#### **SYLLABUS**

| ri mormation regarande de programme |   |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1.1 Higher education                | Babes-Bolyai University                     |  |  |
| institution                         |   |  |  |
| 1.2 Faculty                         | Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science |  |  |
| 1.3 Department                      | Department of Computer Science              |  |  |
| 1.4 Field of study                  | Computer Science                            |  |  |
| 1.5 Study cycle                     | Bachelor                                    |  |  |
| 1.6 Study programme /               | Computer Science (English)                  |  |  |
| Qualification                       |   |  |  |

#### **1. Information regarding the programme**

# 2. Information regarding the discipline

| 2.1 Name of the discipline (en) |   | Software Systems Verification and Validation |  |  |   |                        |            |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|------------------------|------------|
| (ro)                            |   |  |  |  |   |                        |            |
| 2.2 Course coordinator          |   |  | PhD Associate Professor Vescan Andreea |  |   |                        |            |
| 2.3 Seminar coordinator         |   |  | Ph                                     | PhD Associate Professor Vescan Andreea |   |                        |            |
| 2.4. Year of study              | 3 | 2.5 Semester                                 | 6                                      | 2.6. Type of evaluation                | Ε | 2.7 Type of discipline | compulsory |
| 2.8 Code of the discipline      |   | MLE5014                                      |  |  |   |                        |            |

## 3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

| 3.1 Hours per week  | 4  | Of which: 3.2 course | 2  | 3.3<br>seminar/laboratory | 2     |
|---|----|----------------------|----|---------------------------|-------|
| 3.4 Total hours in the curriculum   | 48 | Of which: 3.5 course | 24 | 3.6                       | 24    |
|   |    |                      |    | seminar/laboratory        |       |
| Time allotment:   |    |                      |    | ·                         | hours |
| Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes                     |    |                      |    |                           | 33    |
| Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation) |    |                      |    |                           | 33    |
| Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays                |    |                      |    |                           | 34    |
| Tutorship   |    |                      |    |                           | 7     |
| Evaluations   |    |                      |    |                           | 20    |
| Other activities:   |    |                      |    | 0                         |       |
| 3.7 Total individual study hours 127  |    |                      |    |                           |       |
| 3.8 Total hours per semester  |    | 175                  |    |                           |       |
| 3.9 Number of ECTS credits  |    | 7                    |    |                           |       |

# 4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

| 4.1. curriculum | • | Object oriented programming, Advanced programming   |
|-----------------|---|---|
|                 |   | methods, Systems for design and implementation, Web |

|                   | Programming  |
|-------------------|--|
| 4.2. competencies | • Skills in highlevel object oriented programming environments |

# **5. Conditions** (if necessary)

| 5.1. for the course       | Video projector, Internet access                                       |
|---------------------------|--|
| 5.2. for the seminar /lab | • Laboratory with computers; various tools for verification activities |
| activities                |  |

# 6. Specific competencies acquired

| Professional<br>competencies | <ul> <li>Identification of proper methodologies for software systems development; Identification and explication of proper software systems specification methods;</li> <li>Using methodologies and tools for development of informatics applications; Using proper criteria and methods for evaluation of software applications;</li> <li>Realization of dedicated information projects.</li> </ul>   |
|------------------------------|--|
| Transversal<br>competencies  | <ul> <li>Application of efficient and rigorous working rules, manifest responsible attitudes toward the scientific and didactic fields, respecting the professional and ethical principles.</li> <li>Use of efficient methods and techniques for learning, information, research and development of abilities for knowledge exploitation, for adapting to the needs of a dynamic society and for communication in Romanian as well as in a widely used foreign language</li> </ul> |

# 7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

| 7.1 General objective of the  | • To gain knowledge of partial correct and total correct algorithms       |
|-------------------------------|---|
| discipline                    | • To gain knowledge of designing correct algorithms and proving the       |
|                               | correctness hand-in-hand;   |
|                               | • To learn the methods of program verification and validation;            |
|                               | • To become used with building correct programs from specification;       |
|                               | • To develop a modern programming style.                                  |
| 7.2 Specific objective of the | • Students will know how and which are the steps of an inspection,        |
| discipline                    | either of the source code or specification of each stage of the           |
|                               | development of the software system.                                       |
|                               | • Students will know to create test cases from the specification and from |
|                               | source code, that will help them develop a better and robust software     |
|                               | system.   |
|                               | • Students will know how to use tools for the management of testing       |
|                               | process.  |
|                               | • Students will know how to design test cases using various criteria      |
|                               | (black-box, white-box).   |

#### 8. Content

| 8.1 Course                      | Teaching methods     | Remarks |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| 1. Verification and validation. | Interactive exposure |         |
| Program inspection              | Explanation          |         |

|   | []                       |
|---|--------------------------|
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 2. Program testing (1): the concept of program      | Interactive exposure     |
| testing; unit testing: testing criteria – black box | Explanation              |
| testing,  | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 3. Program testing (2): the concept of program      | Interactive exposure     |
| testing; unit testing: testing criteria – white     | Explanation              |
| box testing (cont.)                                 | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 4. Program testing (3): Levels of testing (unit,    | Interactive exposure     |
| integration, system, regression, acceptance)        | Explanation              |
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 5. Testing Web applications                         | Interactive exposure     |
|   | Explanation              |
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 6. Agile testing. Script testing versus exploratory | Interactive exposure     |
| testing   | Explanation              |
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 7. Symbolic execution                               | Interactive exposure     |
|   | Explanation              |
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 8. Model checking                                   | Interactive exposure     |
|   | Explanation              |
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 9. The theory of program correctness.               | Interactive exposure     |
| The evolution of the concept of program             | Explanation              |
| correctness.  | Conversation             |
| Floyd's method for prooving correctness.            | Didactical demonstration |
| Hoare's axiomatisation method                       |                          |
| Dijkstra: the weakest precondition.Stepwise         |                          |
| refinement from specifications                      |                          |
|   |                          |
| 10. Program Quality                                 | Interactive exposure     |
|   | Explanation              |
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
| 11. Verification/testing related activities:        | Interactive exposure     |
| Technical testing skills, Soft testing skills,      | Explanation              |
| Giving, feedback. This activity is done in          | Conversation             |
| collaboration of the teacher with the students.     | Didactical demonstration |
| control of the totol with the students.             |                          |
| 12. Final exam preparation.                         | Interactive exposure     |
| 12. I mui exam proputation.                         | Explanation              |
|   | Conversation             |
|   | Didactical demonstration |
|   |                          |

## Bibliography

#### Books

[Fre10] FRENTIU, M., Verificarea si validarea sistemelor soft, Presa Universitara Clujeana, 2010 [Pres10] R. S. Pressman, Software engineering: a practinioner's approach, seventh edition, Higher Education, 2010

[Crs09] L. Crispin, J. Grecory, Agile testing: a practical guide for testers and agile teams, Addison-Wesley, 2009

[You08] M. Pezzand, M. Young, Software Testing and Analysis: Process, Principles and Techniques, John Wiley & Sons, 2008

[Nai08] K. Naik, P. Tripathy, Software testing and quality assurance. Theory and Practice, A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008

[Kat08] J. P. Katoen, Principles of Model Checking, MIT Press, May 2008

[Pat05] R. Patton, Software Testing, Sams Publishing, 2005

[Mye04] Glenford J. Myers, The Art of Software Testing, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004

[Brn02] I. Brnstein, Practical software testing, Springer, 2002

[Mor90] Morgan, C., Programing from Specifications, Prentice Hall, NewYork, 1990.

[Dro89] DROMEY G., Program Derivation. The Development of Programs From Specifications, Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 1989.

#### Articles

[Kin75] J. Darringer, J. King, Applications of symbolic execution to program testing, 1975 [Dij75] DIJKSTRA, E., Guarded commands, nondeterminacy and formal derivation of programs, CACM, 18(1975), 8, pg.453-457.

[Hoa69] HOARE, C.A.R., An axiomatic basis for computer programming, CACM, 12(1969), pg.576-580, 583.

## Tutorials

During lectures/seminars/laboratories tutorials will be given for each assignment.

| 8.2 Seminar / laboratory                    | Teaching methods              | Remarks |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|
| 1. Seminar 1/Laboratory 1                   | Presentation, Conversation,   |         |
| Inspection                                  | Problematizations, Discovery, |         |
| Inspection tool                             | Other methods – individual    |         |
| Issue traker tool                           | study, exercises              |         |
| Test management tool (TestLink)             |                               |         |
| 2. Seminar 2/Laboratory 2                   | Presentation, Conversation,   |         |
| Test cases using Black-box Testing (BBT)    | Problematizations, Discovery, |         |
| Test management tool (TestLink)             | Other methods – individual    |         |
| Continuous Integration tool (Jenkins)       | study, exercises              |         |
| 3. Seminar 3/Laboratory 3                   | Presentation, Conversation,   |         |
| Test cases using White-box Testing (WBT)    | Problematizations, Discovery, |         |
| Test management tool (TestLink)             | Other methods – individual    |         |
| Continuous Integration tool (Jenkins)       | study, exercises              |         |
| 1. Seminar 4/Laboratory 4                   | Presentation, Conversation,   |         |
| Levels of testing - Integration testing     | Problematizations, Discovery, |         |
| Test management tool (TestLink)             | Other methods – individual    |         |
| Continuous Integration tool (Jenkins)       | study, exercises              |         |
| 2. Seminar 5/Laboratory 5                   | Presentation, Conversation,   |         |
| Web testing                                 | Problematizations, Discovery, |         |
| Web testing tool (e.g. Selenium Web Driver) | Other methods – individual    |         |
| Test management tool (TestLink)             | study, exercises              |         |

| Continuous Integration tool (Jenkins) |                               |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 3. Seminar 6/Laboratory 6             | Presentation, Conversation,   |
| Correctness. Static analysis          | Problematizations, Discovery, |
| ESCJava2, JML                         | Other methods – individual    |
|                                       | study, exercises              |
| Bibliography                          |                               |
|                                       |                               |
| See references from Lectures.         |                               |

**Remark.** For each seminar, students must be prepared. Various articles/chapters from books are required to be read previous to each seminar.

# **9.** Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- Students will know how to use tools for test management
- Students will know how to apply testing methods for a software product.
- Students will learn various verification and validation methods of a software system, to design test cases using various criteria (black-box testing, white-box testing)

#### **10. Evaluation**

| Type of activity            | 10.1 Evaluation criteria  | 10.2 Evaluation methods                 | 10.3 Share in the grade (%)   |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| 10.1 Course                 | At the end of the semester<br>a written<br>examination will give a<br>mark E.   | Written examination                     | 50%   |
| 10.2 Seminar/lab activities | The activity at<br>seminaries, consisting<br>from participation in<br>solving the exercises<br>and discussions will<br>be appreciate by a<br>mark S.  | Seminar =<br>Grade for seminar Activity | 25%   |
|                             | The activity at<br>laboratories, consisting<br>from participation in<br>solving the exercises<br>and discussions, will<br>be appreciate by a<br>mark L.   | Laboratory<br>activity                  | 25%   |
| 10.3 Bonus point            | Students will have the<br>possibility of obtaining<br>bonus points at the final<br>grade for additional<br>activities that are related to<br>Software systems<br>verification and validation:<br>conduction research/report | Bonus points                            | Bonus points at the<br>final grade (after<br>obtaining the final<br>minimum required<br>grade 5). |

| and various activities<br>during lectures. |  |
|--|--|
| An R&D project could                       |  |
| also be selected.                          |  |

Remark .

- Seminar/Laboratory assignments/Practical laboratory work may not be redone in the retake session.
- Written exams can be taken during the retake session.
- Students from Previous Years to the current academic year
  - All the above rules apply to students from previous years.
    - Seminar/Laboratory assignments and practical laboratory activity must be redone during didactic activity time (in the 12 weeks before normal session).
- Laboratory activity: each student will come with it own semi-group.
- Laboratory activity: 3 out of 6 laboratories must be delivered.
- Late delivery of assignments will be penilized. Maximum 4 weeks are allowed to deliver an assignment. After the deadline, the assignment will be graded with 0.
- The final grade computed with the given formula must be at least 5 in order to pass the exam. Final grade=50% WrittenExam+25% Seminar+25% Laboratory

• Attend 75% of seminar activities during semester AND attend 90% of lab activities during semester. 10.6 Minimum performance standards

- > Students will learn and apply testing methods for a software product.
- Students will apply various methods for verification (testing, inspection, model checking) for establishing the correctness of an algorithm.

| Date          | Signature of course coordinator   | Signature of seminar coordinator |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 28 April 2023 | Assoc. Prof. PhD. Andreea Vescan, | Assoc. Prof. PhD. Andreea Vescan |

Heream

Afercan

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

Prof. PhD. Laura Dioșan

.....