

UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI BABEŞ-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABEŞ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY TRADITIO ET EXCELLENTIA

# **Course syllabus**

## Academic year 2025-2026

### 1. Information about the program

1.1 Higher Education Institution	Babeş-Bolyai University
1.2 Faculty	History and Philosophy
1.3 Department	Philosophy
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science
1.5 Study level	Master
1.6 Programme of study/ Qualification	Cyber Security

### 2. Information about the discipline

2.1 Title	Fundamentals of humanistic education (Argumentation theory)					
2.2 Course holder		Lec	turer Dr. Mihai Rusu			
2.3 Seminar holder						
2.4 Year of study	2.5 Semester	1	2.6. Type of assessment <sup>1</sup>	ME	2.7 Type of $module^2$	F

#### 3. Total estimated time (teaching hours per semester)

	1	,			
3.1 No. of hours per week	2	3.2 of which for	2	3.3 of which for	0
		course		seminar	
3.4 Total no. of hours in the curriculum	28	3.5 of which for	28	3.6 of which for	0
		course		seminar	
Time distribution:					Hours
Study by using handbook, reader, bibliography and course notes					17
Additional library/specialised online research, field research					8
Preparation of seminars/laboratories, homework, projects, portfolios and essays					15
Tutoring					5
Examinations				2	
Other activities:					
3.7 Total no. of hours for individual stud	<b>X</b> 7	17			· ·

3.7 Total no. of hours for individual study	47
3.8 Total no. of hours per semester	75
3.9 No. of ETCS credit points	3

## 4. Prerequisites (where applicable)

4.1 of curriculum	<b>*</b> -
4.2 of competencies	<b>*</b> -

### 5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 For the development of the course	<ul> <li>Online course conducted through the MS Teams platform</li> </ul>
5.2 For the development of the seminar/laboratory	*

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  E - exam, ME - multi-term examinations, C - collocutional examination/assessment test  $^2$  OB - core module, OP - elective module, F - extracurricular module



UNIVERSITATEA BABES-BOLYAI BABES-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY traditio et excellentia

# 6. Specific skills acquired

Frowledge and understanding <ul> <li>Evaluate the validity of arguments using the truth table method</li> <li>Construct rigorous proofs using natural deduction systems</li> <li>Evaluate the soundness of arguments</li> <li>Discern various types of reasoning</li> <li>Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> </ul> <li>Explanation and interpretation         <ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use antural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Attitude         <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> </li> <li> <ul> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, probl</li></ul></li>	6. Specifi	c skills acquired			
<ul> <li>Evaluate the validity of arguments using the truth table method</li> <li>Construct rigorous proofs using natural deduction systems</li> <li>Evaluate the soundness of arguments</li> <li>Discern various types of reasoning</li> <li>Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> <li>Explanation and interpretation         <ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasonings</li> </ul> </li> <li>Instrumental - applicative         <ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Attitude         <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Povelop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/veryday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner&lt;</li></ul>					
<ul> <li>Construct rigorous proofs using natural deduction systems</li> <li>Evaluate the soundness of arguments</li> <li>Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> <li>Explanation and interpretation         <ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explanation and interpretation</li> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning</li> </ul> </li> <li>Instrumental - applicative         <ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Attitude         <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Evaluate the validity of arguments using semantic/analytic tableaux</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Evaluate the soundness of arguments</li> <li>Discern various types of reasoning</li> <li>Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> <li>Explanation and interpretation         <ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasonings</li> </ul> </li> <li>Instrumental - applicative         <ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use ruth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Attitude         <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <ul> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul> </ul>		<ul> <li>Evaluate the validity of arguments using the truth table method</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Discern various types of reasoning</li> <li>Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> <li>Explanation and interpretation         <ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning</li> </ul> </li> <li>Instrumental - applicative         <ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Attitude         <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> <li>Explanation and interpretation         <ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning</li> </ul> </li> <li>Instrumental - applicative         <ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use ruth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Attitude         <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments         <ul> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Evaluate the soundness of arguments</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> <li>Explanation and interpretation         <ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning</li> </ul> </li> <li>Instrumental - applicative         <ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Attitude         <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Discern various types of reasoning</li> </ul>			
Image: Second		<ul> <li>Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning</li> <li>Instrumental - applicative</li> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> <li>Attitude</li> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning</li> <li>Instrumental - applicative</li> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> <li>Attitude</li> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> </ul>		Explanation and interpretation			
Instrumental - applicative <ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul> Attitude <ul> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul> <ul> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> <li>Attitude</li> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		• Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning			
<ul> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> <li>Attitude</li> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		Instrumental - applicative			
<ul> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> <li>Attitude</li> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> <li>Attitude</li> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> <li>Attitude</li> <li>Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally, to available information.</li> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs</li> </ul>			
Image: Structure information in a sound logical manner		<ul> <li>Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>	nal	Attitude			
<ul> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>	ioi	♦ Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally			
<ul> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>	fess Ils	to available information.			
<ul> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>	<sup>r</sup> ro škil	<ul> <li>Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>	Ц	<ul> <li>Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> <li>Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively</li> </ul>	ls	<ul> <li>Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> <li>Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively</li> </ul>	ikil	<ul> <li>Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises</li> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> <li>Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively</li> </ul>	ry s	<ul> <li>Logically and critically evaluate arguments</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems</li> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> <li>Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively</li> </ul>	ina	✤ Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises			
<ul> <li>Develop analytic thinking skills</li> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> <li>Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively</li> </ul>	ipli				
<ul> <li>Structure information in a sound logical manner</li> <li>Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively</li> </ul>	lisc				
E Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively	terc				
	In				

## 7. Course objectives (based on list of acquired skills)

7.1 General objective	<ul> <li>Familiarize students with the formal and informal procedures for</li> </ul>
	evaluating arguments.
	<ul> <li>Familiarize students with logical and cognitive approaches to</li> </ul>
	reasoning.
	-



UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI BABEȘ-BOLYAI TUDOMÂNYEGYETEM BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY traditio et excellentia

*	Present traditional, truth table-based, and state of the art (semantic/analytic tableaux) proof procedures for testing the validity of arguments/the consistency of propositions/beliefs, and automated reasoning software based on semantic/analytic tableaux. Present a version of natural deduction for propositional logic and proof assistants for natural deduction. Classify and present criteria for evaluating reasonings. Classify and identify logical fallacies. Classify and identify reasoning/cognitive biases.
---	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<u>Co</u>	urse	Teaching methods	Observations
1.	Identifying arguments. The general structure of arguments. Argument evaluation: basic concepts and distinctions. <i>Keywords</i> : premises, conclusion, premise indicators, conclusion indicators, semantic and structural ambiguities, truth values.	Presentation, conceptual clarifications.	
2.	Types of reasoning. Applications. <i>Keywords</i> : deductive reasoning, inductive reasoning, abductive reasoning.	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities, group activities, guided discovery.	
3.	Modeling arguments: fundamental distinctions. <i>Keywords</i> : serial arguments, convergent arguments, divergent arguments.	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarifications.	
4.	Nuts and bolts of propositional logic. <i>Keywords</i> : sentences, propositions, atomic sentences, compound sentences, logical connectives, regimenting sentences in propositional logic, regimenting arguments in propositional logic	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarifications, practical activities, group activities, guided discovery.	
5.	Modeling arguments in propositional logic. Applications. <i>Keywords</i> : truth tables, semantic tableaux rules/analytic tableaux rules, validity tests.	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarifications, practical activities.	



UNIVERSITATEA BABES-BOLYAI BABES-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY traditio et excellentia

14.	Review of the topics. Significance and relevance.	Debate, interactive teaching.	
	<i>Keywords</i> : manipulation in social- media, the rhetoric of advertising, etc.	activities.	
13.	manipulation. Applications.	clarifications, practical	
13	metaphor, irony, analogy, anaphora, apophasis, diasyrmus, etc. Contemporary techniques of	Presentation, conceptual	
12.	effects. Applications. <i>Keywords</i> : rhetorical question,	clarifications, practical activities.	
12	invention/discovery, arrangement, style, memory, delivery, ēthos, pathos, logos. Traditional rhetorical devices and	Presentation, conceptual	
	epideictic/display rhetoric, deliberative rhetoric,	guided discovery.	
	cannons. The appeals. Case studies. <i>Keywords</i> : forensic/judicial rhetoric,	clarifications, practical activities, group activities,	
11.	The branches of rhetoric. The	guided discovery. Presentation, conceptual	
	<i>Keywords</i> : confirmation bias, availability bias, etc.	clarifications, practical activities, group activities,	
10.	Biases in research.	Presentation, conceptual	
	apophenia etc.	activities, group activities, guided discovery.	
9.	Biases in reasoning. Keywords: anchoring bias,	Presentation, conceptual clarifications, practical	
	correlation, spurious correlation, spurious causation, mediation, moderation.		
	Keywords: causal fallacies,	activities.	
8.	Logical fallacies: fallacies in causal reasoning.	Presentation, conceptual clarifications, practical	
	<i>Keywords</i> : formal and informal fallacies, fallacies of relevance.	activities.	
	relevance.	clarifications, practical	
7.	Logical fallacies: fallacies of	Presentation, conceptual	
	validity tests.	activities, group activities, guided discovery.	
	propositional logic. Applications. <i>Keywords</i> : analytic tableaux rules,	synthesis, conceptual clarifications, practical	





Chaffee, J. (2018). Thinking Critically (12 ed.). Mason, OH: Cengage Learning.

Fischer, A. (2005). The Logic of Real Arguments. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

Graeme, F. (1994). Modern Logic: A Text in Elementary Symbolic Logic. New York: Oxford University Press.

Hodges, W. (2001). Logic: An Introduction to Elementary Logic (2nd ed.). London, U.K.: Penguin.

Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. New York: Farrar, Straus, and Giroux.

Kahneman, D., Slovic, P., & Tversky, A. (Eds.). (1982). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. Cambridge: Cambridge University Pess.

Leith S. (2012) You Talkin' To Me? Rhetoric from Aristotle to Obama, London: Profile Books.

LePore, E. (2000). Meaning and Argument. An Introduction to Logic through Language. Oxford, Malden MA.: Blackwell.

Nolt, J., Varzi, A., & Rohatyn, D. (1998). Schaum's Outline of Theory and Problems of Logic (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Smith, P. (2020). An Introduction to Formal Logic (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Stanley F. (2016) Winning Arguments: What Works and Doesn't Work in Politics, the Bedroom, the Courtroom, and the Classroom, New York: Harper.

Stanovich, K. E. (1999). Who is Rational? Studies of Individual Differences. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Stenning, K. (2002). Seeing Reason: Image and Language in Learning to Think. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Tindale, C. W. (2007). Fallacies and Argument Appraisal. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Toulmin, S. (2003). The Uses of Argument. Cambridge, U.K: Cambridge University Press.

Toye, R. (2013). Rhetoric. A Very Short Introduction, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Walton, D. (2006). Fundamentals of Critical Argumentation. Cambridge, U.K: Cambridge University Press.

8.2 Seminar/Laboratory	Teaching methods	Observations

9. The correspondence between the content of the course and the expectations of the academic community, professional associations and representative employers in the field:

The course develops analytic thinking skills coupled with a critical-thinking and scientifically-oriented approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems. The course also offers state of the art research skills that are transferable to any scientific and applied figld of knowledge



UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI BABEȘ-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITĂT BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY traditio et excellentia

#### 10. Assessment

Type of activity	10.1 Assessment criteria	10.2 Assessment methods	10.3 Percentage of the final grade
10.4 Course	Writing examinations (3 Multiple Choice Tests)	Evaluation of the tests	90
10.5 Seminar/			
Laboratory			
	<i>Ex officio</i> : 1 point		
10.6 Minimum sta	andard of performance		
For grade 5: obtain cumulatively 4 points at the examinations.		For grade 10: obtain cumulatively 9 points at the examinations.	

Date 16.09.2024	Course holder signature	Seminar holder signature
Date of departmental approval	Head of department sig	gnature