

Characterizations of ε -duality gap statements for composed optimization problems

Horațiu-Vasile BONCEA

Consider two separated locally convex vector spaces X and Y and their continuous dual spaces X^* and Y^* , endowed with the weak* topologies $w(X^*, X)$ and $w(Y^*, Y)$ respectively. Let the nonempty closed convex cone $C \subseteq Y$ and its dual cone $C^* = \{y^* \in Y^* : \langle y^*, y \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall y \in Y\}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper function, $g : Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a proper function, which is also C -increasing and $h : X \rightarrow Y^\bullet$ be a proper vector function fulfilling $\text{dom}g \cap (h(\text{dom}f) + C) \neq \emptyset$. Unless otherwise stated, these hypotheses remain valid through the entire chapter. Consider the optimization problem

$$\inf_{x \in X} [f(x) + (g \circ h)(x)]. \quad (P^C)$$

For $x^* \in X^*$ we also consider the linearly perturbed optimization problem

$$\inf_{x \in X} [f(x) + (g \circ h)(x) - \langle x^*, x \rangle]. \quad (P_{x^*}^C)$$

To this problem we can attach different dual Fenchel-Lagrange-type problems. If f and (λh) are taken together one gets the following dual to $(P_{x^*}^C)$

$$\sup_{\lambda \in C^*} \{-g^*(\lambda) - (f + (\lambda h))^*(x^*)\}. \quad (D_{x^*}^C)$$

When f and (λh) are separated, one gets the following dual problem

$$\sup_{\substack{\lambda \in C^*, \\ \beta \in X^*}} \{-g^*(\lambda) - f^*(\beta) - (\lambda h)^*(x^* - \beta)\}. \quad (\overline{D_{x^*}^C})$$

ε -duality gap statements using epigraphs

Let $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Consider the regularity conditions

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \{(x^*, 0, r) : (x^*, r) \in \text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^*\} \subseteq [\{0\} \times \text{epi}(g^*) + \bigcup_{\lambda \in C^*} \{(a, -\lambda, r) : \\ (a, r) \in \text{epi}((f + (\lambda h))^*)\}] \cap (X^* \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) - (0, 0, \varepsilon) \end{array} \right. \quad (RC)$$

and

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \{(x^*, 0, r) : (x^*, r) \in \text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^*\} \subseteq [\{0\} \times \text{epi}(g^*) + \{(x^*, 0, r) : \\ (x^*, r) \in \text{epi}(f^*)\} + \bigcup_{\lambda \in C^*} \{(a, -\lambda, r) : (a, r) \in \text{epi}((\lambda h))^*\}] \cap \\ (X^* \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) - (0, 0, \varepsilon) \end{array} \right. \quad (\overline{RC})$$

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) The condition (RC) is fulfilled if and only if for any $x^* \in X^*$ there exists a $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ such that

$$(f + g \circ h)^*(x^*) \geq g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + (f + (\bar{\lambda}h))^*(x^*) - \varepsilon. \quad (1)$$

Remark

In the left-hand side of (1) one can easily recognize $-v(P_{x^*}^C)$. The quantity in the right-hand side of (1) is not necessarily $-v(D_{x^*}^C) - \varepsilon$, as the supremum in $(D_{x^*}^C)$ is not shown to be attained at $\bar{\lambda}$. Though, (1) implies $v(P_{x^*}^C) \leq v(D_{x^*}^C) + \varepsilon$, which actually means that for $(P_{x^*}^C)$ and $(D_{x^*}^C)$ there is ε -duality gap. Thus, (RC) yields that there is stable ε -duality gap for (P^C) and (D^C) . Note also that $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ obtained in the above theorem is an ε -optimal solution of $(D_{x^*}^C)$.

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) The condition (\overline{RC}) is fulfilled if and only if for any $x^* \in X^*$ there exist some $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ and $\bar{\beta} \in X^*$ such that

$$(f + g \circ h)^*(x^*) \geq g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + f^*(\bar{\beta}) + (\bar{\lambda}h)^*(x^* - \bar{\beta}) - \varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

Remark

In the left-hand side of (2) one can easily recognize $-v(P_{x^*}^C)$. The quantity in the right-hand side of (2) is not necessarily $-v(\overline{D}_{x^*}^C) - \varepsilon$, as the supremum in $(\overline{D}_{x^*}^C)$ is not shown to be attained at $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\beta}$. Though, (2) implies $v(P_{x^*}^C) \leq v(\overline{D}_{x^*}^C) + \varepsilon$, which actually means that for $(P_{x^*}^C)$ and $(\overline{D}_{x^*}^C)$ there is ε -duality gap. Thus (\overline{RC}) guarantees stable ε -duality gap for (P^C) and (\overline{D}^C) and, moreover, also for (P^C) and (D^C) . Note also that the pair $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta}) \in C^* \times X^*$ obtained in the above theorem is an ε -optimal solution of $(\overline{D}_{x^*}^C)$.

In order to characterize formulae similar to (1) and (2), where appear actually the optimal values of (D^C) and $(\overline{D^C})$, let us consider the following regularity conditions

$$\text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^* \subseteq \text{epi} \inf_{\lambda \in C^*} [g^*(\lambda) + (f + (\lambda h))^*(\cdot)] - (0, \varepsilon) \quad (RCI)$$

and

$$\text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^* \subseteq \text{epi} \inf_{\substack{\lambda \in C^* \\ \beta \in X^*}} [g^*(\lambda) + f^*(\beta) + (\lambda h)^*(\cdot - \beta)] - (0, \varepsilon). \quad (\overline{RCI})$$

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) The condition (RCI) is fulfilled if and only if for any $x^* \in X^*$ we have

$$(f + g \circ h)^*(x^*) \geq \inf_{\lambda \in C^*} [g^*(\lambda) + (f + (\lambda h))^*(x^*)] - \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

Remark

Relation (3) means actually $v(P_{x^*}^C) \leq v(D_{x^*}^C) + \varepsilon$, i.e. we have stable ε -duality gap for (P^C) and (D^C) .

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) The condition (\overline{RCI}) is fulfilled if and only if for any $x^* \in X^*$ we have

$$(f + g \circ h)^*(x^*) \geq \inf_{\substack{\lambda \in C^* \\ \beta \in X^*}} [g^*(\lambda) + f^*(\beta) + (\lambda h)^*(x^* - \beta)] - \varepsilon. \quad (4)$$

ε -duality gap statements using subdifferentials

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) One has

$$\partial(f + g \circ h)(x) \subseteq \bigcap_{\eta > 0} \bigcup_{\substack{\varepsilon_{1,2} \geq 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon + \eta \\ \lambda \in C^* \cap \partial_{\varepsilon_2} g(h(x))}} \partial_{\varepsilon_1}(f + (\lambda h))(x) \quad (RCSC)$$

for all $x \in X$ if and only if (3) holds for all $x^* \in R(\partial(f + g \circ h))$.

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) One has

$$\partial(f + g \circ h)(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\varepsilon_{1,2} \geq 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon \\ \lambda \in C^* \cap \partial_{\varepsilon_2} g(h(x))}} \partial_{\varepsilon_1}(f + (\lambda h))(x) \quad (RCLC)$$

for all $x \in X$ if and only if for all $x^* \in R(\partial(f + g \circ h))$, there exists $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ such that (1) holds.

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) One has

$$\partial(f + g \circ h)(x) \subseteq \bigcap_{\eta > 0} \bigcup_{\substack{\varepsilon_{1,2} \geq 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon + \eta \\ \lambda \in C^* \cap \partial_{\varepsilon_3} g(h(x))}} \partial_{\varepsilon_1} f(x) + \partial_{\varepsilon_2}(\lambda h)(x) \quad (\overline{RCSC})$$

for all $x \in X$ if and only if for all $x^* \in R(\partial(f + g \circ h))$, (4) holds.

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) One has

$$\partial(f + g \circ h)(x) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\varepsilon_{1,2} \geq 0 \\ \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon + \eta \\ \lambda \in C^* \cap \partial_{\varepsilon_3} g(h(x))}} \partial_{\varepsilon_1} f(x) + \partial_{\varepsilon_2}(\lambda h)(x) \quad (\overline{RCLC})$$

for all $x \in X$ if and only if for all $x^* \in R(\partial(f + g \circ h))$, there exist $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ and $\bar{\beta} \in X^*$ such that (2) holds.

Results concerning ε -optimality conditions, ε -Farkas statements and (ε, η) -saddle points

From the results presented in the previous sections one can derive other useful statements concerning ε -optimality conditions, ε -Farkas assertions and characterizations for (ε, η) -saddle points. Let us consider the following regularity conditions:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} (\text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^*) \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \subseteq (\text{epi} \inf_{\lambda \in C^*} [g^*(\lambda) + (f + (\lambda h))^*(\cdot)]) \cap \\ (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) - (0, \varepsilon) \end{array} \right. \quad (RCI^0)$$

and

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} (\text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^*) \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) \subseteq (\text{epi} \inf_{\substack{\lambda \in C^* \\ \beta \in X^*}} [g^*(\lambda) + f^*(\beta) + (\lambda h)^*(\cdot - \beta)]) \\ \cap (\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) - (0, \varepsilon). \end{array} \right. \quad (\overline{RCI}^0)$$

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) (a) Let $\varepsilon, \eta \geq 0$. Suppose that the condition (RCI^0) is fulfilled. If \bar{x} is an ε -optimal solution of the problem (P^C) , then there exist $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$, and $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ such that

(i) $g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + g(h(\bar{x})) \leq (\bar{\lambda}h)(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon_2$,

(ii) $(f + (\bar{\lambda}h))^*(0) + (f + (\bar{\lambda}h))(\bar{x}) \leq \varepsilon_1$,

(iii) $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon + \eta$.

Moreover, $\bar{\lambda}$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution of the problem (D^C) .

(b) If there exist $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \geq 0$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ such that the relations (i)-(iii) hold for $\bar{x} \in X$ and $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ then \bar{x} is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution of the problem (P^C) . Moreover, $\bar{\lambda}$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution of the problem (D^C) .

The similar statement for $(\overline{D^C})$ can be proven analogously.

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) (a) Let $\varepsilon, \eta \geq 0$. Suppose that the condition (\overline{RCI}^0) is fulfilled. If \bar{x} is an ε -optimal solution of the problem (P^C) , then there exist $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \geq 0$, $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ and $\bar{\beta} \in X^*$ such that

(i) $g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + g(h(\bar{x})) \leq (\bar{\lambda}h)(\bar{x}) + \varepsilon_3$,

(ii) $f^*(\bar{\beta}) + f(\bar{x}) \leq \langle \bar{\beta}, \bar{x} \rangle + \varepsilon_1$,

(iii) $(\bar{\lambda}h)^*(-\bar{\beta}) + (\bar{\lambda}h)(\bar{x}) \leq \langle -\bar{\beta}, \bar{x} \rangle + \varepsilon_2$,

(iv) $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon + \eta$.

Moreover, $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution of the problem $(\overline{D^C})$.

(b) If there exist $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \geq 0$, $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ and $\bar{\beta} \in X^*$ such that the relations (i)-(iv) hold for $\bar{x} \in X$, $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ and $\bar{\beta} \in X^*$ then \bar{x} is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution of the problem (P^C) . Moreover, $(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\beta})$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution of the problem $(\overline{D^C})$.

In the following we give ε -Farkas-type results for (P^C) and its duals, too.
 Consider the following conditions:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \{(0, 0, r) : (0, r) \in \text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^*\} \subseteq [\{0\} \times \text{epi}(g^*) + \bigcup_{\lambda \in C^*} \{(a, -\lambda, r) : \\ (a, r) \in \text{epi}((f + (\lambda h))^*)\}] \cap (\{0\} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) - (0, 0, \varepsilon) \end{array} \right. \quad (RC^0)$$

and

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \{(0, 0, r) : (0, r) \in \text{epi}(f + g \circ h)^*\} \subseteq [\{0\} \times \text{epi}(g^*) + \{(0, 0, r) : \\ (0, r) \in \text{epi}(f^*)\} + \bigcup_{\lambda \in C^*} \{(a, -\lambda, r) : (a, r) \in \text{epi}((\lambda h))^*\}] \cap \\ (\{0\} \times \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}) - (0, 0, \varepsilon) \end{array} \right. \quad (\overline{RC}^0)$$

Theorem

- (i) Suppose that (RC^0) holds. If $f(x) + (g \circ h)(x) \geq \varepsilon/2$ for all $x \in X$ then there exists $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ such that $g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + (f + \bar{\lambda}h)^*(0) \leq \varepsilon/2$.
- (ii) If there exists $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ such that $g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + (f + \bar{\lambda}h)^*(0) \leq -\varepsilon/2$, then $f(x) + (g \circ h)(x) \geq \varepsilon/2$ for all $x \in X$.

Analogously, one can prove the following statements for (P^C) and $(\overline{D^C})$, too.

Theorem

(i) Suppose that $(\overline{RC})^0$ holds. If $f(x) + (g \circ h)(x) \geq \varepsilon/2$ for all $x \in X$ then there exist $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ and $\bar{\beta} \in X^*$ such that

$$f^*(\bar{\beta}) + g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + (\bar{\lambda}h)^*(-\bar{\beta}) \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

(ii) If there exist $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ and $\bar{\beta} \in X^*$ such that

$$f^*(\bar{\beta}) + g^*(\bar{\lambda}) + (\bar{\lambda}h)^*(-\bar{\beta}) \leq -\varepsilon/2, \text{ then } f(x) + (g \circ h)(x) \geq \varepsilon/2 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Nevertheless, one can extend the investigations from this section also towards generalized saddle points.

The Lagrangian function assigned to $(P^C) - (D^C)$ is $L^C : X \times Y^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, defined by (cf. [5])

$$L^C(x, \lambda) = \begin{cases} f(x) + (\lambda h)(x) - g^*(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \in C^* \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\eta \geq 0$. We say that $(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda}) \in X \times Y^*$ is (η, ε) -saddle point of the Lagrangian L^C if

$$L^C(\bar{x}, \lambda) - \eta \leq L^C(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda}) \leq L^C(x, \bar{\lambda}) + \varepsilon, \text{ for all } (x, \lambda) \in X \times Y^*.$$

Theorem

(H.-V. Boncea, S.-M. Grad, [1]) Assume that g is a convex and lower semicontinuous function fulfilling $g(y) > -\infty$ for all $y \in Y$. If $(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda})$ is an (η, ε) -saddle point of L^C then $\bar{x} \in X$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution to (P^C) , $\bar{\lambda} \in C^*$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution to (D^C) and there is $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -duality gap for the pair of problems (P^C) and (D^C) , i.e. $v(P^C) \leq (D^C) + \varepsilon + \eta$.






An analogous result with the anterior theorem can be formulated for the pair of problems (P^C) and $(\overline{D^C})$ with the corresponding Lagrangian function given by (cf. [5]) $\overline{L^C} : X \times X^* \times Y^* \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$






$$\overline{L^C}(x, \beta, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \langle \beta, x \rangle + (\lambda h)(x) - f^*(\beta) - g^*(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \in C^* \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Assume that g is a convex and lower semicontinuous function fulfilling $g(y) > -\infty$ for all $y \in Y$. If $(\overline{x}, \overline{\lambda})$ is an (η, ε) -saddle point of $\overline{L^C}$ then $\overline{x} \in X$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution to (P^C) , $\overline{\lambda} \in C^$ is an $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -optimal solution to $(\overline{D^C})$ and there is $(\varepsilon + \eta)$ -duality gap for the pair of problems (P^C) and $(\overline{D^C})$, i.e. $v(P^C) \leq (\overline{D^C}) + \varepsilon + \eta$.*

Bibliography

-  [1] **H.-V. Boncea**, S.-M. Grad, *Characterizations of ε -duality gap statements for composed optimization problems*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 92, 96-107, 2013.
-  [2] **H.-V. Boncea**, S.-M. Grad, *Characterizations of ε -duality gap statements for constrained optimization problems*, *Central European Journal of Mathematics*, 11(11), 2020-2033, 2013.
-  [3] R.I. Boț, *Conjugate duality in convex optimization*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2010.
-  [4] R.I. Boț, S.-M. Grad, G. Wanka: *A new constraint qualification for the formula of the subdifferential of composed convex functions infinite dimensional spaces*, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 281(8), 1088-1107, 2008.
-  [5] R.I. Boț, S.-M. Grad, G. Wanka, *Duality in Vector Optimization*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.

-  [6] R.I. Boț, S.-M. Grad, G. Wanka, *New regularity conditions for strong and total Fenchel-Lagrange duality in infinite dimensional spaces*, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* 69(1), 323–336, 2008.
-  [8] V. Jeyakumar, G.Y. Li: *Stable zero duality gaps in convex programming: Complete dual characterizations with applications to semidefinite programs*, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 360, 156–167, 2009.
-  [9] R.T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
-  [10] D. Tiba, C. Zălinescu, *On the necessity of some constraint qualification conditions in convex programming*, *Journal of Convex Analysis* 11(1), 95-110, 2004.
-  [11] C. Zălinescu, *Convex analysis in general vector spaces*. World Scientific, River Edge, 2002.

Vă mulțumesc pentru atenție!