

About some polynomial functions

D.M. Băţineţu-Giurgiu, Mihály Bencze and Neculai Stanciu ¹

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present some new results about polynomial functions.

Let f and g be two functions defined on \mathbb{C} , the set of complex numbers, i.e. $f, g : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote:

$$u = u(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad v = v(x) = f(x)g(x) \quad (1)$$

and we will evaluate

$$P_n(x) = f^n(x) + g^n(x) \quad (2)$$

only by u and v . If m and n are positive integer such that $m \leq n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n P_m &= (f^n(x) + g^n(x))(f^m(x) + g^m(x)) = (f^{n+m}(x) + g^{n+m}(x)) + \\ &+ f^m(x)g^m(x)(f^{n-m}(x) + g^{n-m}(x)) = P_{n+m} + v^m P_{n-m} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

If $m = 1$, then by (3) we obtain

$$P_{n+1} = P_1 P_n - v P_{n-1} = u P_n - v P_{n-1} \quad (4)$$

Definition 1. We call the integer part of the real number α the integer number denoting by $[\alpha]$ which verifies

$$[\alpha] \leq \alpha < [\alpha] + 1 \quad (5)$$

Definition 2. We call an integer parity a function $p : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, (\mathbb{Z} = the set of integer numbers) defined by

$$p(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 2k \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

We note that

$$p(n) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - (-1)^n) = 2 \left(\frac{n}{2} - \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \right) \quad (7)$$

Also we introduce the following functions

$$\omega(n) = 1 + (-1)^n = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = 2k \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$w(n) = 2p(n) = 1 - (-1)^n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 2k \\ 2 & \text{if } n = 2k + 1 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Theorem 1. For any natural numbers n polynomial P_n satisfies

- a) P_n has degree n in u and has degree $\left[\frac{n}{2} \right]$ in v ;
- b) P_n has the form

$$P_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2} \right]} (-1)^i B_n^i u^{n-2i} v^i \quad (10)$$

¹Received: 22.07.2013

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 12E10.

Key words and phrases. Polynomial, function.

c) For any natural number n we have $B_n^0 = 1$

d)

$$B_n^p = B_{n-2}^{p-1} + B_{n-1}^p \quad (11)$$

e) $B_0^0 = 2$ and for any n with $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] = \frac{n}{2}$, then $B_n^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} = 2$.

Proof. We prove by mathematical induction.

For $n = 0$ we have $P_0 = 2 = B_0^0 u^0$, so $B_0^0 = 2$, the theorem is checked.

For $n = 1$ we have $P_1 = u = B_1^0 u$, so $B_1^0 = 1$, the theorem is checked.

For $n = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_2 &= f^2(x) + g^2(x) = (f(x) + g(x))^2 - 2f(x)g(x) = u^2 - 2v = \\ &= B_2^0 u^2 + (-1)^1 B_2^1 u^0 v = B_2^0 u^2 + (-1)^1 B_2^1 v, \end{aligned}$$

so $B_2^0 = 1$, $B_2^1 = 2$ which shows that the statement is true.

We suppose that for $n = k$ the theorem is true, i.e.

$$P_k = \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^i B_k^i u^{k-2i} v^i \quad (12)$$

and show that it is true for $n = k + 1$, i.e.

$$P_{k+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^i B_{k+1}^i u^{k+1-2i} v^i \quad (13)$$

(i) If $2r = k$, then $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] = r$, $\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right] = r$, $\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right] = r - 1$, and by (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{k+1} &= uP_k - vP_{k-1} = u \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i B_k^i u^{k-2i} v^i - v \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i B_{k-1}^i u^{k-2i-1} v^i = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i B_k^i u^{k+1-2i} v^i - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i B_{k-1}^i u^{k-2i-1} v^{i+1} = \\ &= B_k^0 u^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i B_k^i u^{k+1-2i} v^i - \sum_{j=1}^r (-1)^{j-1} B_{k-1}^{j-1} u^{k+1-2j} v^j, \quad (j = i + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$P_{k+1} = B_k^0 u^{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i (B_k^i + B_{k-1}^{i-1}) u^{k+1-2i} v^i \quad (14)$$

Denoting

$$B_{k+1}^0 = B_1^0, B_{k+1}^1 = B_k^1 + B_{k-1}^{0-1} \quad (15)$$

we deduce that the statement is true for $k + 1 = 2r + 1$.

(ii) If $2r + 1 = k$, then $\left[\frac{k}{2}\right] = r$, $\left[\frac{k+1}{2}\right] = r + 1$, $\left[\frac{k-1}{2}\right] = r$ and by (4) we have

$$P_{k+1} = uP_k - vP_{k-1} = u \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i B_k^i u^{k-2i} v^i - v \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i B_{k-1}^i u^{k-2i-1} v^i =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i B_k^i u^{k+1-2i} v^i - \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i B_{k-1}^i u^{k-2i-1} v^{i+1} = \\
&= B_k^0 u^{k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i B_k^i u^{k+1-2i} v^i - \sum_{j=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{j-1} B_{k-1}^{j-1} u^{k+1-2j} v^j, \quad (j = i+1)
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{k+1} &= B_k^0 u^{k+1} + \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i (B_k^i + B_{k-1}^{i-1}) u^{k+1-2i} v^i + (-1)^r B_{k-1}^r u^{k+1-2r-2} v^{r+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Denoting

$$B_k^0 = B_{k+1}^0, B_{k+1}^i = B_k^i + B_{k-1}^{i-1}, B_{k+1}^{r+1} = B_{k-1}^r \tag{17}$$

we deduce that the statement is true for $k+1 = 2(r+1)$.

Therefore, by mathematical induction we obtain that the enunciation is true for any natural number n . So far we have established claims a) and b) from the statement. The relations (15) and (17) shows that

$$B_n^0 = B_{n+1}^0 = B_1^0 = B_2^0 = 1, B_{2k}^k = B_{2(k+1)}^{k+1} = B_2^1 = B_0^0 = 2,$$

and

$$B_n^p = B_{n-2}^{p-1} + B_{n-1}^p,$$

i.e. others claims of the statement.

The numbers B_n^p are uniquely determined by the relations:

$$B_n^0 = 1 \quad (n > 0), \quad B_{2p}^p = 2, \quad B_n^p = B_{n-2}^{p-1} + B_{n-1}^p \tag{18}$$

Theorem 2. For any $n \geq 0$ we have

$$B_n^p = n \cdot \frac{(n-p-1)!}{p! (n-2p)!} \tag{19}$$

Proof. So we have to check that B_n^p given by (19) verify relations (18).

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n^0 &= n \cdot \frac{(n-0-1)!}{0! (n-2 \cdot 0)!} = n \cdot \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} = 1, \text{ for any } n > 0 \\
B_{2p}^p &= 2p \cdot \frac{(2p-p-1)!}{p! (2p-2p)!} = 2p \cdot \frac{(p-1)!}{p! 0!} = 2 \cdot \frac{p!}{p!} = 2, \text{ for any } p \geq 0 \\
B_{n-1}^p + B_{n-2}^{p-1} &= (n-1) \cdot \frac{(n-1-p-1)!}{p! (n-1-2p)!} + (n-2) \cdot \frac{(n-2-p+1-1)!}{(p-1)! (n-2-2p+2)!} = \\
&= \frac{(n-p-2)!}{(p-1)! (n-2p-1)!} \left(\frac{n-1}{p} + \frac{n-2}{n-2p} \right) = n \cdot \frac{(n-p-1)!}{p! (n-2p)!} = B_n^p,
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete.

We return now to the relation (1). Hence

$$f(x) = \frac{u \pm \sqrt{u^2 - 4v}}{2}, \quad g(x) = \frac{u \mp \sqrt{u^2 - 4v}}{2} \tag{20}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}
2^n P_n &= 2^n (f^n(x) + g^n(x)) = \left(u \pm \sqrt{u^2 - 4v}\right)^n + \left(u \mp \sqrt{u^2 - 4v}\right)^n = \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (\pm 1)^i C_n^i u^{n-i} \sqrt{(u^2 - 4v)}^i + \sum_{i=0}^n (\mp 1)^i C_n^i u^{n-i} \sqrt{(u^2 - 4v)}^i = \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i C_n^i u^{n-i} \sqrt{(u^2 - 4v)}^i \omega(i) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j C_n^{2j} u^{n-2j} (u^2 - 4v)^j = \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j C_n^{2j} u^{n-2j} \left(\sum_{r=0}^j (-1)^r C_j^r u^{2r} 2^{2r} v^r \right) = \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j 2^{2j} S(n, j) u^{n-2j} v^j
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where

$$S(n, j) = \sum_{r=j}^{n-j} C_{n-j-1}^{r-1} C_r^j \tag{22}$$

Theorem 3. For any $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ we have that

$$S(n, k) = 2^{n-2k-1} B_n^k \tag{23}$$

Proof. Indeed, by (10) and (21) we have

$$2^n B_n^k = 2^{2k+1} S(n, k),$$

so

$$S(n, k) = 2^{n-2k-1} B_n^k,$$

and we are done.

Theorem 4. We have

$$B_{2p+k}^p = B_{2p-2}^{p-1} + B_{2p-1}^{p-1} + \dots + B_{2p+k-2}^{p-1} \tag{24}$$

$$B_{p+2k+2}^k = B_p^0 + B_{p+2}^1 + B_{p+4}^2 + \dots + B_{2p+k-2}^{p-1} \tag{25}$$

Proof. Indeed, we have

$$B_{2p+k-1}^p = B_{2p+k-i-2}^{p-1} + B_{2p+k-i-1}^p,$$

therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^k B_{2p+k-i}^p = \sum_{i=0}^k B_{2p+k-i-2}^{p-1} + \sum_{i=0}^k B_{2p+k-i-1}^p = \sum_{i=0}^k B_{2p+k-i-2}^{p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} B_{2p+k-j}^p,$$

so

$$B_{2p+k}^p - B_{2p-2}^{p-1} = \sum_{i=0}^k B_{2p+k-i-2}^{p-1} \tag{26}$$

But,

$$B_{2p}^p = B_{2p-1}^p + B_{2(p-1)}^{p-1},$$

from where

$$B_{2p-1}^p = B_{2p}^p - B_{2(p-1)}^{p-1} = 2 - 2 = 0,$$

and by (26) we obtain (24).

Also we have that

$$B_{p+2i+1}^i = B_{p+2i}^i + B_{p+2i-1}^{i-1},$$

so

$$\sum_{i=1}^k B_{p+2i+1}^i = \sum_{i=1}^k B_{p+2i}^i + \sum_{i=1}^k B_{p+2i-1}^{i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^k B_{p+2i}^i + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} B_{p+2j+1}^j,$$

therefore

$$B_{p+2k+1}^k - B_{p+1}^0 = \sum_{i=1}^k B_{p+2i}^i.$$

We obtain

$$B_{p+2k+1}^k - B_{p+1}^0 + B_p^0 = \sum_{i=0}^k B_{p+2i}^i$$

i.e. (25) is proved, and the proof is complete.

Theorem 5. For any natural numbers n , we have

$$u^n P_{n-1} = v^n P_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{n-(i+1)} v^{i-1} P_{n-i+1} \quad (27)$$

Proof. We have

$$u^{n-i} v^{i-1} P_{n-i} = u^{n-i-1} v^{i-1} (u P_{n-i}),$$

and if we taking account by (4) we deduce

$$u^{n-i} v^{i-1} P_{n-i} = u^{n-i-1} v^{i-1} (P_{n-i+1} + v P_{n-i-1}),$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{n-i} v^{i-1} P_{n-i} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{n-(i+1)} v^{i-1} P_{n-i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{n-(i+1)} v^i P_{n-i-1} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{n-(i+1)} v^i (i-1) P_{n-i+1} + \sum_{j=2}^n u^{n-j} v^{j-1} P_{n-j}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$u^n P_{n-1} = v^n P_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u^{n-(i+1)} v^{i-1} P_{n-i+1},$$

and we are done.

Theorem 6. For any natural numbers n , we have

$$P_{2n+1} = P_1 \left((-1)^n v^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i v^i P_{2(n-i)} \right) \quad (28)$$

Proof. By (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^i v^i P_{2(n-i)+1} &= (-1)^i v^i (u P_{2(n-i)} - v P_{2(n-i-1)+1}) = \\ &= (-1)^i v^i u P_{2(n-i)} + (-1)^{i+1} v^{i+1} P_{2(n-i-1)+1}, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i v^i P_{2(n-i)+1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i v^i P_1 P_{2(n-i)} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} v^{i+1} P_{2(n-i-1)+1} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i v^i P_1 P_{2(n-i)} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j v^j P_{2(n-j)+1}, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} P_{2n+1} &= (-1)^n v^n P_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i v^i P_1 P_{2(n-i)} = \\ &= P_1 \left((-1)^n v^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i v^i P_{2(n-i)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

The relations (7) and (10) allow us to write

$$P_n = u^{\rho(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i u^{2(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - i)} v^i B_n^i \quad (29)$$

or

$$P_n = u^{\rho(n)} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (P_2 + 2v)^{2(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - i)} v^i B_n^i \quad (30)$$

Theorem 7. For any x solution of equation $u(x) = 0$ and for any natural number n we have

$$P_n = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} v^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} B_n^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (1 - p(n)) \quad (31)$$

Proof. Indeed, the relation (29) shows that if $p(n) = 1$, then $P_n(0, v) = 0$; and if $p(n) = 0$ then $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor = \frac{n}{2}$ so $P_{2k}(0, v) = (-1)^k v^k B_{2k}^k = 2(-1)^k v^k$, q.e.d.

Theorem 8. For any x solution of equation $v(x) = 0$ and for any natural number n , we have

$$P_n(u, 0) = u^n \quad (32)$$

Proof. If in (10) we take $v = 0$ then we obtain $P_n(u, 0) = B_n^0 u^n = u^n$.

Since $v = 0$ we have the following cases

- $f(x) = 0, g(x) \neq 0$, and then $P_n(u, 0) = g^n(x)$;
- $f(x) = 0, g(x) = 0$, and then $P_n(u, 0) = P_n(0, 0) = 0$;

- $f(x) \neq 0, g(x) = 0$, and then $P_n(u, 0) = f^n(x)$.
The proof is complete.

Theorem 9. For any natural number n , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i} B_n^i = 2 \quad (33)$$

Proof. Indeed, if in (10) we take $f(x) = at(x)$, $g(x) = bt(x)$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (a+b)^{n-2i} (ab)^i B_n^i t^n &= (a^n + b^n) t^n, \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (a+b)^{n-2i} (ab)^i B_n^i &= a^n + b^n, \end{aligned}$$

and for $a = b = 1$ we obtain the result.

Theorem 10. For any natural number n , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i B_n^i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 6k \pm 1 \\ -1 & \text{if } n = 6k \pm 2 \\ -2 & \text{if } n = 6k + 3 \\ 2 & \text{if } n = 6k \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

Proof. We give two demonstrations.

(i) We note that LHS of (34) results by (10) for $u = v = 1$. In other words if we denote $f(x) = \xi$, $g(x) = \eta$, LHS is obtain for x which verify

$$\xi + \eta = 1, \quad \xi\eta = 1 \quad (35)$$

By (35) we deduce

$$\xi^2 - \xi + 1 = \eta^2 - \eta + 1 = 0 \quad (36)$$

so

$$\xi^2 = (1 - \eta)^2 = 1 - 2\eta + \eta^2 = 1 - \eta + \eta^2 - \eta = -\eta;$$

$$\eta^2 = (1 - \xi)^2 = 2\xi + \xi^2 = 1 - \xi + \xi^2 - \xi = -\xi \quad (37)$$

$$\xi^3 = \xi\xi^2 = \xi(-\eta) = -\xi\eta = -1, \quad \eta^3 = \eta\eta^2 = \eta(-\xi) = -\xi\eta = -1 \quad (38)$$

Making these substitutions in (10) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i B_n^i = \xi^n + \eta^n \quad (39)$$

If

- $-n = 6k$, then $\xi^n + \eta^n = (\xi^3)^{2k} + (\eta^3)^{2k} = 2$;
- $n = 6k + 1$, then

$$\xi^n + \eta^n = (\xi^3)^{2k} \xi^{\pm 1} + (\eta^3)^{2k} \eta^{\pm 1} = \xi^{\pm 1} + \eta^{\pm 1} = \begin{cases} \xi + \eta = 1 \\ \frac{1}{\xi} + \frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{\xi + \eta}{\xi\eta} = 1 \end{cases}$$

- $n = 6k \pm 2$, then

$$\xi^n + \eta^n = (\xi^3)^{2k} (\xi^2)^{\pm 1} + (\eta^3)^{2k} (\eta^2)^{\pm 1} = (-\eta)^{\pm 1} + (-\xi)^{\pm 1} = -1$$

- $n = 6k + 3$, then

$$\xi^n + \eta^n = (\xi^3)^{2k+1} + (\eta^3)^{2k+1} = (-1)^{2k+1} + (-1)^{2k+1} = -2$$

So the theorem is proved.

(ii) Substituting in (10) on $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ with μ and v where $\mu + v = -1$, $\mu v = 1$ and

$$\mu^2 + \mu + 1 = v^2 + v + 1 = 0 \quad (40)$$

We have

$$\mu^2 = (-1 - v)^2 = 1 + 2v + v^2 = v, \quad v^2 = (-1 - \mu)^2 = 1 + 2\mu + \mu^2 = \mu \quad (41)$$

and

$$\mu^3 = \mu\mu^2 = \mu v = 1, \quad v^3 = v v^2 = v\mu = 1 \quad (42)$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{n-i} B_n^i = \mu^n + v^n, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i B_n^i = (-1)^n (\mu^n + v^n) \quad (43)$$

If

- $n = 6k$, then $(-1)^n (\mu^n + v^n) = \mu^{6k} + v^{6k} = 2$;

- $n = 6k \pm 1$, then

$$(-1)^n (\mu^n + v^n) = - \left((\mu^3)^{2k} \mu^{\pm 1} + (v^3)^{2k} v^{\pm 1} \right) = - (\mu^{\pm 1} + v^{\pm 1}) = 1;$$

because $\mu + v = -1$ and $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{v} = \frac{\mu+v}{\mu v} = -1$.

- $n = 6k \pm 2$, then

$$(-1)^n (\mu^n + v^n) = \left((\mu^3)^{2k} \mu^{\pm 2} + (v^3)^{2k} v^{\pm 2} \right) = \mu^{\pm 2} + v^{\pm 2} = -1$$

- $n = 6k + 3$, then

$$(-1)^n (\mu^n + v^n) = - \left((\mu^3)^{2k+1} + (v^3)^{2k+1} \right) = -2$$

The proof is complete.

Particular cases

1. If $f(x) = \sin^2 x$, $g(x) = \cos^2 x$, then

$$P_n(u, v) = \sin^{2n} x + \cos^{2n} x = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{-n-2i} B_n^i \sin^{2i} 2x \quad (44)$$

2. If $f(x) = \sin x$, $g(x) = \cos x$, then

$$P_n(u, v) = \sin^n x + \cos^n x = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{-i} B_n^i (\sin x + \cos x)^{n-2i} \sin^i 2x \quad (45)$$

3. If $f(x) = e^{i\alpha(x)}$, $g(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)}$, then

$$P_n(u, v) = 2 \cos n\alpha(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i} B_n^i \cos^{n-2i} \alpha(x)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \cos n\alpha(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i-1} B_n^i \cos^{n-2i} \alpha(x) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i S(n, i) \cos^{n-2i} \alpha(x) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

4. If $if(x) = e^{i\alpha(x)}$, $ig(x) = -e^{-i\alpha(x)}$, then (10) becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i} B_n^i \sin^{n-2i} \alpha(x) = \frac{e^{in\alpha(x)} + (-1)^n e^{-in\alpha(x)}}{i^n} \quad (47)$$

and if we taking account by (8) and (9) the relation (47) becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i} B_n^i \sin^{n-2i} \alpha(x) = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (\omega(n) \cos n\alpha(x) + w(n) \sin n\alpha(x))$$

So

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{i-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} 2^{n-2i} B_n^i \sin^{n-2i} \alpha(x) = \omega(n) \cos n\alpha(x) + w(n) \sin n\alpha(x) \quad (48)$$

5. If $f(x) = e^{\beta(x)}$, $g(x) = e^{-\beta(x)}$, then $u = 2ch\beta(x)$ and $v = 1$; by (10) we deduce

$$2chn\beta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i} B_n^i ch^{n-2i} \beta(x)$$

or

$$chn\beta(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i-1} B_n^i ch^{n-2i} \beta(x) \quad (49)$$

6. If $f(x) = e^{\beta(x)}$, $g(x) = -e^{-\beta(x)}$ then $u = 2sh\beta(x)$ and $v = -1$; by (10) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} 2^{n-2i} B_n^i sh^{n-2i} \beta(x) = e^{n\beta(x)} + (-1)^n e^{-n\beta(x)}$$

hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} 2^{n-2i} B_n^i sh^{n-2i} \beta(x) = \omega(n) chn\beta(x) + w(n) shn\beta(x) \quad (50)$$

7. If in (10) we take $f(x) = e^{i \arccos x}$, $g(x) = e^{-i \arccos x}$ then $v = 1$

$$u = 2 \cos(\arccos x) = 2x = 2T_1(x),$$

and

$$P_n(x) = e^{in \arccos x} + e^{-in \arccos x} = 2 \cos(n \arccos x) = 2T_n(x),$$

where T_n is Chebyshev polynomial with degree n . In this case the relation (3) becomes

$$T_{n+m}(x) = 2T_n(x)T_m(x) - T_{n-m}(x) \quad (51)$$

and (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+1}(x) &= 2T_n(x)T_1(x) - T_{n-1}(x) = 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x) = \\ &= 2xT_n(x) - T_{n-1}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

By (10) we obtain that

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i 2^{n-2i-1} B_n^i x^{n-2i} \quad (53)$$

So the coefficient of x^{n-2i} from Chebyshev polynomial is

$$(-1)^i 2^{n-2i-1} B_n^i = (-1)^i 2^{n-2i-1} \cdot \frac{n}{i} \cdot C_{n-i-1}^{i-1} = (-1)^i S(n, i) \quad (54)$$

Theorem 11. For any f and g and for any $n \in N$, then $P_n(u, v)$ is solution of the following differential equation

$$(4v - u^2) \cdot \frac{\theta^2 z(u, v)}{\theta u^2} - u \cdot \frac{\theta z(u, v)}{\theta u} + n^2 z(u, v) = 0 \quad (55)$$

Proof. We have to show that replacing in (55) with $P_n(u, v)$ the equation is verify. By (10) we have

$$\frac{\theta P_n(u, v)}{\theta u} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (n-2i) B_n^i u^{n-2i-i} v^i \quad (56)$$

and

$$\frac{\theta^2 P_n(u, v)}{\theta u^2} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (n-2i)(n-2i-1) B_n^i u^{n-2i-2} v^i \quad (57)$$

By (56) and (57) LHS of (55) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &4 \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (n-2i)(n-2i-1) B_n^i u^{n-2i-2} v^{i+1} - \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (n-2i)(n-2i-1) B_n^i u^{n-2i} v^i - \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (n-2i) B_n^i u^{n-2i} v^i + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i n^2 B_n^i u^{n-2i} v^i = \\ &= 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i (n-2i)(n-2i-1) B_n^i u^{n-2i-2} v^{i+1} - \\ &- \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^i ((n-2i)(n-2i-1) + (n-2i) - n^2) B_n^i u^{n-2i} v^i = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^i (n-2i)(n-2i-1) B_n^i u^{n-2i-2} v^{i+1} + \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^i (n-i) i B_n^i u^{n-2i} v^i = \\
&= 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1} (-1)^{j-1} (n-2j+2)(n-2j+1) B_n^{j-1} u^{n-2j} v^j + \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{i=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^i (n-i) i B_n^i u^{n-2i} v^i = \\
&= 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^j [(n-j) j B_n^j - (n-2j+2)(n-2j+1) B_n^{j-1}] u^{n-2j} v^j + \\
&\quad + 4(-1)^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \left(n-2 \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right) \left(n-2 \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] - 1\right) B_n^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} u^{n-2\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+2} v^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]+1} + \\
&\quad + (-1)^0 n \cdot 0 \cdot B_n^0 u^n v^0
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

If $n = 2k$, then $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] = 1$, and if $n = 2k + 1$, then $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] = \frac{n-1}{2}$, so one or other of the numbers $n - 2 \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, $n - 2 \left[\frac{n}{2}\right] - 1$ is null. Therefore LHS of (55) becomes

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^j [(n-j) j B_n^j - (n-2j+2)(n-2j+1) B_n^{j-1}] u^{n-2j} v^j \tag{59}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(n-j) j B_n^j - (n-2j+2)(n-2j+1) B_n^{j-1} &= (n-j) j n \cdot \frac{(n-j-1)!}{j! (n-2j)!} - \\
&- (n-2j+2)(n-2j+1) n \cdot \frac{(n-j)!}{(j-1)! (n-2j+2)!} = \\
&= n \cdot \frac{(n-j)!}{(j-1)! (n-2j)!} - n \cdot \frac{(n-j)!}{(j-1)! (n-2j)!} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

The relations (59) and (60) proves the statement.

Remarks.

1. If $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have the property that for any $x \in R$, $f(x)g(x) = a = \text{constant}$, then $P_n(u, a) = P_n(u)$ verify the equation

$$(4a - u^2) \cdot \frac{d^2 z(u)}{du^2} - u \cdot \frac{dz(u)}{du} + n^2 z(u) = 0 \tag{61}$$

2. If $a = 1$, then $P_n(u, 1) = P_n(u)$ verify the equation

$$(4 - u^2) \cdot \frac{d^2 z}{du^2} - u \cdot \frac{dz}{du} + n^2 z = 0 \tag{62}$$

3. By (62) if $f(x) = e^{i \arccos x}$, $g(x) = e^{-i \arccos x}$, then

$$P_n(u) = P_n(2x) = 2T_n(x), \quad u = 2x$$

and (62) becomes

$$4(1-x^2) \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{d^2 T_n(2x)}{d(2x)^2} - 4x \cdot \frac{dT_n(2x)}{d(2x)} + n^2 T_n(2x) = 0 \quad (63)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dT_n(2x)}{d(2x)} &= \frac{dT_n(x)}{dx} \cdot \frac{dx}{d(2x)} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{dT_n(x)}{dx}; \\ \frac{d^2 T_n(2x)}{d(2x)^2} &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{d^2 T_n(x)}{dx^2}, \end{aligned}$$

so (63) becomes

$$(1-x^2) \cdot \frac{d^2 T_n(x)}{dx^2} - x \cdot \frac{dT_n(x)}{dx} + n^2 T_n(x) = 0 \quad (64)$$

which shows that Chebyshev verifies the following differential equation

$$(1-x^2)y'' - xy' + n^2y = 0 \quad (65)$$

REFERENCES

[1] Mitrinović, S.D., Doković, Ž.D., *Specijalne funkcije*, Beograd, 1964.

Department of Mathematics
Matei Basarab National College
Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: dmb_g@yahoo.com

Str. Hărmanului 6,
505600 Săcele-Négyfalu
Jud. Braşov, Romania
E-mail: benczemihaly@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics,
George Emil Palade School,
Buzău, Romania
E-mail: stanciuneculai@yahoo.com