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#### Abstract

Existence and data dependence results for some Volterra integral equations with linear deviating of the argument are given.


## 1. Introduction

Differential-functional equations with linear deviating of the argument have been studied in many papers ([1]-[10], [18], [19],...).

In [9], by using the Picard operators' technique and a suitable Bielecki norm, we have given existence and uniqueness theorems for some Volterra integral equations which contain a linear deviating of the argument.

In this paper we study the existence and the data dependence for the solutions of the following Volterra integral equation with linear deviating of the argument:

$$
x(t)=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1 .
$$

We use the weakly Picard operators' technique, a fixed point theorem given by Rus in [12] and some data dependence results given by Rus and Mureşan in [17].

## 2. A fixed point theorem

Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $A: X \rightarrow X$ an operator. We denote by $F_{A}$ the fixed point set of $A$, that is

$$
F_{A}:=\{x \in X \mid A(x)=x\} .
$$
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We have:
Theorem 2.1. (Rus [12]) Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $A: X \rightarrow X a$ continuous operator. We suppose that there exists $\alpha \in[0,1[$ such that

$$
d\left(A^{2}(x), A(x)\right) \leq \alpha d(x, A(x)), \text { for all } x \in X
$$

Then:
a) $F_{A} \neq \emptyset$;
b) $A^{n}(x) \rightarrow x^{*}(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $x \in X$, and $x^{*}(x) \in F_{A}$.

## 3. Volterra integral equations with deviating argument

We consider the following Volterra integral equation with deviating argument:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C([0, b] \times \mathbf{R})$.
We have
Theorem 3.1. We suppose that there exists $L>0$ such that

$$
|f(s, u)-f(s, v)| \leq L|u-v|, \text { for all } s \in[0, b] \text { and all } u, v \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Then the equation (3.1) has solutions in $C[0, b]$.
Proof. Let $\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right)$ be, where

$$
\|x\|_{B}=\max _{t \in[0, b]}\left(|x(t)| e^{-\tau t}\right), \quad \tau>0
$$

We consider the operator

$$
A:\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right) \rightarrow\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)(t):=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a continuous operator.

This operator is not a contraction.
We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
A^{2}:\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right) \rightarrow\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right) \\
A^{2}(x)(t):=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, x(0)+\int_{0}^{\lambda s} f(u, x(\lambda u)) d u\right) d s
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left|A^{2}(x)(t)-A(x)(t)\right| \leq L \int_{0}^{t}\left|x(0)-x(\lambda s)+\int_{0}^{\lambda s} f(u, x(\lambda u)) d u\right| d s
$$

By denoting $\lambda s=v$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|A^{2}(x)(t)-A(x)(t)\right| \leq \frac{L}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda t}\left|x(0)-x(v)+\int_{0}^{v} f(u, x(\lambda u)) d u\right| d v= \\
=\frac{L}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda t}|A(x)(v)-x(v)| e^{-\tau v} e^{\tau v} d v \leq \\
\leq \frac{L}{\lambda \tau}\|A(x)-x\|_{B}\left(e^{\tau \lambda t}-1\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\lambda \tau}\|A(x)-x\|_{B} e^{\tau t}
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left|A^{2}(x)(t)-A(x)(t)\right| e^{-\tau t} \leq \frac{L}{\lambda \tau}\|A(x)-x\|_{B}, \text { for all } t \in[0, b]
$$

So, we have that

$$
\left\|A^{2}(x)-A(x)\right\|_{B} \leq \frac{L}{\lambda \tau}\|A(x)-x\|_{B}, \text { for all } x \in C[0, b] .
$$

We can choose $\tau$ so that $\frac{L}{\lambda \tau}<1$. Let $\tau=\frac{L}{\lambda}+1$ be.
We denote

$$
\frac{\frac{L}{\lambda}}{\frac{L}{\lambda}+1}=\alpha
$$

Thus

$$
\left\|A^{n+1}(x)-A^{n}(x)\right\|_{B} \leq \alpha^{n}\|A(x)-x\|_{B}
$$

and

$$
\left\|A^{n+p}(x)-A^{n}(x)\right\|_{B} \leq \frac{\alpha^{n}}{1-\alpha}\|A(x)-x\|_{B}, \text { for all } n \in \mathbf{N} \text { and all } p \in \mathbf{N}, p \geq 2
$$

So $\left(A^{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathrm{~N}^{*}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, for all $x \in C[0, b]$. Because $(C[0, b], d)$, where $d(x, y)=\|x-y\|_{B}$, is a complete metric space, we have that $\left(A^{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}}$ is a convergent sequence, for all $x \in C[0, b]$.

We denote $A^{\infty}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} A^{n}(x)$. From $A^{n+1}(x)=A\left(A^{n}(x)\right)$ and the continuity of the operator $A$ we have that $A^{\infty}(x) \in F_{A}$, that is $F_{A} \neq \emptyset$.

So, the equation (3.1) has solutions in $C[0, b]$.

## 4. An example of weakly Picard operator

We have
Definition 4.1. (Rus [16]) Let ( $X, d$ ) be a metric space. An operator $A: X \rightarrow X$ is a weakly Picard operator if the sequence $\left(A^{n}(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}}$ converges for all $x \in X$ and its limit, denoted by $A^{\infty}(x)$, is a fixed point of $A$.

For more details about the Picard operators and the weakly Picard operators see [13]-[16].

Let $\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{C}\right)$ be, where $\|x\|_{C}=\max _{t \in[0, b]}|x(t)|$.
We consider the following operator:

$$
A:\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{c}\right),
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x)(t):=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is as in the Theorem 3.1.
We have
Theorem 4.1. The operator $A$ defined by (4.1) is a weakly Picard operator.
Proof. We consider $\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right)$, where

$$
\|x\|_{B}=\max _{t \in[0, b]}\left(|x(t)| e^{-\left(\frac{L}{\lambda}+1\right) t}\right) .
$$

From the proof of the Theorem 3.1 we have that the operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
A:\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right) \rightarrow\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{B}\right), \\
A(x)(t):=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1,
\end{gathered}
$$

is a weakly Picard operator.
But $\|\cdot\|_{C}$ on $C[0, b]$ is metric equivalent with $\|\cdot\|_{B}$ on $C[0, b]$. Therefore, the operator $A$ defined by (4.1) is a weakly Picard operator.

Remark 4.1. The operator

$$
A:\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{C}\right) \rightarrow\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{C}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
A(x)(t):=\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1
$$

is a Picard operator ( $F_{A}$ has a unique fixed point).
So the integral equation

$$
x(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1
$$

has a unique solution in $C[0, b]$ (Theorem 3.1.1, [9]).

## 5. Data dependence of the solutions set

Let ( $X, d$ ) be a metric space. We use the following notations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(X)=\{Y \subseteq X \mid Y \neq \emptyset\} \\
P_{b, c l}(X)=\{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text { is bounded and closed }\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left.O_{A}(x)=\left\{x, A(x), A^{2}(x), \ldots, A^{n}(x), \ldots\right\} \text { (the orbit of } x \in X\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\delta(Y)=\sup \{d(a, b) \mid a, b \in Y\}, \text { the diameter of } Y \in P(X)
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
H: P_{b, c l}(X) \times P_{b, c l}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{+} \\
H(Y, Z)=\max \left(\sup _{a \in Y} \inf _{b \in Z} d(a, b), \sup _{b \in Z} \inf _{a \in Y} d(a, b)\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

the Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance on $P_{b, c l}(X)$ set.
Let $A, B:(X, d) \rightarrow(X, d)$ two operators for which there exists $\eta>0$ such that $d(A(x), B(x))<\eta$, for all $x \in X$. The data dependence problem of the solutions

set is to estimate the "distance" between the two fixed point sets $F_{A}$ and $F_{B}$ of these operators.

In order to study the data dependence of the solutions set of the equation (3.1), we need the following result:

Theorem 5.1. (Th.2.4, [17]) Let $(X, d)$ be a complete metric space and $A, B: X \rightarrow X$ two orbitally continuous operators. We suppose that:
(i) there exists $\alpha \in\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$ such that $d\left(A^{2}(x), A(x)\right) \leq \alpha d(x, A(x))$, for all $x \in X$
and
$d\left(B^{2}(x), B(x)\right) \leq \alpha d(x, B(x))$, for all $x \in X ;$
(ii) there exists $\eta>0$ such that $d(A(x), B(x)) \leq \eta$, for all $x \in X$.

Then

$$
H\left(F_{A}, F_{B}\right) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha} .
$$

Now we consider the following Volterra integral equations with deviating argument:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(t)=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1  \tag{5.1}\\
& x(t)=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} g(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, \quad t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1 \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

in which $\lambda$ is the same and $f, g \in C([0, b] \times \mathbf{R})$.
We have
Theorem 5.2. We suppose that
(i) there exists $L>0$ such that

$$
|f(s, u)-f(s, v)| \leq L|u-v|, \text { for all } s \in[0, b] \text { and all } u, v \in \mathbf{R}
$$

and

$$
|g(s, u)-g(s, v)| \leq L|u-v|, \text { for all } s \in[0, b] \text { and all } u, v \in \mathbf{R}
$$

(ii) there exists $\eta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
|f(s, u)-g(s, u)| \leq \eta_{1}, \text { for all } s \in[0, b] \text { and all } u \in \mathbf{R}
$$

(iii) $L b<1$.

Then
(a) $F_{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $F_{B} \neq \emptyset$;
(b) $H_{\|\cdot\|_{C}}\left(F_{A}, F_{B}\right) \leq \frac{\eta_{1} b}{1-L b}$, where by $H_{\|\cdot\|_{C}}$ we denote the Hausdorff-Pompeiu metric with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{C}$ on $C[0, b]$.

Proof. (a) By using the results of the Theorem 3.1 we have that $F_{A} \neq \emptyset$ and $F_{B} \neq \emptyset$.
(b) We consider the operators

$$
A, B:\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{C}\right) \rightarrow\left(C[0, b],\|\cdot\|_{C}\right),
$$

defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A(x)(t):=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, & t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1 \\
B(x)(t):=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} g(s, x(\lambda s)) d s, & t \in[0, b], 0<\lambda<1
\end{array}
$$

in which $\lambda$ is the same.
Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|A^{2}(x)(t)-A(x)(t)\right| \leq \frac{L}{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\lambda t}|A(x)(v)-x(v)| d v \leq \\
\leq L b\|A(x)-x\|_{C}, \text { for all } t \in[0, b] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|A^{2}(x)-A(x)\right\|_{C} \leq L b\|A(x)-x\|_{C}, \text { for all } x \in C[0, b]
$$

Similarly,

$$
\left\|B^{2}(x)-B(x)\right\|_{C} \leq L b\|B(x)-x\|_{C}, \text { for all } x \in C[0, b] .
$$

From (ii) we obtain that

$$
\|A(x)-B(x)\|_{C} \leq \eta_{1} b, \text { for all } x \in C[0, b] .
$$

By applying the Theorem 5.1 we have that

$$
H_{\|\cdot\|_{C}}\left(F_{A}, F_{B}\right) \leq \frac{\eta_{1} b}{1-L b} .
$$
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