

## STARLIKE FUNCTIONS WITH REGULAR REFRACTION PROPERTY

PETRU T. MOCANU

*Dedicated to Professor Grigore Ștefan Sălăgean on his 60<sup>th</sup> birthday*

**Abstract.** Let  $C : z = z(t), t \in [a, b]$ , be a smooth Jordan curve of the class  $C^2$  and let  $f$  be a complex univalent function of the class  $C^1$  in a domain which contains the curve  $C$  together with its interior. Suppose that the origin lies inside of  $C$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Let  $\Gamma = f(C)$  and suppose that  $\Gamma$  is starlike with respect to the origin. Let consider the radius vector  $\vec{R}$  from 0 to a point  $w \in \Gamma$  and let  $\vec{N}$  be the outer normal to  $\Gamma$  at the point  $w = f[z(t)]$ . Let denote by  $\omega = (\vec{N}, \vec{R})$  the angle between  $\vec{N}$  and  $\vec{R}$  and consider the vector  $\vec{V}$  starting from  $w$ , such that  $\sin \Psi = \gamma \sin \omega$ , where  $\Psi = (\vec{N}, \vec{V})$  and  $\gamma$  is a positive number. We say that the starlike curve  $\Gamma = f(C)$  has the regular refraction property, with index  $\gamma$ , iff the argument of the vector  $\vec{V}$  is an increasing function of  $t \in [a, b]$ . The concept of regular refraction property was introduced in [2] and developed in [3], [4], [5], [6] and [7]. We mention that this concept is closed to the concept of  $\alpha$ -convexity introduced in [1]. In this paper we continue to study this geometric property by introducing the concept of regular refraction interval of a given function. We also give a significant example.

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $f$  an analytic and univalent function in a domain  $D$  and let  $C : z = z(t), t \in [a, b]$ , be a smooth Jordan curve of the class  $C^2$ . Suppose that  $D$  contains the curve  $C$  together with its interior and that the origin lies inside of  $C$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Let  $\Gamma = f(C)$  and suppose that  $\Gamma$  is starlike with respect to 0.

---

Received by the editors: 02.03.2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Starlike functions, regular refraction.

Let  $\vec{R}$  be the radius vector from 0 to a point  $w \in \Gamma$  and let  $\vec{N}$  be the outer normal to  $\Gamma$  at the point  $w = f(z(t))$ . Let denote by  $\omega = (\vec{N}, \vec{R})$  the angle between  $\vec{N}$  and  $\vec{R}$  and let consider the vector  $\vec{V}$  starting from  $w$ , such that

$$\sin \Psi = \gamma \sin \omega, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Psi = (\vec{N}, \vec{V})$  and  $\gamma$  is a positive number.

From the optical point of view, we remark that if  $\Gamma$  separates two media of different refraction indices and if  $\vec{R}$  and  $\vec{V}$  are the trajectories of the light in these media (starling from the origin), then (1) is the well -known refraction law.

**Definition 1.1.** We say that the curve  $\Gamma = f(C)$  has the *regular refraction property* with index  $\gamma$ , iff the argument of the vector  $\vec{V} = \vec{V}(t)$ , defined by (1) is an increasing function of  $t \in [a, b]$ , i.e.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \arg \vec{V}(t) \geq 0, t \in [a, b]. \quad (1.2)$$

We also say, in this case, that the function  $f$  has the *regular refraction property* on  $C : z = z(t)$ .

Sometimes we are interesting to study the property of regular refraction only on some arcs of the curve  $C$ .

## 2. Main results

If we let  $\varphi = \arg f(z)$  and  $\chi = \arg \vec{V}$ , then we have

$$\chi = \varphi + \omega - \psi.$$

If  $z = z(t)$  and if we denote  $\dot{z}, \dot{\chi}, \dots$  the derivatives with respect to  $t$ , then we have

$$\dot{\chi} = \dot{\varphi} + F\dot{\omega},$$

where

$$F = 1 - \frac{\gamma \cos \omega}{[1 - \gamma^2 + \gamma^2 \cos^2 \omega]^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{1 + (1 - \gamma^2) \tan^2 \omega}}$$

and  $\omega = \arg P$ , with  $|\sin \omega| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}$  with

$$P = \frac{\dot{z}f'(z)}{if(z)}, z = z(t). \quad (2.1)$$

The condition (1.2) becomes

$$\Im \left[ iP + F \frac{\dot{P}}{P} \right] \geq 0, t \in [a, b], \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$F = 1 - \frac{\gamma \Re P}{[(1 - \gamma^2)|P|^2 + \gamma^2(\Re P)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}}, |\sin \omega| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad (2.3)$$

with  $P$  given by (2.1).

Hence we deduce the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The function  $f$  has the regular refraction property, with index  $\gamma$ , on the curve  $C : z = z(t), t \in [a, b]$ , if and only if the inequality (2.2) holds for all  $t \in [a, b]$ .*

If we let  $f(z) \equiv z$ , then we have  $P = i \frac{\dot{z}}{z}$ ,

$$F = 1 - \frac{\gamma \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z}}{[(1 - \gamma^2)|\frac{\dot{z}}{z}|^2 + \gamma^2(\Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z})^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (2.4)$$

and (2.2) becomes

$$(1 - F) \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z} + F \Im \frac{\ddot{z}}{z} \geq 0, z = z(t) \quad (2.5)$$

where  $F$  is given by (2.4), with  $|\sin \omega| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}$ .

Since the curvature of the curve  $C$  at the point  $z = z(t)$  is given by

$$k = k(t) = \frac{1}{|\dot{z}|} \Im \frac{\ddot{z}}{\dot{z}},$$

the condition (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$\gamma \left( \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right)^2 + \left\{ [(1 - \gamma^2) \left| \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right|^2 + \gamma^2 \left( \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \gamma \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right\} |\dot{z}| k \geq 0 \quad (2.6)$$

and we deduce

**Theorem 2.2.** *The curve  $C : z = z(t), t \in [a, b]$  has the regular refraction property of index  $\gamma \geq 0$  if and only if the inequality (2.6) holds for all  $t \in [a, b]$ .*

If  $C$  is convex then  $k \geq 0$  and we deduce the following interesting result.

**Corollary 2.3.** *If the smooth curve  $C$  is convex, then it has the regular refraction property of any index  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ .*

If we let

$$\Delta = (1 - \gamma^2) \left| \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right|^2 + \gamma^2 \left( \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right)^2,$$

then Theorem 2.2 can be rewritten as

**Theorem 2.4.** *The curve  $C : z = z(t), t \in [a, b]$  has the regular refraction property of index  $\gamma$  if and only if the following inequalities hold for all  $t \in [a, b]$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \Delta \leq 0; \\ (ii) \quad & \gamma \left( \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right)^2 + \left[ \sqrt{\Delta} - \gamma \Im \frac{\dot{z}}{z} \right] \Im \frac{\ddot{z}}{z} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  be analytic and univalent in the closed unit disc  $\bar{U}$ , with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) = 1$ . If  $C = C_r : re^{it}, t \in [0, 2\pi], 0 < r \leq 1$ , then we have

$$P = p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}.$$

and Theorem 2.1 becomes

**Theorem 2.5.** *The function  $f$  has the regular refraction property of index  $\gamma$  on the circle  $C_r$  if and only if*

$$\Re \left[ p(z) + F(z, \gamma) \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right] \geq 0, \text{ for } |z| = r, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$p(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \quad (2.8)$$

$$F(z, \gamma) = 1 - \frac{\gamma \Re p(z)}{[(1 - \gamma^2)|p(z)|^2 + \gamma^2(\Re p(z))^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$(1 - \gamma^2)|p(z)|^2 + \gamma^2(\Re p(z))^2 \geq 0. \quad (2.10)$$

**Definition 2.6.** We say that the normalized analytic and univalent function  $f$  in the unit disc belongs to the class  $\mathcal{RP}(\gamma)$ , of functions with *regular refraction property* of index  $\gamma$  iff

$$\Re J(f; z, \gamma) \geq 0, \text{ for all } z \in U, \quad (2.11)$$

$$J(f; z, \gamma) = p(z) + F(z, \gamma) \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}, \quad (2.12)$$

with  $p$  and  $F$  given by (2.8), and (2.9) respectively, with condition (2.10).

Let  $S^*$  and  $K$  be respectively the class of starlike and convex functions in the unit disc.

Also, let  $M(\alpha)$  be the class of  $\alpha$ -convex functions in  $U$ .

It is easy to prove the following main result:

**Theorem 2.7.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{RP}(\gamma)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$  then  $f \in S^*$ .*

Moreover

$$K \subset \mathcal{RP}(\gamma_1) \subset \mathcal{RP}(\gamma_2) \subset S^*, \text{ for } 0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < 1$$

and

$$K \subset \mathcal{RP}(1 - \alpha) \subset M(\alpha), \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

We also have

$$\mathcal{RP}(\gamma_2) \subset \mathcal{RP}(\gamma_1) \subset S^*, \text{ for } 1 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2.$$

An interesting extremal problem suggested by Theorem 2.7 is the following:

Given the function  $f$ , find the largest interval  $[\gamma_0, \gamma_1]$ , with  $\gamma_0 \leq 1 \leq \gamma_1$ , such that  $f \in \mathcal{RP}(\gamma)$ , for all  $\gamma \in [\gamma_0, \gamma_1]$ . We shall call this interval as *the regular refraction interval* of the function  $f$ .

We illustrate this last problem by the following.

**Example 2.8.** Let

$$f(z) = z \exp\left(\frac{z^n}{2n}\right), z \in \overline{U}.$$

In this case we have

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{2}(2 + z^n) \text{ and } \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{nz^n}{2 + z^n}.$$

If  $z = e^{it}$ , then we have

$$\cos nt = x - 1, \text{ with } 0 \leq x \leq 2$$

and

$$|p(z)|^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + 4x), \Re p(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + x), \Re \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = n \frac{2x - 1}{1 + 4x}.$$

Hence

$$F(z, \gamma) = 1 - \frac{\gamma(1 + x)}{\sqrt{E(x, \gamma)}},$$

where

$$E(x, \gamma) = 1 + 2(2 - \gamma^2)x + \gamma^2x^2.$$

Hence the inequality (2.7) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}(1+x) + n\left(1 - \frac{\gamma(1+x)}{\sqrt{E(x, \gamma)}}\right) \frac{2x-1}{1+4x} \leq 0, \text{ for } 0 \leq x \leq 2. \quad (2.13)$$

We remark that for  $\gamma \leq 2$  we have

$$E(x, \gamma) \geq 0, \text{ for } x \in [0, 2].$$

For  $x = 0$  we have  $\frac{2n-1}{2n} \leq \gamma < 2$ , and for  $x = 2$  we have  $\gamma < 1 + \frac{9}{2n}$ .

From (2.13) we deduce

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \geq \frac{1}{1+4x} \left\{ x(2-x) + \left[ \frac{2n(2x+x-1)}{4x^2 + (4n+5)x + 1 - 2n} \right]^2 \right\} \equiv \Phi_n(x),$$

with  $\frac{1}{2} < x \leq 2$ .

For  $n = 1$  we have

$$\max_{x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]} \Phi_1(x) = 0.25059...$$

and we deduce that the regular refraction interval of the function

$$f(z) = z \exp\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)$$

is given by  $[\frac{1}{2}, 1.9976 \dots]$ .

For  $n = 2$  we have

$$\max_{x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]} \Phi_2(x) = 0.2934...$$

and we deduce that the regular refraction interval of the function

$$f(z) = z \exp\left(\frac{z^2}{4}\right)$$

is given by  $[\frac{3}{4}, 1.9123 \dots]$ .

## References

- [1] Mocanu, P. T., *Une propriété de convexité généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme*, Mathematica (Cluj), **11(34)** (1969), 43-50.
- [2] Mocanu, P. T., *Conformal mappings and refraction law*, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., Fac of Math., Research Seminars, **2** (1988), 113-116.
- [3] Mocanu, P. T.,  *$C^1$ -maps and refraction law*, Buletinul Ştiinţific al Institutului Politehnic Cluj-Napoca, Seria Matematică Aplicată, Mecanică, **33** (1990), 11-14.
- [4] Mocanu, P. T., *Regular refraction property of the ellipse*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumaine, **45(93)** (2002), no. 1-2, 29-43.
- [5] Mocanu, P. T., *Regular refraction property of the parabolic lens*, Mathematica, **47(70)** (2005), no. 2, 179-181.
- [6] Mocanu, P. T., *Starlike curves with regular refraction property*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumaine, **48(96)** (2005), no. 3, 303-311.
- [7] Mocanu, P. T., Şerb, I., *Regular refraction property for a conic lens*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumaine, **50(98)** (2007), no. 1, 75-87.

BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE

400084 CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA

*E-mail address:* `pmocanu@math.ubbcluj.ro`