beta}\left(t+\delta_{3}-\delta_{1}\right)\right)>0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta^{-t /\left(\delta_{2}-\delta_{3}\right)} \log \left(F(t) \psi_{2}^{\beta}\left(t-\delta_{1}\right) R^{\beta}(t)\right)>0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1.1) oscillates.

Proof. Application of (2.28) and (2.29) and Corollary 1.2 of [15] imply that (2.9) and (2.10) oscillate. Hence, by Theorem 2.5, equation (1.1) oscillates.

## 3. Examples

In this section, we present some examples to show the importance of the main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the third-order linear neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\left(y(t)+16 y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{f_{0}}{t^{2}} y\left(\frac{t}{4}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p(t)=t^{2}, q(t)=1 / t, g(t)=16, f(t)=f_{0} / t^{2}$ with $f_{0}>0, \tau(t)=t / 2, \sigma(t)=t / 4$ and $\beta=1$. Then $A(t)=1 / t, a(t)=1, b(t)=1, F(t)=f_{0} / t^{3}$ and the transformed equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y(t)+16 y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{f_{0}}{t^{3}} y\left(\frac{t}{4}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in canonical form. Simple calculation show that

$$
\Pi(t)=t-1, B(t)=(t-1)^{2} / 2, c(t)=(t-1)^{2}, \text { and } \psi_{2}(t)=15 / 256
$$

Since (2.1) holds, we have $\psi_{1}(t) \geq 0$ and

$$
\psi_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{16}\left[1-\frac{(4 t-1)^{2}}{16(2 t-1)^{2}}\right] \geq \frac{7}{256}
$$

By choosing $\eta(t)=t / 3$, we see that $h(t)=t / 2, \lambda(t)=2 t / 3$ and $R(t)=t^{2} / 72$. It is clear that condition (2.2) holds. Condition (2.23) becomes

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t / 2}^{t} \frac{f_{0}}{2^{9}}\left(\frac{3}{s}-\frac{14}{s^{2}}+\frac{15}{s^{3}}\right) d s=\frac{3 f_{0} \ln 2}{2^{9}}
$$

and so condition (2.22) is satisfied if $f_{0}>\frac{2^{9}}{3 e \ln 2}$.
Condition (2.24) becomes

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{2 t / 3}^{t} \frac{5 f_{0}}{3 \times 2^{11}} \frac{1}{s} d s=\frac{5 f_{0} \ln 3 / 2}{3 \times 2^{11}}
$$

that is, (2.24) is satisfied if $f_{0}>\frac{3 \times 2^{11}}{5 e \ln 3 / 2}$. Thus, by Corollary 2.6 , equation (3.1) is oscillatory if $f_{0}>\frac{3 \times 2^{11}}{5 e \ln 3 / 2}$.

Note that canonical equation (3.2) is considered in [20] and proved that (3.2) is oscillatory if $f_{0}>\frac{3 \times 2^{11}}{5 \ln 3 / 2}$. Hence, Corollary 2.6 improves Theorem 2.7 of [20].

Example 3.2. Consider the third-order sublinear neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}\left(y(t)+t y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{f_{0}}{t^{\alpha}} y^{3 / 5}\left(\frac{t}{10}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 16 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p(t)=t^{2}, q(t)=1 / t, g(t)=t, f(t)=f_{0} / t^{\alpha}$ with $f_{0}>0$ and $\alpha \leq 3 / 5, \tau(t)=t / 2$, $\sigma(t)=t / 10$ and $\beta=3 / 5$. Then $A(t)=1 / t, a(t)=1, b(t)=1, F(t)=f_{0} / t^{\alpha+1}$ and the transformed equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y(t)+t y\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{f_{0}}{t^{\alpha+1}} y^{3 / 5}\left(\frac{t}{10}\right)=0, \quad t \geq 16 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in canonical form. Simple calculation shows that

$$
\Pi(t)=t-16, B(t)=(t-16)^{2} / 2, c(t)=(t-16)^{2}, \text { and } \psi_{2}(t)=\frac{4 t-1}{8 t^{2}}>0
$$

Since (2.1) holds, we have $\psi_{1}(t) \geq 0$ and $\psi_{1}(t) \geq \frac{4 t-9}{8 t^{2}}$. By choosing $\eta(t)=t / 8$, we see that $h(t)=t / 5, \lambda(t)=t / 4$ and $R(t)=t^{2} / 800$. It is clear that condition (2.2) holds. For any $T \geq t_{0}$ with $\sigma(t) \geq t_{0}$, condition (2.25) becomes

$$
\int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{f_{0}}{t^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{10 t-225}{2 t^{2}}\right)^{3 / 5}\left(\frac{t-80}{\sqrt{50}}\right)^{6 / 5} d t \geq d_{1} \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+2 / 5}} d t=\infty
$$

where $d_{1}>0$ is a constant and $T_{1} \geq T$.
Condition (2.26) becomes

$$
\int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{f_{0}}{t^{\alpha+1}}\left(\frac{10 t-25}{2 t^{2}}\right)^{3 / 5}\left(\frac{t^{2}}{800}\right)^{3 / 5} d t \geq d_{2} \int_{T_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^{\alpha+2 / 5}}=\infty
$$

where $d_{2}>0$ is a constant and $T_{1} \geq T$. Thus, by Corollary 2.7, equation (3.3) is oscillatory if $\alpha \leq 3 / 5$.

Note that canonical equation (3.4) is considered in [20] and proved that (3.4) is oscillatory if $\alpha=\frac{1}{5}$. Hence, Corollary 2.7 improves Theorem 2.8 of [20].
Example 3.3. Consider the third-order superlinear neutral differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{t}(y(t)+t y(t-2))^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+t \exp \left(4^{t}\right) y^{3}(t-4)=0, \quad t \geq 2 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p(t)=t^{2}, q(t)=1 / t, g(t)=t, f(t)=t \exp \left(4^{t}\right), \tau(t)=t-2, \sigma(t)=t-4$ and $\beta=3$. Then $A(t)=1 / t, a(t)=1, b(t)=1, F(t)=\exp \left(4^{t}\right)$ and the transformed equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(y(t)+t y(t-2))^{\prime \prime \prime}+\exp \left(4^{t}\right) y^{3}(t-4)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in canonical form. A simple calculation show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Pi(t)=t-2, B(t)=(t-2)^{2} / 2, c(t)=(t-2)^{2} \\
\psi_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{t+2}\left[1-\frac{(t+2)^{2}}{(t+4) t^{2}}\right] \geq \frac{t}{(t+2)(t+4)} \geq 0 \text { and } \psi_{2}(t)=\frac{t+3}{(t+2)(t+4)} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

By choosing $\eta(t)=t-3$, we see that $h(t)=t-2, \lambda(t)=t-1, R(t)=1 / 2, \delta_{1}=4$, $\delta_{2}=3, \delta_{3}=2$. As in Examples 3.1 and 3.2, it is easy to see that conditions (2.2), (2.28) and (2.29) are satisfied. Thus, by Corollary 2.8, equation (3.5) is oscillatory.

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have established some new oscillation criteria for (1.1). The results are obtained by converting (1.1) into canonical type equation. Hence, the results are new and complement to those in $[5,6,12,20]$. Also we have shown that the results obtained here improve those in [20].

## References

[1] Agarwal, R.P., Grace, S.R., O'Regan, D., Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations, Kluwer Academic, Derdrecht, 2000.
[2] Baculíková, B., Džurina, J., Oscillation of third-order neutral differential equations, Math. Comput. Model., 52(2010), 215-226.
[3] Baculíková, B., Rani, B., Selvarangam, S., Thandapani, E., Properties of Kneser's solutions for half-linear third-order neutral differential equations, Acta Math. Hungar., 152(2017), 525-533.
[4] Chatzarakis, G.E., Džurina, J., Jadlovská, I., Oscillatory properties of third-order neutral delay differential equations with noncanonical operators, Mathematics, 7(2019), no. 12, 1-12.
[5] Chatzarakis, G.E., Grace, S.R., Jadlovská, I., Li, T., Tunç, E., Oscillation criteria for third-order Emden-Fowler differential equations with unbounded neutral coefficients, Complexity, 2019(2019), Article ID 5691758, 1-7.
[6] Chatzarakis, G.E., Srinivasan, R., Thandapani, E., Oscillation results for third-order quasi-linear Emden-Fowler differential equations with unbounded neutral coefficients, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ., 80(2021), 1-14.
[7] Došlá, Z., Liška, P., Comparison theorems for third-order neutral differential equations, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2016(2016), no. 38, 1-13.
[8] Džurina, J., Grace, S.R., Jadlovská, I., On nonexistence of Kneser solutions of thirdorder neutral delay differential equations, Appl. Math. Lett., 88(2019), 193-200.
[9] Graef, J.R., Tunç, E., Grace, S.R., Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of a third-order nonlinear netural differential equation, Opuscula Math., 37(2017), 839-852.
[10] Jiang, Y., Jiang, C., Li, T., Oscillatory behavior of third-order nonlinear neutral delay differential equations, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2016(2016), Article ID 171, 1-12.
[11] Koplatadze, R.G., Chanturiya, T.A., Oscillating and monotone solutions of first-order differential equations with deviating argument, (Russian), Differ. Uravn., 18(1982), 14631465.
[12] Li, T., Zhang, C., Properties of third-order half-linear dynamic equations with an unbounded neutral coeffient, Adv. Differ. Equ., 2013(2013), Article ID 333, 1-8.
[13] Mihalíková, B., Kostiková, E., Boundedness and oscillation of third-order neutral differential equations, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ., 43(2009), 137-144.
[14] Philos, Ch.G, On the existence of nonoscillatory solutions tending to zero at $\infty$ for differential equations with positive delays, Arch. Math. (Basel), 36(1981), 168-178.
[15] Sakamoto, T., Tanaka, S., Eventually positive solutions of first order nonlinear differential equations with a deviating argument, Acta Math. Hungar., 127(2010), 17-33.
[16] Sun, Y., Zhao, Y., Oscillatory behavior of third-order neutral delay differential equations with distributed deviating arguments, J. Inequal. Appl., 2019(2019), Artcle ID 207, 1-16.
[17] Thandapani, E., Li, T., On the oscillation of third-order quasi-linear neutral functional differential equations, Arch. Math. (Brno), 47(2011), 181-199.
[18] Trench, W.F., Canonical forms and principal systems for general disconjugate equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 184(1974), 319-327.
[19] Tunç, E., Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of third-order neutral differential equations with distributed deviating arguments, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 2017(2017), no. 16, 1-12.
[20] Tunç, E., Sahin, S., Graef, J.R., Pinelas, S., New oscillation criteria for third-order differential equations with bounded and unbounded neutral coefficients, Electron J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ., 2021(2021), no. 46, 1-13.

Karunamurthy Saranya
Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, India
e-mail: saranyakarunamurthy@gmail.com
Veeraraghavan Piramanantham
Department of Mathematics, Bharathidasan University,
Tiruchirappalli-620 024, India
e-mail: vpm@bdu.ac.in
Ethiraju Thandapani
Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Chennai, 600 005, India
e-mail: ethandapani@yahoo.co.in
Ercan Tunç
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences,
Tokat Gaziosmanpasa University, 60240, Tokat, Turkey
e-mail: ercantunc72@yahoo.com


[^0]:    Received 19 September 2021; Accepted 20 January 2022.
    (C) Studia UBB MATHEMATICA. Published by Babeş-Bolyai University

