

UNIVERSITATEA BABES-BOLYAI BABES-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY TRADITIO ET EXCELLENTIA

Course syllabus

Academic year 2020-2021

1. Information about the program

1.1 Higher Education Institution	Babeş-Bolyai University
1.2 Faculty	History and Philosophy
1.3 Department	Philosophy
1.4 Field of study	Philosophy
1.5 Study level	Master
1.6 Programme of study/ Qualification	Philosophy

2. Information about the discipline

2.1 Title	Fundamental	Fundamentals of humanistic education (Argumentation theory)				
2.2 Course holder		Lec	turer Dr. Mihai Rusu			
2.3 Seminar holder						
2.4 Year of study	2.5 Semester	1	2.6. Type of assessment ¹	ME	2.7 Type of module ²	F

3. Total estimated time (teaching hours per semester)

3.1 No. of hours per week	2	3.2 of which for	2	3.3 of which for	0
		course		seminar	
3.4 Total no. of hours in the curriculum	28	3.5 of which for	28	3.6 of which for	0
		course		seminar	
Time distribution:					
Study by using handbook, reader, bibliography and course notes					17
Additional library/specialised online research, field research					8
Preparation of seminars/laboratories, homework, projects, portfolios and essays					15
Tutoring					5
Examinations					2
Other activities:					
3.7 Total no. of hours for individual stud	N/	17			

3.7 Total no. of hours for individual study	47
3.8 Total no. of hours per semester	75
3.9 No. of ETCS credit points	3

4. Prerequisites (where applicable)

4.1 of curriculum	☆ -
4.2 of competencies	* -

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 For the development of the course	 Online course conducted through the MS Teams platform
5.2 For the development of the seminar/laboratory	*

 $^{^1\,\}mathrm{E}$ - exam, ME - multi-term examinations, C - collocutional examination/assessment test

² OB - core module, OP - elective module, F - extracurricular module



UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI BABEȘ-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY TRADITIO ET EXCELLENTIA

6. Specific skills acquired

Knowledge and understanding
 Evaluate the validity of arguments using semantic/analytic tableaux
 Evaluate the validity of arguments using the truth table method
 Construct rigorous proofs using natural deduction systems
 Evaluate the soundness of arguments
 Discern various types of reasoning
 Discern the logical structure of arguments/reasonings
 Identify hidden assumptions and/or premises in arguments and reasonings
Explanation and interpretation
◆ Interpret arguments, ideas, theses, according to the principle of charity
 Explain key concepts and distinctions in the logical approach to arguments/reasoning
Instrumental - applicative
 Use semantic/analytic tableaux to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings
 Use truth tables to determine the validity of arguments/reasonings
 Use natural deduction systems to construct rigorous proofs
 Supplement precarious arguments/reasonings in order to become valid/sound
 Develop valid, sound, arguments in scientific writing
Attitude
 Manifest a critical-thinking approach to discourses, ideas, theses, arguments, generally to available information.
 Manifest an analytical-thinking approach to problems, puzzles, etc.
 Manifest a scientifically-oriented approach.
 Develop rigorous, sound, evidence-based arguments
 Identify fallacies and biases in scientific/everyday discourses
 Identify the logical joints, hidden assumptions, and premises of arguments
 Logically and critically evaluate arguments
 Asses the consistency of beliefs, ideas, theses, and premises
 Use a critical thinking approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems
 Develop analytic thinking skills
 Structure information in a sound logical manner
Communicate ideas and arguments eloquently and more effectively

7. Course objectives (based on list of acquired skills)

7.1 General objective	*	Familiarize students with the formal and informal procedures for
		evaluating arguments.
	*	Familiarize students with logical and cognitive approaches to
		reasoning.
		-



UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI BABEȘ-BOLYAI TUDOMÂNYEGYETEM BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY traditio et excellentia

*	Present traditional, truth table-based, and state of the art (semantic/analytic tableaux) proof procedures for testing the validity of arguments/the consistency of propositions/beliefs, and automated reasoning software based on semantic/analytic tableaux. Present a version of natural deduction for propositional logic and proof assistants for natural deduction. Classify and present criteria for evaluating reasonings. Classify and identify logical fallacies. Classify and identify reasoning/cognitive biases.
---	--

Co	urse	Teaching methods	Observations
1.	Identifying arguments. The general structure of arguments. Argument evaluation: basic concepts and distinctions. <i>Keywords</i> : premises, conclusion, premise indicators, conclusion indicators, semantic and structural ambiguities, truth values.	Presentation, conceptual clarifications.	
2.	Types of reasoning. Applications. <i>Keywords</i> : deductive reasoning, inductive reasoning, abductive reasoning.	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarification, practical activities, group activities, guided discovery.	
3.	Modeling arguments: fundamental distinctions. <i>Keywords</i> : serial arguments, convergent arguments, divergent arguments.	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarifications.	
4.	Nuts and bolts of propositional logic. <i>Keywords</i> : sentences, propositions, atomic sentences, compound sentences, logical connectives, regimenting sentences in propositional logic, regimenting arguments in propositional logic	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarifications, practical activities, group activities, guided discovery.	
5.	Modeling arguments in propositional logic. Applications. <i>Keywords</i> : truth tables, semantic tableaux rules/analytic tableaux rules, validity tests.	Presentation, knowledge synthesis, conceptual clarifications, practical activities.	



UNIVERSITATEA BABES-BOLYAI BABES-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITÄT BABES-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY traditio et excellentia

14.	Review of the topics. Significance and relevance.	Debate, interactive teaching.	
	media, the rhetoric of advertising, etc.	activities.	
	manipulation. Applications. <i>Keywords</i> : manipulation in social-	clarifications, practical activities.	
13.	Contemporary techniques of	Presentation, conceptual	
	apophasis, diasyrmus, etc.		
	<i>Keywords</i> : rhetorical question, metaphor, irony, analogy, anaphora,	activities.	
	effects. Applications.	clarifications, practical	
12.	Traditional rhetorical devices and	Presentation, conceptual	
	style, memory, delivery, ēthos, pathos, logos.		
	invention/discovery, arrangement,		
	deliberative rhetoric,	guided discovery.	
	<i>Keywords</i> : forensic/judicial rhetoric, epideictic/display rhetoric,	activities, group activities, guided discovery.	
	cannons. The appeals. Case studies.	clarifications, practical	
11.	The branches of rhetoric. The	Presentation, conceptual	
		guided discovery.	
	availability bias, etc.	activities, group activities,	
10.	<i>Keywords</i> : confirmation bias,	clarifications, practical	
10	Biases in research.	guided discovery. Presentation, conceptual	
	apophenia etc.	activities, group activities,	
	Keywords: anchoring bias,	clarifications, practical	
9.	Biases in reasoning.	Presentation, conceptual	
	moderation.		
	correlation, spurious correlation, spurious causation, mediation,		
	<i>Keywords</i> : causal fallacies,	activities.	
	reasoning.	clarifications, practical	
8.	Logical fallacies: fallacies in causal	Presentation, conceptual	
	fallacies, fallacies of relevance.		
	<i>Keywords</i> : formal and informal	activities.	
1.	Logical fallacies: fallacies of relevance.	Presentation, conceptual clarifications, practical	
7	Logical follogics: follogics of	guided discovery.	
	validity tests.	activities, group activities,	
	<i>Keywords</i> : analytic tableaux rules,	clarifications, practical	
	propositional logic. Applications.	synthesis, conceptual	





Chaffee, J. (2018). Thinking Critically (12 ed.). Mason, OH: Cengage Learning.

Fischer, A. (2005). The Logic of Real Arguments. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

Graeme, F. (1994). Modern Logic: A Text in Elementary Symbolic Logic. New York: Oxford University Press.

Hodges, W. (2001). Logic: An Introduction to Elementary Logic (2nd ed.). London, U.K.: Penguin.

Kahneman, D. (2011). Thinking, fast and slow. New York: Farrar, Straus, and Giroux.

Kahneman, D., Slovic, P., & Tversky, A. (Eds.). (1982). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. Cambridge: Cambridge University Pess.

Leith S. (2012) You Talkin' To Me? Rhetoric from Aristotle to Obama, London: Profile Books.

LePore, E. (2000). Meaning and Argument. An Introduction to Logic through Language. Oxford, Malden MA.: Blackwell.

Nolt, J., Varzi, A., & Rohatyn, D. (1998). Schaum's Outline of Theory and Problems of Logic (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.

Smith, P. (2020). An Introduction to Formal Logic (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.

Stanley F. (2016) Winning Arguments: What Works and Doesn't Work in Politics, the Bedroom, the Courtroom, and the Classroom, New York: Harper.

Stanovich, K. E. (1999). Who is Rational? Studies of Individual Differences. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Stenning, K. (2002). Seeing Reason: Image and Language in Learning to Think. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Tindale, C. W. (2007). Fallacies and Argument Appraisal. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Toulmin, S. (2003). The Uses of Argument. Cambridge, U.K: Cambridge University Press.

Toye, R. (2013). Rhetoric. A Very Short Introduction, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Walton, D. (2006). Fundamentals of Critical Argumentation. Cambridge, U.K: Cambridge University Press.

8.2 Seminar/Laboratory	Teaching methods	Observations

9. The correspondence between the content of the course and the expectations of the academic community, professional associations and representative employers in the field:

The course develops analytic thinking skills coupled with a critical-thinking and scientifically-oriented approach to discourses, ideas, arguments, problems. The course also offers state of the art research skills that are transferable to any scientific and applied figld of knowledge



UNIVERSITATEA BABEȘ-BOLYAI BABEȘ-BOLYAI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITĂT BABEȘ-BOLYAI UNIVERSITY traditio et excellentia

10. Assessment

10.1 Assessment criteria	10.2 Assessment methods	10.3 Percentage of the final grade
Writing examinations (3 Multiple Choice Tests)	Evaluation of the tests	90
	<i>Ex officio</i> : 1 point	
indard of performance		-
n cumulatively 4 points at the	For grade 10: obtain cumulatively 9 points at the examinations.	
	Writing examinations (3 Multiple	Writing examinations (3 Multiple Choice Tests) Evaluation of the tests Evaluation of the tests Evaluation of the tests Image: Second state Evaluation of the tests Image: Second state

Date 16.09.2022	Course holder signature	Seminar holder signature	
Date of departmental approval	Head of department sig	Head of department signature	