STUDIA UNIV. "BABEŞ-BOLYAI", MATHEMATICA, Volume  $\mathbf{LV}$ , Number 3, September 2010

## INJECTIVITY CRITERIA FOR $C^1$ FUNCTIONS DEFINED IN NON-CONVEX DOMAINS

NICOLAE R. PASCU AND MIHAI N. PASCU

Dedicated to Professor Grigore Ștefan Sălăgean on his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday

Abstract. In the present paper we obtain sufficient conditions for the injectivity of functions of class  $C^1$  defined in type  $\varphi$  convex domains. In particular, we obtain some injectivity criteria for functions of class  $C^1$  defined in some simply and doubly connected domains, and we derive as a corollary the well-known Ozaki-Nunokawa-Krzyz univalence criterion.

## 1. Preliminaries

We denote by  $B(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - z_0| < r\}$  the open disk centered at  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  of radius r > 0 and by U = B(0, 1) the unit disk in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In [4], the authors introduced the *convexity constant* K(D) of a planar domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , as follows:

**Definition 1.1** ([4]). For a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , we define the convexity constant of the domain D by

$$K(D) = \inf_{\substack{a,b \in D \\ a \neq b}} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma(a,b;D)} \frac{|a-b|}{l(\gamma)},$$

where  $\Gamma(a, b; D)$  is the family of all rectifiable arcs  $\gamma \subset D$  with distinct endpoints aand b, and  $l(\gamma)$  denotes the length of  $\gamma$ .

The authors showed that in the class of simply connected domains, the convexity constant K(D) characterizes the convexity of the domain D, in the following sense:

Received by the editors: 26.04.2010.

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 30C55,\ 30C45,\ 52A30,\ 52A10.$ 

Work supported by CNCSIS - UEFISCSU research grant PNII - IDEI 209/2007.

**Theorem 1.2** ([4]). The simply connected domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is convex if and only if K(D) = 1.

Given two domains  $\Omega \subset D \subset \mathbb{C}$ , denote by  $D_{\Omega}$  the domain

$$D_{\Omega} = D - \overline{\Omega} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : z \in D, \ z \notin \overline{\Omega} \right\}$$
(1.1)



FIGURE 1. The domain  $D_{\Omega} = D - \overline{\Omega}$ .

In [4], the authors proposed the following conjecture: **Conjecture 1.3.** If D and  $\Omega$  are convex domains with  $\overline{\Omega} \subset D$ , the convexity constant of the domain  $D_{\Omega} = D - \overline{\Omega}$  is given by

$$K(D_{\Omega}) = \min_{\substack{a,b \in \partial \Omega \\ a \neq b}} \frac{|a-b|}{l(\gamma_{\alpha b})},$$

where  $\gamma_{ab}$  denotes the shorter of the two arcs of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  with endpoints a and b.

They proved the validity of the above conjecture in the following cases:

- 1. If  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a domain and  $\gamma \subset D$  is a Jordan arc which joins two points  $z_0 \in D$  and  $w_0 \in \partial D$ , then  $K(D_\gamma) = 0$ .
- 2. If  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a convex domain,  $z_0 \in D$  and r > 0 are chosen such that  $\overline{B(z_0, r)} \subset D$ , then  $K(D_{B(z_0, r)}) = \frac{2}{\pi}$ .
- 3. If D is a convex domain and  $z_0 \in D$  and r > 0 are chosen such that  $\overline{S(z_0,r)} \subset D$ , then  $K(D_{S(z_0,r)}) = \frac{1}{2}$ , where

$$S(z_0, r) = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Re}(z - z_0)| < \frac{r}{2}, |\operatorname{Im}(z - z_0)| < \frac{r}{2} \right\}$$

INJECTIVITY CRITERIA FOR  $C^1$  FUNCTIONS DEFINED IN NON-CONVEX DOMAINS

denotes the interior of the square having  $z_0$  as center of symmetry and sides parallel to the coordinate axes, of length equal to r.



FIGURE 2. The domains  $D_{B(z_0r)} = D - \overline{B(z_0r)}$  and  $D_{S(z_0r)} = D - \overline{S(z_0r)}$ .



FIGURE 3. The domain  $U_{A(z_0,\alpha,\beta)} = U - \overline{A(z_0,\alpha,\beta)}$ .

4. The convexity constant of the domain  $U_{A(z_0,\alpha,\beta)}$  is given by

$$K(U_{A(z_0,\alpha,\beta)}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } z_0 \in \left[\frac{\cos\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}{\cos\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}}, 1\right) \\ \sin\frac{\arg\left(e^{i\alpha}-z_0\right)+\arg\left(e^{i\beta}-z_0\right)}{2}, & \text{if } z_0 \in \left(-1, \frac{\cos\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}}{\cos\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}}\right) \end{cases},$$

where

$$A(z_0, \alpha, \beta) = \{ z_0 + re^{i\theta} : r > 0, -\arg(e^{i\beta} - z_0) < \theta < \arg(e^{i\alpha} - z_0) \}$$

represents the angular region with vertex  $z_0$  and opening angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . 181 M. O. Reade ([5]) generalized the class of convex planar domains as follows: **Definition 1.4** ([5]). Let  $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$  be a real number. We say that the domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a type  $\varphi$  convex domain if for any distinct points  $a, b \in D$  there exists  $c \in D$  such that the line segments  $[a, c], [c, b] \subset D$  and

$$\left|\arg\frac{b-c}{c-a}\right| \le \varphi. \tag{1.2}$$

The family of type  $\varphi$  convex domains is denoted by  $C_{\varphi}$ .



FIGURE 4. A type  $\varphi$  convex domain  $D, \varphi \in [0, \pi)$ .

**Remark 1.5.** Geometrically, condition (1.2) shows that the angle  $u = \pi - \widehat{acb}$  is less than or equal to  $\varphi$  (see Figure 4).

It can be shown (see [4]) the following connection between type  $\varphi$  convex domains and the convexity constant:

**Lemma 1.6.** If  $D \in C_{\varphi}$  is a type  $\varphi$  convex domain for some  $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$ , then  $K(D) \geq \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}$ .

**Remark 1.7.** The above lemma shows that if D is a type  $\varphi$  convex domain, the convexity constant of D cannot be too small. In particular, if  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a convex domain then it is also a type  $\varphi$  convex domain for  $\varphi = 0$ , and therefore from the above lemma it follows that K(D) = 1.

INJECTIVITY CRITERIA FOR  $\boldsymbol{C}^1$  FUNCTIONS DEFINED IN NON-CONVEX DOMAINS

## 2. Univalence criteria for functions of class $C^1(D)$

P. T. Mocanu ([2], p. 137) obtained the following univalence criterion for  $C^1$  functions defined in type  $\varphi$  domains:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $D \in C_{\varphi}$ ,  $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$ . If the function  $f \in C^1(D)$  satisfies one of the two equivalent conditions

i) 
$$|\arg f'_{\theta}(z)| < \frac{\pi - \varphi}{2}, z \in D$$
, for any  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$   
ii)  $\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z} - \left|\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}\right| \tan \frac{\varphi}{2} > \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\varphi}{2}} \left| \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \overline{z}} \right|, z \in D$ ,

then the function f is injective in D and  $Jf(z) > 0, z \in D$ .

Using the convexity constant of a domain, we can obtain a similar result as follows:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f : D \subset U \to \mathbb{C}$  be a  $C^1$  function in the domain  $D \in C_{\varphi}$  for some  $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$ . If

$$\left| D_{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z} \right) \right| \le \cos \frac{\varphi}{2}, \qquad z \in D,$$
(2.1)

for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , where  $D_{\theta}$  is the operator defined on  $C^1$  functions by

$$D_{\theta}f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + e^{-2i\theta}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}},$$

then the function f is injective in D.

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in D$ ,  $a \neq b$  be arbitrarily fixed distinct points.

Since  $D \in C_{\varphi}$ , by definition, there exists  $c \in D$  such that  $\gamma = [a, c] \cup [c, b] \subset D$ . Let  $\gamma_1(t) = a + t(c - a), t \in [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma_2(t) = c + t(b - c), t \in [0, 1]$ , be two parametrizations of the line segments [a, c], respectively [c, b].

NICOLAE R. PASCU AND MIHAI N. PASCU

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{f(c)} &- \frac{1}{f(a)} - \left(\frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{a}\right) = \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{f(\gamma_1(t))} - \frac{1}{\gamma_1(t)}\right) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_1(t)) \frac{d\gamma_1(t)}{dt} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_1(t)) \frac{d\overline{\gamma_1(t)}}{dt} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (c - a) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_1(t)) + \overline{(c - a)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_1(t)) dt \\ &= (c - a) \int_0^1 D_{\theta_1} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_1(t)) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_1 = \arg(c-a)$ , and similarly

$$\frac{1}{f(b)} - \frac{1}{f(c)} - \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{c}\right) = (b - c) \int_0^1 D_{\theta_2} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_2(t)) dt,$$

where  $\theta_2 = \arg(b-c)$ .

We obtain

$$\frac{1}{f(b)} - \frac{1}{f(a)} - \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{a}\right) = \frac{1}{f(b)} - \frac{1}{f(c)} - \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{c}\right) + \frac{1}{f(c)} - \frac{1}{f(a)} - \left(\frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{a}\right)$$
$$= (c-a) \int_0^1 D_{\theta_1} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_1(t)) dt + (c-b) \int_0^1 D_{\theta_2} \left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right) (\gamma_2(t)) dt,$$

and therefore using the hypothesis we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{f(b)} - \frac{1}{f(a)} - \left(\frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{a}\right)\right| \le |c - a| \int_0^1 \left|D_{\theta_1}\left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right)(\gamma_1(t))\right| dt + |b - c| \int_0^1 \left|D_{\theta_2}\left(\frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z}\right)(\gamma_2(t))\right| dt$$
$$\le |c - a| \cos\frac{\varphi}{2} + |b - c| \cos\frac{\varphi}{2}$$
$$= l(\gamma) \cos\frac{\varphi}{2}.$$

If f(a) = f(b), from the above inequality we obtain equivalent

$$\frac{|b-a|}{l(\gamma)} \le |ab| \cos \frac{\varphi}{2},$$

where  $\gamma = [a, c] \cup [c, b]$ .

Approximating now an arbitrary curve  $\gamma \in \Gamma(a, b; D)$  by a polygonal path  $\gamma_n = [a_0, c_1] \cup \ldots \cup [c_n, b] \subset D$  and using an argument similar to the previous proof, by passing to the limit we obtain:

$$\frac{|b-a|}{l(\gamma)} \le |ab| \cos \frac{\varphi}{2},$$

for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma(a, b; D)$ , and therefore

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma(a,b;D)} \frac{|a-b|}{l(\gamma)} \le |ab| \cos \frac{\varphi}{2},$$

which shows that

$$K\left(D\right) = \inf_{a,b\in D} \sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma(a,b;D)} \frac{|a-b|}{l(\gamma)} \le \sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma(a,b;D)} \frac{|a-b|}{l(\gamma)} \le |ab|\cos\frac{\varphi}{2}.$$

Since from Lemma 1.6 we have  $K(D) \ge \cos \frac{\varphi}{2} > 0$ , we obtain

$$K\left(D\right) \le \left|ab\right| K\left(D\right),$$

which contradicts the hypothesis  $a, b \in D \subset U$  (and therefore |ab| < 1).

The contradiction shows that the hypothesis f(a) = f(b) is false, and therefore we must have  $f(a) \neq f(b)$  for all  $a, b \in D$  distinct, which shows that f is injective in D, concluding the proof.

Following the proof of the above theorem it can be seen that we can replace the right side of (2.1) by the larger constant K(D), thus obtaining the following more general result:

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $f : D \subset B(0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a  $C^1$  function in the domain  $D \in C_{\varphi}$ for some  $\varphi \in [0, \pi)$ . If

$$\left| D_{\theta} \left( \frac{1}{f(z)} - \frac{1}{z} \right) \right| \le \frac{K(D)}{R^2}, \qquad z \in D,$$
(2.2)

for all  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ , where  $D_{\theta}$  is the operator defined on  $C^1$  functions by

$$D_{\theta}f = f_z + e^{-2i\theta}f_{\bar{z}},$$

then the function f is injective in D.

**Remark 2.4.** Using the values of the convexity constants of the domains  $D_{\Omega}$  presented in Section 1, from the above theorem we obtain as corollaries sufficient conditions for univalence for functions of class  $C^1$  defined in some simply and doubly connected domains.

**Remark 2.5.** In the particular case D = U, we have K(U) = 1, and Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 above become (in the case when  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is a normalized analytic function in U) the well-known Ozaki-Nunokawa-Krzyz univalence criterion (see [1], [3]).

## References

- Krzyz, J. G., Convolution and quasiconformal extension, Comment. Math. Helv., 51 (1976), no. 1, 99-104.
- [2] Mocanu, P. T., Bulboacă, T., Sălăgean, G., Teoria geometrică a funcțiilor univalente, Casa Cărții de Ştiință, Cluj, 1999, 131-140.
- [3] Ozaki, S., Nunokawa, M., The Schwarzian derivative and univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 33 (1972), no. 2, 392-394.
- [4] Pascu, N. R., Pascu, M. N., Convexity constant of a domain and applications (to appear).
- [5] Reade, M. O., On Umezawa's criteria for univalence II, Math. Soc. Japan, 10 (1958), 255-259.

1100 South Marietta Parkway Marietta, GA 30060-2896, U.S.A. *E-mail address:* npascu@spsu.edu

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE TRANSILVANIA UNIVERSITY OF BRAŞOV STR. IULIU MANIU NR. 50 BRAŞOV 500091, ROMANIA *E-mail address*: mihai.pascu@unitbv.ro