

Some Classes Of Janowski Functions Associated With Conic Domain And A Shell-Like Curve Involving Ruscheweyh Derivative

Karthikeyan K. R, Varadharajan S and Lakshmi S

Abstract. Making use of Ruscheweyh derivative, we define a new class of starlike functions of complex order subordinate to a conic domain impacted by Janowski functions. Coefficient estimates and Fekete-Szegő inequalities for the defined class are our main results. Some of our results generalize the related work of some authors.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45.

Keywords: analytic function, Schwarz function, starlike, convex, shell-like functions, Janowski functions, subordination, Fekete-Szegő inequality, Ruscheweyh derivative.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions f analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \}$$

and satisfying the normalization condition

$$f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(0) = 1.$$

Thus, the functions in \mathcal{A} are represented by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions which are univalent. We let \mathcal{S}^* , \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{K} to denote the well known classes of starlike, convex and close-to-convex (normalized) function respectively. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ symbolize the classes of starlike functions of order α and convex functions of order α respectively. Also let \mathcal{P} denote the class of functions of the form $p(z) =$

$1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots$ that are analytic in \mathcal{U} and such that $Re(p(z)) > 0$ for all z in \mathcal{U} .

For arbitrary fixed numbers $A, B, -1 < A \leq 1, -1 \leq B < A$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$ the family of functions $p(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ analytic in the unit disc and $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)$ if and only if

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)},$$

where $w(z)$ is the Schwartz function. Geometrically, $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)$ if and only if $p(0) = 1$ and $p(\mathcal{U})$ lies inside an open disc centred with center $\frac{1-AB}{1-B^2}$ on the real axis having radius $\frac{A-B}{1-B^2}$ with diameter end points $p_1(-1) = \frac{1-A}{1-B}$ and $p_1(1) = \frac{1+A}{1+B}$. On observing that $w(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}$ for $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$, we have $P(z) \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)$ if and only if for some $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$

$$P(z) = \frac{(1 + A)p(z) + 1 - A}{(1 + B)p(z) + 1 - B}. \tag{1.2}$$

For detailed study on the class of Janowski functions, we refer [3].

The function $p_{k,\alpha}(z)$ plays the role of an extremal functions those related to these conic domain $\mathcal{D}_k = \left\{ u + iv : u > k\sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} \right\}$ and is given by

$$\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-z}, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}} \right)^2, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-k^2} \sinh^2 \left[\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \arccos k \right) \operatorname{arc} \tanh \sqrt{z} \right], & \text{if } 0 < k < 1, \\ 1 + \frac{2(1-\alpha)}{1-k^2} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{2R(t)} \int_0^{\frac{u(z)}{t}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x^2}\sqrt{1-(tx)^2}} dx \right) + \frac{1}{k^2-1}, & \text{if } k > 1, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $u(z) = \frac{z-\sqrt{t}}{1-\sqrt{tz}}$, $t \in (0, 1)$ and t is chosen such that $k = \cosh \left(\frac{\pi R'(t)}{4R(t)} \right)$, with $R(t)$ is Legendre's complete elliptic integral of the first kind and $R'(t)$ is complementary integral of $R(t)$. Clearly, $\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z)$ is in \mathcal{P} with the expansion of the form

$$\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z) = 1 + \delta_1z + \delta_2z^2 + \dots, \quad (\delta_j = p_j(k, \alpha), j = 1, 2, 3, \dots), \tag{1.4}$$

we get

$$\delta_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{8(1-\alpha)(\arccos k)^2}{\pi^2(1-k^2)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k < 1, \\ \frac{8(1-\alpha)}{\pi^2}, & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \frac{\pi^2(1-\alpha)}{4\sqrt{t}(k^2-1)R^2(t)(1+t)}, & \text{if } k > 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

Noor in [8, 9] replaced $p(z)$ in (1.2) with $\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z)$ and studied the impact of Janowski function on conic regions.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be analytic in \mathcal{U} . Then we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in \mathcal{U} , if there exists an Schwartz function $w(z)$ in \mathcal{U} such that $|w(z)| < |z|$ and $f(z) = g(w(z))$, denoted by $f(z) \prec g(z)$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then the subordination is equivalent to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\mathcal{U}) \subset g(\mathcal{U})$.

Using the concept of subordination for holomorphic functions, Ma and Minda [6] introduced the classes

$$\mathcal{S}^*(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}(\phi) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi \right\}$$

where $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\phi'(0) > 0$ maps \mathcal{U} onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to real axis. By choosing ϕ to map unit disc on to some specific regions like parabolas, cardioid, lemniscate of Bernoulli, booth lemniscate in the right-half plane of the complex plane, various interesting subclasses of starlike and convex functions can be obtained. Raina and Sokół [10] studied the class $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ for $\phi(z) = z + \sqrt{1+z^2}$ and found some interesting coefficient inequalities. The function $\phi(z) = z + \sqrt{1+z^2}$ maps the unit disc \mathcal{U} onto a shell shaped region on the right half plane and it is analytic and univalent on \mathcal{U} . For detailed study of starlike functions related to shell shaped region, refer to a recent work of Murugusundaramoorthy and Bulboacă [7]. Khatter et al. [5] studied the convex combination of constant function $f(z) = 1$ with e^z and $\sqrt{1+z}$. Recently, Gandhi in [2] studied a class $\mathcal{S}^*(\phi)$ with $\phi = \beta e^z + (1-\beta)(1+z)$, $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ a convex combination of two starlike functions.

Definition 1.1. [12] For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ of the form (1.1) and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the operator R^λ is defined by $R^\lambda : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ R^1 f(z) &= z f'(z), \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$$(\lambda + 1)R^{\lambda+1}f(z) = z (R^\lambda f(z))' + \lambda R^\lambda f(z), \quad z \in \mathcal{U}.$$

Remark 1.2. If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty a_n z^n$, then for $\lambda > -1$

$$R^\lambda f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{\lambda+1}} * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \varphi_n(\lambda) a_n z^n,$$

where

$$\varphi_n(\lambda) = \frac{[\lambda + 1]_{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, \tag{1.6}$$

$$[t]_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \\ (t)(t+1)(t+2) \dots (t+n-1), & n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

is a Pochhammer symbol,

$$\Gamma(t+1) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = 1, \\ [t]\Gamma(t), & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

is a gamma function. The symbol “*” stands for Hadamard product.

Motivated by Gandhi [2], we introduce the following new subclasses of analytic functions using Ruscheweyh differential operator.

Definition 1.3. For $\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z)$, ($k \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$) is defined as in (1.3), $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \lambda > -1, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1$ and for some $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we let $k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ to be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the inequality

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{(1-t)R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z) - R^\lambda f(tz)} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{(A+1)h(z) - (A-1)}{(B+1)h(z) - (B-1)}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$h(z) = \beta [\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z)] + (1-\beta) \left[z + \sqrt{1+z^2} \right], \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 1. \quad (1.8)$$

Remark 1.4. Note that $\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z)$ is not univalent but belongs to \mathcal{P} , whereas $z + \sqrt{1+z^2}$ is univalent in \mathcal{U} . Since the linear combination of two convex function is not convex in $|z| < 1$, $h(z)$ is not convex univalent in \mathcal{U} .

The following definition is motivated by the Alexander transform relationship between convex and starlike functions.

Definition 1.5. For $\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z)$, ($k \geq 0, 0 \leq \alpha < 1$) is defined as in (1.3), $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \lambda > -1, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1$ and for some $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we let $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ to be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the inequality

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{(1-t)(R^{\lambda+1}f(z))'}{(R^\lambda f(z) - R^\lambda f(tz))'} - 1 \right) \prec \frac{(A+1)h(z) - (A-1)}{(B+1)h(z) - (B-1)}, \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}) \quad (1.9)$$

where $h(z)$ is defined as in (1.8).

We let $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \lambda, t, b)$ and $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, 1, \lambda, t, b)$ to denote the special cases of the function class $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ obtained by letting $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$ respectively.

Remark 1.6. The versatility of classes $k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ and $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ is that it unifies the study of starlike and convex functions with respect to symmetric points. Here we list just a few special cases.

1. If we let $b = 1, t = 0, \alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$ in the definition of the function class $k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ and $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$, we get the classes $k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B)$ and $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B)$ introduced and studied by Noor and Malik in [9].
2. For $b = 1, \beta = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, the class $k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ reduces to the respective classes $k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, 1, 0, t, 1)$ studied by Arif et al. in [1].

Unless otherwise mentioned, we assume throughout this paper that the function

$0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \lambda > -1, k \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

2. Fekete-Szegő inequalities for the starlike class

$$k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$$

Many extremal problems within the class of univalent functions are solved by the Koebe function. On the other hand, the Koebe function satisfies

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = |3 - 4\lambda|$$

whereas Fekete and Szegő showed

$$\max_{f \in \mathcal{S}} |a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = |3 - 4\lambda| = 1 + 2e^{-2\lambda/(1-\lambda)}$$

for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. In this section, we obtain the Fekete-Szegő for the class $k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$. We need the following lemma to establish our main result.

Lemma 2.1. [6] *Let $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ and also let v be a complex number, then*

$$|c_2 - vc_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2v - 1|\}, \tag{2.1}$$

the result is sharp for functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}, \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *If $f(z) \in k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$ then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| |\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1|(A - B)}{2[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]} \max \{1, |2v - 1|\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1}{4[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]} + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](B + 1)}{4} - \frac{b\varphi_2(\lambda)[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](A - B)}{4[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \left(u_2 - \mu \frac{\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)}{\varphi_2(\lambda)[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \right) \tag{2.3}$$

and $u_n = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-1}$. The result is sharp.

Proof. Let $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ be of the form $1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$, we consider

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)},$$

where $w(z)$ is such that $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$. On simple computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) &= \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} = \frac{p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots}{2 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} p_1 z + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1^2 \right) z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_3 - p_1 p_2 + \frac{1}{4} p_1^3 \right) z^3 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.4) in $h(z) = 1 + [\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]z + \frac{1}{2}[\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1]z^2 + \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(w(z)) &= 1 + [\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]w(z) + \frac{1}{2}[\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1][w(z)]^2 + \dots \\ &= 1 + [\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1] \left[\frac{1}{2}p_1z + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 \right) z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_3 - p_1p_2 + \frac{1}{4}p_1^3 \right) z^3 + \dots \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1] \left[\frac{1}{2}p_1z + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_2 - \frac{1}{2}p_1^2 \right) z^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(p_3 - p_1p_2 + \frac{1}{4}p_1^3 \right) z^3 + \dots \right]^2 + \dots \\ &= 1 + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]p_1}{2}z + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]}{2} \left[p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1}{2[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]} \right) \right] z^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

As $f(z) \in k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, t, b)$, by (1.7) we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{(1-t)R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z) - R^\lambda f(tz)} - 1 \right) = p(z), \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(z) &= \frac{(A+1)h(w(z)) - (A-1)}{(B+1)h(w(z)) - (B-1)} \\ &= \frac{2 + \frac{(A+1)[\beta(\delta_1-1)+1]p_1}{2}z + \frac{(A+1)[\beta(\delta_1-1)+1]}{2} \left[p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2-1)+1}{2[\beta(\delta_1-1)+1]} \right) \right] z^2 + \dots}{2 + \frac{(B+1)[\beta(\delta_1-1)+1]p_1}{2}z + \frac{(B+1)[\beta(\delta_1-1)+1]}{2} \left[p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2-1)+1}{2[\beta(\delta_1-1)+1]} \right) \right] z^2 + \dots} \\ &= 1 + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](A - B)p_1}{4}z + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](A - B)}{4} \\ &\quad \left[p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1}{2[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]} + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](B + 1)}{2} \right) \right] z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{(1-t)R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z) - R^\lambda f(tz)} - 1 \right) &= 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left([\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]a_2z \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]a_3 - [\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)a_2^2 \right] z^2 + \dots \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), the coefficients of z and z^2 are given by

$$a_2 = \frac{b[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](A - B)p_1}{4[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{b[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](A - B)}{4[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]} \left[p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1}{2[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]} + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](B + 1)}{2} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{bu_2\varphi_2(\lambda)[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](A - B)}{2[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| |\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1|(A - B)}{2[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]} |p_2 - \nu p_1^2|, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1}{4[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]} + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](B + 1)}{4} - \frac{b\varphi_2(\lambda)[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1](A - B)}{4[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \left(u_2 - \mu \frac{\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)}{\varphi_2(\lambda)[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \right). \quad (2.9)$$

Taking the modules for both sides of the above relation, with the aid of the inequality (2.1) of Lemma 2.1, we easily get the required estimate. The result is sharp for the functions

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{(1-t)R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z) - R^\lambda f(tz)} - 1 \right) = p(z)$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{(1-t)R^{\lambda+1}f(z)}{R^\lambda f(z) - R^\lambda f(tz)} - 1 \right) = p(z^2).$$

where $p(z)$ is given by the equation (2.6). Hence the proof of the Theorem 2.2 is complete. \square

If $\beta = 0$ in the Theorem 2.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *If $f(z) \in k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \lambda, t, b)$ then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|(A - B)}{12[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]} \max\{1, |2v - 1|\}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$v = \frac{B + 1}{4} - \frac{b\varphi_2(\lambda)(A - B)}{4[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \left(u_2 - \mu \frac{3[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]}{4\varphi_2(\lambda)[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \right) \quad (2.11)$$

and $u_n = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-1}$. The result is sharp.

If $\beta = 1$ in the Theorem 2.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *If $f(z) \in k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, 1, \lambda, t, b)$ then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| |\delta_1|(A - B)}{12[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]} \max\{1, |2v - 1|\}, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\delta_2}{2\delta_1} + \frac{\delta_1(B + 1)}{4} - \frac{b\varphi_2(\lambda)\delta_1(A - B)}{4[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \left(u_2 - \mu \frac{3[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - u_3\varphi_3(\lambda)]}{4\varphi_2(\lambda)[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1) - u_2\varphi_2(\lambda)]} \right), \quad (2.13)$$

δ_1 is defined as in (1.5) and $u_n = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-1}$. The result is sharp.

If $t = -1$ in the Theorem 2.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *If $f \in k - \mathcal{SL}(A, B, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, -1, b)$ then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| |\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1| (A - B)}{2[\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - \varphi_3(\lambda)]} \max\{1, |2v - 1|\}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$v = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\beta(2\delta_2 - 1) + 1}{4[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1]} + \frac{[\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1] (B + 1)}{4} + \frac{\mu b [\varphi_3(\lambda + 1) - \varphi_3(\lambda)] [\beta(\delta_1 - 1) + 1] (A - B)}{[\varphi_2(\lambda + 1)]^2 4}. \quad (2.15)$$

The result is sharp.

If $t = -1$, $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, $\lambda = 0$ and $b = 1$ in the Theorem 2.2, we get the following corollary of [4].

Corollary 2.6. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}_s(p_k)$ then we have*

$$a_2 = \frac{\delta_1 p_1}{4}, \quad a_3 = \frac{\delta_1}{4} \left[p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} \right) \right]$$

and for any complex number μ ,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} - \frac{\mu \delta_1}{2} \right| \right\}.$$

If $t = 0$, $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 0$, $\lambda = 0$ and $b = 1$ in the Theorem 2.2, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. [10] *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{SL}_q$ then $|a_2| \leq 1$, $|a_3| \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \left| \mu - \frac{3}{4} \right| \right\}$.*

3. Coefficient estimates for the convex classes $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \lambda, t, b)$ and $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, 1, \lambda, t, b)$

To find the coefficient estimates, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. [11] *Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an analytic and $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is an analytic and convex in \mathcal{U} . If $f(z) \prec g(z)$, then $|a_n| \leq |b_n|$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$.*

Remark 3.2. Since Lemma 3.1 can be applied only if $g(z)$ is convex in \mathcal{U} . But the right hand side in (1.7) namely $\frac{(A+1)h(z)-(A-1)}{(B+1)h(z)-(B-1)}$ (where $h(z)$ is given as in (1.8)) is not convex in \mathcal{U} . So we find the coefficient inequalities for the fixed values of $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 1$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy the condition

$$p(z) \prec \frac{(A+1)k(z) - (A-1)}{(B+1)k(z) - (B-1)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $k(z) = z + \sqrt{1+z^2} = z + \frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{z^4}{8} - \frac{z^6}{16} + \dots$.

Then

$$|p_n| \leq \frac{A-B}{2}, \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (3.2)$$

The following result was obtained by Noor and Malik in [9].

Lemma 3.4. [9] Let the function $\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z)$ be defined as in (1.4) and let $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfy the condition

$$p(z) \prec \frac{(A+1)\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z) - (A-1)}{(B+1)\hat{p}_{k,\alpha}(z) - (B-1)}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then

$$|p_n| \leq \frac{|\delta_1|(A-B)}{2}, \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (3.4)$$

Theorem 3.5. Let $k \in \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \lambda, t, b)$, then for $n \geq 2$

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{|b j u_j \varphi_j(\lambda)(A-B) - 2j [\varphi_j(\lambda+1) - u_j \varphi_j(\lambda)] B|}{2(j+1)[\varphi_{j+1}(\lambda+1) - u_{j+1} \varphi_{j+1}(\lambda)]}, \quad (3.5)$$

where $u_n = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-1}$.

Proof. By the definition of $k \in \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, \lambda, t, b)$, we have

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{(1-t)(R^{\lambda+1}f(z))'}{(R^\lambda f(z) - R^\lambda f(tz))'} - 1 \right) = p(z), \quad (3.6)$$

where $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ and satisfies the subordination condition

$$p(z) \prec \frac{(A+1)k(z) - (A-1)}{(B+1)k(z) - (B-1)}.$$

Equivalently (3.6) can be rewritten as

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\varphi_n(\lambda+1) - u_n \varphi_n(\lambda)] a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n u_n \varphi_n(\lambda) a_n z^{n-1}} - 1 \right) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [\varphi_n(\lambda+1) - u_n \varphi_n(\lambda)] a_n z^{n-1} = b \left(1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n u_n \varphi_n(\lambda) a_n z^{n-1} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n z^n.$$

Equating the coefficients of z^{n-1} on both sides of the above equation, we have

$$n [\varphi_n(\lambda+1) - u_n \varphi_n(\lambda)] a_n = b \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j) u_{n-j} \varphi_{n-j}(\lambda) a_{n-j} p_j$$

which implies that

$$n [\varphi_n(\lambda + 1) - u_n \varphi_n(\lambda)] | a_n | \leq b \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n - j) u_{n-j} \varphi_{n-j}(\lambda) | a_{n-j} | | p_j | . \tag{3.7}$$

Since $p \in \mathcal{P}$, by Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$| p_j | \leq \frac{A - B}{2} .$$

Following the steps as in Theorem 2.6 of Noor and Malik [9], we can establish the assertion of the Theorem 3.5. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B, \alpha, 1, \lambda, t, b)$, then for $n \geq 2$*

$$| a_n | \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{| b j u_j \varphi_j(\lambda) \delta_1(A - B) - 2j [\varphi_j(\lambda + 1) - u_j \varphi_j(\lambda)] B |}{2(j + 1) [\varphi_{j+1}(\lambda + 1) - u_{j+1} \varphi_{j+1}(\lambda)]} , \tag{3.8}$$

where δ_1 is defined as in (1.5) and $u_n = 1 + t + t^2 + \dots + t^{n-1}$.

Proof. Following the steps as in Theorem 3.5 and by Lemma 3.4, we can establish the assertion of the Theorem 3.6. □

If $b = 1, t = 0, \alpha = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$ in the Theorem 3.6, we get the following result.

Corollary 3.7. [9] *Let $f \in k - \mathcal{CL}(A, B)$, then*

$$| a_n | \leq \frac{1}{n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \frac{|\delta_1(A - B) - 2jB|}{2(j + 1)} \quad (n \geq 2) .$$

References

- [1] Arif, M., et al., Coefficient inequalities for Janowski-Sakaguchi type functions associated with conic regions, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **47** (2018), no. 2, 261–271.
- [2] Gandhi, S., Radius Estimates for Three Leaf Function and Convex Combination of Starlike Functions. In: Deo N., Gupta, V., Acu, A., Agrawal, P. (eds) Mathematical Analysis I: Approximation Theory. ICRA-PAM 2018. Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, vol **306** (2020), Springer, Singapore.
- [3] Janowski, W., Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. I, Ann. Polon. Math. **28** (1973), 297–326.
- [4] Kavitha, D., Dhanalakshmi, K., Subclasses of analytic functions with respect to symmetric and conjugate points bounded by conical domain, Advances in Mathematics, Scientific Journal (2020), Vol. 9, no. 1, 397–404.
- [5] Khatter, K., Ravichandran, V., Sivaprasad Kumar, S., Starlike functions associated with exponential function and the lemniscate of Bernoulli, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **113** (2019), no. 1, 233–253.

- [6] Ma, W.C., Minda, D., A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992)*, 157–169, Conf. Proc. Lecture Notes Anal., I, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
- [7] Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Bulboacă, T., Hankel Determinants for New Subclasses of Analytic Functions Related to a Shell Shaped Region, *Mathematics*, (2020), **8**, 1041.
- [8] Noor, K.I., Arif, M., Ul-Haq, W., On k -uniformly close-to-convex functions of complex order, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **215** (2009), no. 2, 629–635.
- [9] Noor, K.I., Malik, S.N., On coefficient inequalities of functions associated with conic domains, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62** (2011), no. 5, 2209–2217.
- [10] Raina, R.K., Sokół, J., On coefficient estimates for a certain class of starlike functions, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **44** (2015), no. 6, 1427–1433.
- [11] Rogosinski, W., On the coefficients of subordinate functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **48** (1943), 48–82.
- [12] Ruscheweyh, S.T., New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1975), 109–115.

Karthikeyan K. R
Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
National University of Science & Technology (By Merger of Caledonian College of
Engineering and Oman Medical College),
Sultanate of Oman.
e-mail: kr_karthikeyan1979@yahoo.com

Varadharajan S
Mathematics Section,
Department of Information Technology,
University of Technology and Applied Sciences - Al Mussanah,
Sultanate of Oman.
e-mail: svrajanram@gmail.com

Lakshmi S
Independent Researcher,
Former Doctoral Student, Department of Mathematics, Presidency College (Au-
tonomous), Chennai-600005, Tamilnadu, India.
e-mail: laxmirmk@gmail.com