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#### Abstract

This paper investigates an algorithm presented by Smolyak (1963), who studied tensor product problems.


## 1. Introduction

The essence of these algorithms is that it is enough to know how to solve the tensor product problem for $d=1$ efficiently. The algorithms for arbitrary $d$ are fully determined in terms of the algorithms for generally, arbitrary linear functionals.

The choice of function values is especially interesting, since for arbitrary linear functionals we know how to solve multivariate problems.

The algorithms are linear. They depend linearly on the information. This property makes their implementation easier. In fact, the weights of the algorithm for $d \geq 2$ are given by linear combinations of the corresponding tensor product weights of the one dimensional algorithms. Information used by the algorithms is called hyperbolic cross information and had been successfully applied for a number of problems.

## 2. Formulation of the problem

In this section a tensor product problem will be define for a class of functions of $d$ variables.

For $d=1,2, \ldots$ consider

$$
S_{d}: X_{d} \rightarrow Y_{d}
$$

where $X_{d}$ is a separable Banach space of functions $f: D^{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, D \subset \mathbf{R}, Y_{d}$ is either a separable Hilbert space of functions, or $\mathbf{R}$, and $S_{d}$ is a continuous linear operator.

We assume that $Y_{d}$ is a tensor product,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{d}=Y_{1} \otimes Y_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes Y_{1} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $X_{1}$ is a Hilbert space

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{d} & =X_{1} \otimes X_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes X_{1} \\
S_{d} & =S_{1} \otimes S_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes S_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The tensor product $f=f_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes f_{d}=\bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} f_{k}$ for numbers $f_{k}$ is just the product $\prod_{k=1}^{d} f_{k}$. When $f_{k}$ are scalar functions, $f$ is a function of $d$ variables, $f\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{d} f_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)$.

The element $S_{d}(f)$ is approximated by $A(f)=\phi(N(f))$, where the information about $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(f)=\left[L_{1}(f), \ldots, L_{n}(f)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

consists of $n$ values of continuous linear functionals $L_{i}$, and $\phi: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow G_{d}$ is a linear mapping. This results from linearity of $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} L_{i}(f), \quad \text { for some } y_{i} \in Y_{d} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error of the algorithm $A$ is given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(A)=\sup \left\{\left\|S_{d}(f)-A(f)\right\|_{Y_{d}}:\|f\|_{X_{d}} \leq 1\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to linearity of $S_{d}$ and $A$, we have

$$
e(A)=\left\|S_{d}-A\right\|
$$

The cost of $A$ does not depend on the setting and it is defined as follows. We assume that the cost of computing $L_{i}(f)$ equals $c(d)$ for any $f \in X_{d}$ and any $L_{i}$. Also assume that basic arithmetic operations on reals and multiplication and addition in $Y_{d}$ have a unit cost. Assuming that the elements $y_{i}$ can be precomputed, the cost of the algorithm $A, \operatorname{cost}(A)$, is bounded by

$$
\operatorname{cost}(A) \leq n(c(d)+2)-1
$$

The precomputation of the elements $y_{i}$ is usually easy since they depend only on the corresponding elements for $d=1$.

## 3. Smolyak's algorithm

As it was mentioned in the introduction, the essence of these algorithms is that they give a general construction that leads to almost optimal approximations for any dimension $d>1$ from optimal approximation for the univariate case $d=1$.

Assume, therefore, that for $d=1$, we know linear algorithms (operators) $U^{i}, i \geq 1$, which approximate the problem $\left\{X_{1}, Y_{1}, S_{1}\right\}$ such that $\left\|S_{1}-U^{i}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Introducing the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{0}=U_{0}=0, \quad \Delta_{i}=U_{i}-U_{i-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $d>1$ we approximate the tensor product problem $\left\{X_{d}, Y_{d}, S_{d}\right\}$ by the algorithm

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(q, d)=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{d} \leq d} \Delta_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta_{i_{d}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots t_{d}\right)=f_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(t_{2}\right) \ldots f_{d}\left(t_{d}\right)$ then

$$
(A(q, d) f)\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots t_{d}\right)=\sum_{0 \leq i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{d} \leq d}\left(\Delta_{i_{1}} f_{1}\right)\left(t_{1}\right)\left(\Delta_{i_{2}} f_{2}\right)\left(t_{2}\right) \ldots\left(\Delta_{i_{d}} f_{d}\right)\left(t_{d}\right)
$$

where $q$ is a nonnegativ integer, and $q \geq d$, because when $q<d$ one of the indices is zero, say $i_{j}=0$, and $\Delta_{i_{j}}=0$ implies that $A(q, d)=0$.

We use the notation $|i|=i_{1}+\cdots i_{d}$ for $i \in N^{d}$ and $i \geq j$ if $i_{k} \geq j_{k}$ for all $k$. By $Q(q, d)$ we mean

$$
Q(q, d)=\left\{i=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots i_{d}\right): 1 \leq i,|i| \leq q\right\}
$$

with $1=(1,1 \ldots 1)$ and $|Q(q, d)|=\binom{q}{d}$.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
A(q, d) & =\sum_{i \in Q(q, d)} \bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} \Delta_{i_{k}}=\sum_{i \in Q(q-1, d-1)}\left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} \Delta_{i_{k}}\right) \otimes \sum_{i_{d}=1}^{g-|i|} \Delta_{i_{d}} \\
& =\sum_{i \in Q(q-1, d-1)}\left(\bigotimes_{k=1}^{d-1} \Delta_{i_{k}}\right) \otimes U_{q-|i|} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta_{i}=U_{m}$ for any $m \geq 1$.
Observe that

$$
\bigotimes_{k=1}^{d}\left(U_{i_{k}}-U_{i_{k-1}}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in\{0,1\}^{d}}(-1)^{|\alpha|} \bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} U_{i_{k}-\alpha_{k}}
$$

${\underset{k=1}{d}}_{\otimes}^{j_{j_{k}}}$ appears in $A(q, d)$ for all indices $i$ for which $i_{k}=j_{k}+\alpha_{k}$ with $\alpha \in\{0,1\}^{d}$ and $|\alpha| \leq q-|j|$. The sign of $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} U_{j_{k}}$ in this case is $(-1)^{|\alpha|}$.

Let

$$
b(i, d)=\sum_{\alpha \in\{0,1\}^{d},|\alpha| \leq i}(-1)^{|\alpha|}
$$

This yield

$$
A(q, d)=\sum_{j \in Q(q, d)} b(q-|j|, d) \bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} U_{j_{k}} .
$$

We now compute $b(i, d)$. Since $|\alpha|=j$ corresponds to $\binom{d}{j}$ terms, we have

$$
b(i, d)=\sum_{j=0}^{\min \{i, d\}}\binom{d}{j}(-1)^{j}=(-1)^{i}\binom{d-1}{i} .
$$

In particular, $b(i, d)=0$ for $i \geq d$. This yields the explicit form of $A(q, d)$ :
Lema 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(q, d)=\sum_{q-d+1 \leq|i| \leq q}(-1)^{q-|i|}\binom{d-1}{q-|i|}\left(U_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes U_{i_{d}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for

$$
U_{i}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} a_{i, j} L_{i, j}(f)
$$

with $a_{i, j} \in G_{1}$ and continuous functionals $L_{i, j}$ we have

$$
A(q, d) f=\sum_{q-d+1 \leq|i| \leq q}(-1)^{q-|i|}\binom{d-1}{q-|i|} \sum_{j \leq m_{i}} L_{i, j}(f) g_{i, j}
$$

where $L_{i, j}=\bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} L_{i_{k}, j_{k}}, g_{i, j}=\bigotimes_{k=1}^{d} a_{i_{k}, j_{k}}$ and $m_{i}=\left(m_{i_{1}}, \ldots, m_{i_{d}}\right)$.
Furthermore we consider the case in which for $d=1$ we have one of the spaces

$$
F_{1}^{r}=C^{r}([-1,1]), \quad r \in N
$$

with the norm

$$
\|f\|=\max \left(\|f\|_{\infty}, \ldots,\left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

For $d>1$ consider the tensor product

$$
F_{d}^{r}=\left\{f:[-1,1]^{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} / D^{\alpha} f \text { continuous if } \alpha_{i} \leq r \forall i\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
\|f\|=\max \left\{\left\|D^{\alpha} f\right\|_{\infty} / \alpha \in N_{0}^{d}, \alpha_{i} \leq r\right\} .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{d}(f)=\int_{[-1,1]^{d}} f(x) d x, \quad \text { with } f \in F_{d}^{r} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We wish to find good approximation to the functional $I_{d}$ on the basis of good approximation in the univariate case, using the algorithm of Smolyak.

In the multivariate case $d \geq 1$, define

$$
U_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes U_{i_{d}}=\sum_{j_{1}=1}^{m_{i_{1}}} \ldots \sum_{j_{d}=1}^{m_{i_{d}}} f\left(x_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{j_{d}}^{i_{d}}\right)\left(a_{j_{1}}^{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{j_{d}}^{i_{d}}\right)
$$

where we assume that a sequence of quadrature formulas

$$
U_{i}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} f\left(x_{j}^{i}\right) a_{j}^{i}
$$

is given with $m_{i} \in N$.
On the basis of Lemma 1 with given quadrature formulas $U^{i}$ we can write the approximation formula $A(q, d)$ for general $d$.
$A(q, d)$ is a linear functional, and for $f \in F_{d}^{r}, A(q, d)(f)$ depends only through function values at a finite number of points.

Let $X^{i}=\left\{x_{1}^{i}, \ldots, x_{m_{i}}^{i}\right\} \subset[-1,1]$ denote the set of points that correspond to $U^{i}$. Then $U_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes U_{i_{d}}$ is based on the grid $X^{i_{1}} \times \ldots \times X^{i_{d}}$, and therefore $A(q, d)(f)$ depends on the values of $f$ at the union

$$
H(q, d)=\bigcup_{q-d+1 \leq|i| \leq q}\left(X^{i_{1}} \times \ldots \times X^{i_{d}}\right) \in[-1,1]^{d}
$$

If $X_{i} \subset X_{i+1}$, than $H(q, d) \subset H(q+1, d)$ and $H(q, d)=\bigcup_{|i|=q}\left(X^{i_{1}} \times \ldots \times X^{i_{d}}\right)$. Therefore this kind of sets seems to be the most economical choice.

In the general case we assume that the algorithm

$$
U_{i}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} a_{i, j} L_{i, j}(f)
$$

use nested information $N_{i}=\left[L_{i, 1}, L_{i, 2}, \ldots, L_{i, m_{i}}\right]$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{L_{i, 1}, L_{i, 2}, \ldots, L_{i, m_{i}}\right\} \subset\left\{L_{i+1,1}, L_{i+1,2}, \ldots, L_{i+1, m_{i+1}}\right\}, \forall i=1,2, \ldots \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X_{1}$ is now a Hilbert space, $L_{i, j}=<f, f_{i, j}>$ for some element $f_{i, j}$ of $X_{1}$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ in $F_{1}$ such that

$$
N_{i}(f)=\left\{<f, f_{1}>,<f, f_{2}>, \ldots,<f, f_{m}>\right\}_{1}=1,2, \ldots
$$

Assume that the algorithms $U_{i}$ are optimal, i.e. they minimize the error among all algorithms that use the information $N_{i} . U_{i}$ is optimal if

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=S_{1} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is the orthogonal projection on the linear subspace $\operatorname{span}\left\{f_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right\}=$ $\left(\operatorname{ker} N_{i}\right)^{\perp}$. Then (11) implies optimality of the algorithm $A(q, d)$ for any $d$. If we note $N_{q, d}(f)=\left[L_{i, j}(f): 1 \leq i, q-d+1 \leq|i| \leq q, j \leq m_{i}\right]$ the information used by the algorithm $A(q, d)$, then for nested information $N_{i}$ and optimal $U_{i}$ of (11), $A(q, d)=S_{d} \mathcal{P}(q, d)$ where $\mathcal{P}(q, d)$ is the orthogonal projection on the linear subspace $\left(\operatorname{ker}(N(q, d))^{\perp}\right.$. Thus, in particular, $A(q, d)$ minimizes the error among all algorithms that use the same information $N_{q, d}$.

## 4. The Clenshaw-Curtis method

For any cubature formula $Q$ we have the error bound

$$
\left|I_{d}(f)-Q(f)\right| \leq\left\|I_{d}-Q\right\| \cdot\|f\|
$$

In the univariate case $d=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{r} \cdot \inf _{Q_{n}}\left(\left\|I_{1}-Q_{n}\right\|\right)=\beta_{r} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{r}>0$ are known constants for any $\forall r \in N$, (Strau $\left.\beta, 1979\right)$, and $Q_{n}$ are formulas which use $n$ function value.

Novak and Ritter suggest to use the Clenshaw-Curtis method, with a suitable choice of the sequence $m_{i}$, where $m_{i}$ denotes the number of function value used by $U_{i}$, and assume that $m_{i}<m_{i+1}$. In light of (12) they are interested in formulas $U_{i}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left(m_{i}^{r}\left\|I_{1}-U_{i}\right\|\right)<\infty, \quad \forall i \in N \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the property is true, for interpolatory formulas $U_{i}$, with positive weights.
To obtain nested sets of points, they choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=2^{i-1}+1, \quad i>1 \text { and } m_{1}=1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
x_{j}^{i}=-\cos \frac{\pi(j-1)}{m_{i}-1}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}
$$

and $x_{1}^{1}=0$, then $U_{1}(j)=2 j(0)$.
The weights of the Clenshaw-Curtis formula

$$
U_{i}(f)=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} f\left(x_{j}^{i}\right) a_{j}^{i}
$$

are caracterized by the demand that $U_{i}$ is exact for all polinomials of degree less than $m_{i}$, and for $i>1$ they are given by

$$
a_{j}^{i}=a_{m_{i}+1-j}^{i}=\frac{2}{m_{i}-1}\left(1-\frac{\cos (\pi(j-1)}{m_{i}\left(m_{i}-2\right.}-2 \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}-3 / 2} \frac{1}{4 k^{2}-1} \cdot \cos \frac{2 \pi k(j-1)}{m_{i}-1}\right)
$$

for $j=2, \ldots, m_{i}$ and $a_{1}^{i}=a_{m_{i}}^{i}=\frac{1}{m_{i}\left(m_{i}-2\right)}$.
For delimitation of the error, they start from the estimate in the univariate case

$$
\left\|I_{1}-U_{i}\right\| \leq \gamma_{r} \cdot 2^{-r \cdot i} .
$$

From (6) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(q+1, d+1) & =\sum_{|i| \leq q}\left(\Delta^{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta^{i_{d}} \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{q+1-|i|} \Delta^{i_{k}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{|i| \leq q}\left(\Delta^{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta^{i_{d}} \otimes U_{q+1-|i|}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then for the error we can obtain the following estimate:

$$
I_{d+1}-A(q+1, d+1)=\left(I_{d}-A(q, d)\right) \otimes I_{1}+\sum_{|i| \leq q} \Delta^{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta^{i_{d}} \otimes\left(I_{1}-U_{q+1-|i|}\right)
$$

Furthermore

$$
\left\|\Delta^{i_{k}} \leq\right\| I_{1}-U_{i_{k}}\|+\| I_{1}-U_{i_{k}-1} \| \leq \gamma_{r} \cdot 2^{-r i_{k}}\left(1+2^{r}\right)
$$

We get

$$
\sum_{|i| \leq q}\left\|\Delta^{i_{1}}\right\| \cdot \ldots \cdot\left\|\Delta^{i_{d}}\right\| \cdot\left\|I_{1}-U^{q+1-|i|}\right\| \leq\binom{ q}{d} \cdot \gamma_{r}^{d+1} \cdot\left(1+2^{r}\right)^{d} \cdot 2^{-r(q+1)}
$$

Inductively the following theorem can be obtained.
Theorem 1. Let $\theta_{r}=\max \left\{2^{r+1}, \gamma_{r} \cdot\left(1+2^{r}\right)\right\}$. The error of the cubature formula $A(q, d)$ satisfies the following estimates:

$$
\left\|I_{d}-A(q, d)\right\| \leq \gamma_{r} \theta_{r}^{d-1}\binom{q}{d-1} \cdot 2^{-r \cdot q}
$$

Corollary 1. Let $n=n(q, d)$ denote the number of knots used by $A(q, d)$. Then

$$
\left\|I_{d}-A(q, d)\right\|=\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-r} \cdot(\log n)^{(d-1)(r-1)}\right)
$$

This corrolary gives the error of $A(q, d)$ related to the number of knots from $H(q, d)$ and also gives the best error bound for Smolyak's algorithm which holds for arbitrary tensor product problems. On the other hand this method yields error of order $n^{r}(\log n)^{(d-1)(r-1)}$ for all classes $F_{d}^{r}$, hence this methods are almost optimal up to logarithmic factors on a whole scale of spaces of nonperiodic functions.

Property (15) is the essential requirement for the $U_{i}$ in the univariate case. Relation which also holds for the Gauss formulas. These formulas yield methods
$A(q, d)$ with a higher degree of exactness. Still Novak and Ritter prefer the ClenshawCurtis formulas because in this case the number of knots from $H(q, d)$ is reduced. Weights of different signs at common points are partially cancelled.

To determine the polynomial exactness they start from the fact that the Clenshaw-Curtis formula $U_{i}$ is exact on $V^{i}=P_{m_{i}}$, where $m_{i}$ is odd.

Theorem 2. The cubature formula $A(q, d)$ is exact on

$$
\sum_{|i|=q}\left(V^{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes V^{i_{d}}\right)
$$

The theorem can be proved by induction over $d$.
Remark. Theorem 2 holds for general tensor product problems if the space

$$
V^{i}=\left\{f \in F_{1}^{r} / I_{1}(f)=U^{i}(f)\right\}
$$

of exactness for the univariate problem is nested, $V^{i} \subset V^{i+1}$.
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