## **SYLLABUS**

### Software Systems Verification and Validation

#### University year 2025-2026

#### 1. Information regarding the programme

| 1.1. Higher education institution  | Babes-Bolyai University                     |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 1.2. Faculty                       | Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science |
| 1.3. Department                    | Computer Science                            |
| 1.4. Field of study                | Computer Science                            |
| 1.5. Study cycle                   | Bachelor                                    |
| 1.6. Study programme/Qualification | Computer Science                            |
| 1.7. Form of education             | Full time                                   |

#### 2. Information regarding the discipline

| 2.1. Name of the disc    | cipli | ne <b>Software</b> | Software Systems Verification and Validation |     |  |           |                    | Discipline code | MLE5014  |
|--------------------------|-------|--------------------|--|-----|--|-----------|--------------------|-----------------|----------|
| 2.2. Course coordinator  |       |                    |  |     | PhD Associate Professor Vescan Andreea |           |                    |                 |          |
| 2.3. Seminar coordinator |       |                    |  | Phl | D Asso                                 | ociate Pr | ofessor Vescan And | lreea           |          |
| 2.4. Year of study       | 3     | 2.5. Semester      | nester 6 2.6. Type of evaluati               |     |  | E         | 2.7. Dis           | cipline regime  | Optional |

#### 3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

| 3.1. Hours per week   | 3   | of which: 3.2 course | 2  | 3.3<br>seminar/laboratory/project | 1     |
|---|---|----------------------|----|-----------------------------------|-------|
| 3.4. Total hours in the curriculum  | 36  | of which: 3.5 course | 24 | 3.6<br>seminar/laboratory/project | 12    |
| Time allotment for individual study (ID) and self-study activities (SA)               |   |                      |    |                                   | hours |
| Learning using manual, course support,  | earning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes (SA) |                      |    |                                   | 24    |
| Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation) |   |                      |    |                                   | 24    |
| Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays                |   |                      |    |                                   | 24    |
| Tutorship   |   |                      |    |                                   |       |
| Evaluations   |   |                      |    |                                   | 10    |
| Other activities:   |   |                      |    | 0                                 |       |
| 3.7. Total individual study hours 89  |   |                      |    |                                   |       |
| 3.8. Total hours per semester   | 125   |                      |    |                                   |       |
| 3.9. Number of ECTS credits   | 5   |                      |    |                                   |       |

#### 4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

| 4.1. curriculum   | • Object oriented programming, Advanced programming methods, Systems for design and implementation, Web Programming |
|-------------------|---|
| 4.2. competencies | Skills in highlevel object oriented programming environments  |

#### 5. Conditions (if necessary)

| 5.1. for the course                              | Video projector, Internet access                                     |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| 5.2. for the seminar /lab activities             | Laboratory with computers; various tools for verification activities |  |  |  |
| 6.1. Specific competencies acquired <sup>1</sup> |  |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> One can choose either competences or learning outcomes, or both. If only one option is chosen, the row related to the other option will be deleted, and the kept one will be numbered 6.

| Professional/essential<br>competencies | <ul> <li>advanced programming skills in high-level programming languages</li> <li>development and maintenance of software systems</li> <li>use of software tools in an interdisciplinary context</li> </ul>  |
|--|--|
| <b>Transversal</b><br>competencies     | <ul> <li>efficient development of organized activities in an interdisciplinary group and the development of empathetic abilities for interpersonal communications, to relate to and cooperate with various groups</li> <li>efficient development of organized activities in an interdisciplinary group and the development of empathetic abilities for interpersonal communications, to relate to and cooperate with various groups</li> </ul> |

## 6.2. Learning outcomes

|                                 | 5  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Knowledge                       | <ul> <li>The student knows:</li> <li>The graduate has adequate knowledge related to the use of integrated development environments for creating large complex applications.</li> <li>The graduate is familiar with traditional and agile development methodologies.</li> </ul> |
|                                 | The student is able to:  |
| s                               | • The graduate has the ability to create automated tests of different levels of granularity for quality assurance of the developed systems.  |
| kill                            | • The graduate is familiar with tools used for testing, debugging, validating software applications.   |
| S.                              | <ul> <li>The graduate is familiar with methods for testing and verifying software systems.</li> </ul>  |
|                                 | <ul> <li>The graduate is familiar with project management tools, version control systems, and continuous<br/>integration/continuous delivery (CI/CD) concepts, methods, tools.</li> </ul>  |
| Responsibility<br>and autonomy: | The student has the ability to work independently to obtain:<br>• The graduate has the ability to understand and communicate information effectively.<br>• The graduate has the ability to observe and obtain information from various sources.                                |

## 7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

| 7.1 General objective of the | • | To gain knowledge of partial correct and total correct algorithms<br>To gain knowledge of designing correct algorithms and proving the<br>correctness hand-in-hand; |
|------------------------------|---|---|
| discipline                   | • | To learn the methods of program verification and validation;<br>To become used with building correct programs from specification;                                   |
|                              | • | To develop a modern programming style.  |

| 7.2 Specific objective of the discipline | <ul> <li>Students will know how and which are the steps of an inspection, either of the source code or specification of each stage of the development of the software system.</li> <li>Students will know to create test cases from the specification and from source code, that will help them develop a better and robust software system.</li> <li>Students will know how to use tools for the management of testing process.</li> <li>Students will know how to design test cases using various criteria</li> </ul> |
|--|---|
|  | (black-box, white-box).   |

#### 8. Content

| 8.1 Course                               | Teaching methods         | Remarks |
|--|--------------------------|---------|
| 1. Verification and validation.          | Interactive exposure     |         |
| Program inspection                       | Explanation              |         |
|  | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| Program testing (1): the concept of      | Interactive exposure     |         |
| program testing; unit testing: testing   | Explanation              |         |
| criteria – black box testing,            | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| Program testing (2): the concept of      | Interactive exposure     |         |
| program testing; unit testing: testing   | Explanation              |         |
| criteria – white box testing (cont.)     | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| Program testing (3): Levels of testing   | Interactive exposure     |         |
| (unit, integration, system, regression,  | Explanation              |         |
| acceptance)                              | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| Testing Web applications                 | Interactive exposure     |         |
|  | Explanation              |         |
|  | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| Agile testing. Script testing versus     | Interactive exposure     |         |
| exploratory testing                      | Explanation              |         |
|  | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| Symbolic execution                       | Interactive exposure     |         |
| 5  | Explanation              |         |
|  | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| Model checking                           | Interactive exposure     |         |
|  | Explanation              |         |
|  | Conversation             |         |
|  | Didactical demonstration |         |
| The theory of program correctness.       | T                        |         |
| The evolution of the concept of program  | Interactive exposure     |         |
| correctness.                             | Explanation              |         |
| Floyd's method for prooving correctness. | Conversation             |         |
| Hoare's axiomatisation method            | Didactical demonstration |         |

| Dijkstra: the weakest<br>precondition.Stepwise refinement from<br>specifications |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| Program Quality  | Interactive exposure     |
|  | Explanation              |
|  | Conversation             |
|  | Didactical demonstration |
| Verification/testing related activities:   |                          |
| Technical testing skills, Soft testing   | Interactive exposure     |
| skills, Giving, feedback. This activity is                                       | Explanation              |
| done in collaboration of the teacher with  | Conversation             |
| the students.  | Didactical demonstration |
| Final exam preparation.  | Interactive exposure     |
| * *  | Explanation              |
|  | Conversation             |
|  | Didactical demonstration |

Bibliography

Bibliography

## Books

[Fre10] FRENTIU, M., Verificarea si validarea sistemelor soft, Presa Universitara Clujeana, 2010

[Pres10] R. S. Pressman, Software engineering: a practinioner's approach, seventh edition, Higher Education, 2010

[Crs09] L. Crispin, J. Grecory, Agile testing: a practical guide for testers and agile teams, Addison-Wesley, 2009

[You08] M. Pezzand, M. Young, Software Testing and Analysis: Process, Principles and Techniques, John Wiley & Sons, 2008

[Nai08] K. Naik, P. Tripathy, Software testing and quality assurance. Theory and Practice, A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008

[Kat08] J. P. Katoen, Principles of Model Checking, MIT Press, May 2008

[Pat05] R. Patton, Software Testing, Sams Publishing, 2005

[Mye04] Glenford J. Myers, The Art of Software Testing, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004

[Brn02] I. Brnstein, Practical software testing, Springer, 2002

[Mor90] Morgan, C., Programing from Specifications, Prentice Hall, NewYork, 1990.

[Dro89] DROMEY G., Program Derivation. The Development of Programs From Specifications, Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1989.

## Articles

[Kin75] J. Darringer, J. King, Applications of symbolic execution to program testing, 1975

[Dij75] DIJKSTRA, E., Guarded commands, nondeterminacy and formal derivation of programs, CACM, 18(1975), 8, pg.453-457.

[Hoa69] HOARE, C.A.R., An axiomatic basis for computer programming, CACM, 12(1969), pg.576-580, 583.

## Tutorials

During lectures/seminars/laboratories tutorials will be given for each assignment.

| 8.2 Seminar / laboratory | Teaching methods              | Remarks |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Seminar 1/Laboratory 1   | Presentation, Conversation,   |         |
| Inspection               | Problematizations, Discovery, |         |
| Inspection tool          | Other methods – individual    |         |

|  | study, exercises   |  |
|--|--|--|
| Seminar 2/Laboratory 2<br>Test cases using Black-box<br>Testing (BBT)<br>Continuous Integration tool<br>(Jenkins)                | Presentation, Conversation,<br>Problematizations, Discovery,<br>Other methods – individual<br>study, exercises |  |
| Seminar 3/Laboratory 3<br>Test cases using White-box Testing<br>(WBT)<br>Continuous Integration tool (Jenkins)                   | Presentation, Conversation,<br>Problematizations, Discovery,<br>Other methods – individual<br>study, exercises |  |
| Seminar 4/Laboratory 4<br>Levels of testing - Integration testing<br>Continuous Integration tool (Jenkins)                       | Presentation, Conversation,<br>Problematizations, Discovery,<br>Other methods – individual<br>study, exercises |  |
| Seminar 5/Laboratory 5<br>Web testing<br>Web testing tool (e.g. Selenium Web<br>Driver)<br>Continuous Integration tool (Jenkins) | Presentation, Conversation,<br>Problematizations, Discovery,<br>Other methods – individual<br>study, exercises |  |
| Seminar 6/Laboratory 6<br>Correctness. Static analysis<br>ESCJava2, JML  | Presentation, Conversation,<br>Problematizations, Discovery,<br>Other methods – individual<br>study, exercises |  |

Bibliography

See references from Lectures.

**Remark.** For each seminar, students must be prepared. Various articles/chapters from books are required to be read previous to each seminar.

# 9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- Students will know how to use tools for test management
- Students will know how to apply testing methods for a software product.
- Students will learn various verification and validation methods of a software system, to design test cases using various criteria (black-box testing, white-box testing)

#### 10. Evaluation

| Activity type           | 10.1 Evaluation criteria  | 10.2 Evaluation methods                    | 10.3 Percentage of final grade |
|-------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| 10.1 Course             | At the end of the<br>semester a written<br>examination will give a<br>mark E.                                       | Written examination                        | 50%                            |
|                         |   |  |                                |
| 10.2 Seminar/laboratory | The activity at<br>seminaries, consisting<br>from participation in<br>solving the exercises<br>and discussions will | Seminar =<br>Grade for seminar<br>Activity | 25%                            |

| InductorLaboratory at laboratories, consisting from participation in solving the exercises and discussions, will be appreciate by a mark L.Laboratory activity25%Students will have the possibility of obtaining bonus points at the final grade for additional activities that are related to Software systems verification and validation: conduction research/report and various activities during lectures.<br>An R&D project could also be selected.Bonus points25% |                  | be appreciate by a mark S   |                        |  |
|--|------------------|---|------------------------|--|
| Students will have the<br>possibility of obtaining<br>bonus points at the final<br>grade for additional<br>activities that are<br>related to Software<br>systems verification and<br>validation: conduction<br>research/report and<br>various activities during<br>lectures.Bonus pointsBonus points at the final<br>grade (after obtaining the<br>final minimum required<br>grade 5).   |                  | The activity at<br>laboratories, consisting<br>from participation in<br>solving the exercises<br>and discussions, will<br>be appreciate by a<br>mark L.   | Laboratory<br>activity | 25%  |
|  | 10.3 Bonus point | Students will have the<br>possibility of obtaining<br>bonus points at the final<br>grade for additional<br>activities that are<br>related to Software<br>systems verification and<br>validation: conduction<br>research/report and<br>various activities during<br>lectures.<br>An R&D project could<br>also be selected. | Bonus points           | Bonus points at the final<br>grade (after obtaining the<br>final minimum required<br>grade 5). |

Remark .

- Seminar/Laboratory assignments/Practical laboratory work may not be redone in the retake session.
- Written exams can be taken during the retake session.
- Students from Previous Years to the current academic year
  - All the above rules apply to students from previous years.
    - Seminar/Laboratory assignments and practical laboratory activity must be redone during didactic activity time (in the 12 weeks before normal session).
- Laboratory activity: each student will come with it own semi-group.
- Laboratory activity: 3 out of 6 laboratories must be delivered.
- Late delivery of assignments will be penilized. Maximum 4 weeks are allowed to deliver an assignment. After the deadline, the assignment will be graded with 0.
- The final grade computed with the given formula must be at least 5 in order to pass the exam. Final grade=50%WrittenExam+25%Seminar+25%Laboratory

Attend 75% of seminar activities during semester AND attend 90% of lab activities during semester. 10.6 Minimum standard of performance

#### ٠

## 11. Labels ODD (Sustainable Development Goals)<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Keep only the labels that, according to the *Procedure for applying ODD labels in the academic process*, suit the discipline and delete the others, including the general one for *Sustainable Development* – if not applicable. If no label describes the discipline, delete them all and write *"Not applicable."*.

## Not applicable.

Date: Signature of course coordinator Signature of seminar coordinator

Date of approval:

...

Signature of the head of department

Assoc.prof.phd. Adrian STERCA