SYLLABUS

| 1. Information regarding the programme | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1.1 Higher education | Babes Bolyai University | | | | |
| institution | | | | | |
| 1.2 Faculty | Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science | | | | |
| 1.3 Department | Department of Computer Science | | | | |
| 1.4 Field of study | Computer Science | | | | |
| 1.5 Study cycle | Bachelor | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 1.6 Study programme / | Mathematics and Computer Science | | | | |
| Qualification | | | | | |

1. Information regarding the programme

2. Information regarding the discipline

| 2.1 Name of the disciplineSoftware engineering | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------|---|--------------|---|-------------|------------|
| 2.2 Course coordinator conf. dr. Dan CHIOREAN | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 Seminar coordinator conf. dr. Dan CHIOREAN | | | | | | | |
| 2.4. Year of | 3 | 2.5 | 6 | 2.6. Type of | Ε | 2.7 Type of | Compulsory |
| study | | Semester | | evaluation | | discipline | |

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

| 3.1 Hours per week | 4 | Of which: 3.2 course | 2 | 3.3 | 1S + |
|---|----|----------------------|----|--------------------|-------|
| | | | | seminar/laboratory | 1L |
| 3.4 Total hours in the curriculum | 56 | Of which: 3.5 course | 28 | 3.6 | 1/1 |
| | | | | seminar/laboratory | |
| Time allotment: | | | | | hours |
| Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes | | | | | 27 |
| Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation) | | | | | 14 |
| Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays | | | | | 23 |
| Tutorship | | | | | 10 |
| Evaluations | | | | | 20 |
| Other activities: | | | | | |
| 3.7 Total individual study hours 94 | | | | | |

| 5.7 Total marvidual study nouis | 77 |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| 3.8 Total hours per semester | 150 |
| 3.9 Number of ECTS credits | 6 |

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

| 4.1. curriculum | Object-Oriented Programming |
|-------------------|--|
| 4.2. competencies | • Average programming skills in a high level object-oriented |
| | programming language |

5. Conditions (if necessary)

| 5.1. for the course | • beamer |
|---------------------------|--|
| 5.2. for the seminar /lab | • Laboratory with computers; high level programming language |
| activities | environment (Java environments or .NET and a UML CASE tool) |

6. Specific competencies acquired

| | or species | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| | I S | • | C2.1 & C2.2 - Knowledge on modeling, software development methodologies, software |
| | na cie | | testing, project management |
| | sio ten | • | C2.3 - Ability to work independently and in a team in order to develop software complying |
| | Professional competencies | | with industrial standards. |
| | Pro om | • | C2.5 - Understanding the role of different artifacts used in the process of software |
| | - 0 | | development and acquiring the ability of realizing and using these artifacts |
| | | • | CT1 - Ability to create different models (analysis, design, implementation, testing) using the |
| | iles | | UML |
| | rsa | • | CT2 - Ability to create software beginning with model construction, continuing with model |
| | sve ete | | verification and model transformation in code, realizing and using testing models |
| | Transversal competencies | • | CT3 - Ability to use a software methodology to produce quality software from analyzing |
| | Tr col | | software requirements to code generation and software testing |
| - 1 | | | |

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

| 7.1 General objective of the discipline | Be able to understand software production life cycle Improved skills on developing software |
|--|---|
| 7.2 Specific objective of the discipline | Understand and work with the concepts of: model, model properties. Understanding the role of abstraction in producing models. Understand the differences between modeling languages and modeling methodologies. Understand and work with the most important UML concepts used in constructing software models |

8. Content

| ð. Content | | |
|--|---|---------|
| 8.1 Course | Teaching methods | Remarks |
| 1. Introduction to Software Engineering | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 2. Using UML to specify models | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 3. Requirements Elicitation | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 4. Analysis | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 5. System Design - Decomposing the System | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 6. System Design - Addressing Design Goals | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case | |

| | studies | |
|---|---|---------------------|
| 7. Object Design - Reusing Pattern Solutions | | |
| 7. Object Design - Reusing Pattern Solutions | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 8. Object Design - Specifying Interfaces | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 9. Mapping Models to Code | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 10. Testing | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 11. Rationale & Configuration Management | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 12. Project Management | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 13. Software Life Cycle | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 14. Methodologies | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| Bibliography 1. Bernd Bruegge, Allen Dutoit - Object-Oriented Java - 3rd Edition - Prentice Hall 2009 2. Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, J 1996 | | |
| Ian Sommerville - Software Engineering - 8th Grady Booch, James Rumbaugh, Ivar Jacobsor V.2.0 - Addison Wesley, 2005 Martin Fowler et al Refactoring - Improving 1999 | n - The Unified Modeling L | anguage User Guide, |
| 8.2 Seminar | Teaching methods | Remarks |
| 1. Use cases diagrams, concepts, relationships, | Explanation, Dialogue, | The seminar is |

| 8.2 Seminar | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Use cases diagrams, concepts, relationships, | Explanation, Dialogue, | The seminar is |
| representation, the structure of a use case | debate, case studies, | structured as 2 hours |
| description document | examples, proofs | classes at each two |
| | | weeks period |
| 2. Describing structural models using class | Explanation, Dialogue, | |
| diagrams - concepts, relationships, | debate, case studies, | |
| representation, filtering the information | examples, proofs | |
| 3. Describing behavioral models using sequence | Explanation, Dialogue, | |
| and collaboration diagrams - the concepts used | debate, case studies, | |
| in these diagrams, the equivalence of these | examples, proofs | |
| diagrams | | |

| 4. Describing behavioral models using state | Explanation, Dialogue, | |
|---|--|-----------------------|
| transition diagrams. Generating code from | debate, case studies, | |
| state class diagrams | examples, proofs | |
| 5. Using assertions to specify model correctness | Explanation, Dialogue, | |
| against different kind of rules. Code | debate, case studies, | |
| generation for UML models | examples, proofs | |
| 6. The role of pre-post-conditions in specifying | Explanation, Dialogue, | |
| component's interface - design by contract | debate, case studies, | |
| | examples, proofs | |
| 7. Testing patterns | Explanation, Dialogue, | |
| 61 | debate, case studies, | |
| | examples, proofs | |
| Bibliography | | |
| 1. Martin Fowler - UML Distilled - Addison-Wes | lev. 2003 | |
| Bruce Eckel - Thinking in Java 4th edition - Pr | | |
| 3. Kent Beck - Test Driven Development - Addis | | |
| 5. Refit Deek Test Driven Development Addis | on westey, 2002 | |
| 8.2 Laboratory | Teaching methods | Remarks |
| 1. Agile Software Methodologies - planning the | Explanation, dialogue, | The laboratory is |
| software development phases. Risk analysis in | case studies | structured as 2 hours |
| software development, the role of incremental | | classes at each two |
| and iterative development. Analysis of small | | weeks period |
| software applications that each student has to | | weeks period |
| analyse, design, implement and test. | | |
| 2. Using an UML CASE tool and text editors to | Explanation, dialogue, | |
| realize the functional model of each individual | case studies | |
| problem | case studies | |
| 3. Using an UML CASE tool to construct The | Explanation, dialogue, | |
| requirement model of each individual problem | case studies | |
| | | |
| 4. Constructing the Design model using an UML | Explanation, dialogue, | |
| CASE tool | case studies | |
| 5. Realizing the Implementation model using | Testing data discussion, | |
| both an UML CASE tool and an appropriate | evaluation | |
| IDE | | |
| 6. Testing the application realized | Testing data discussion, evaluation | |
| 7. Realizing the User manual and delivering the | Explanation, dialogue, | |
| | / 1º | 1 |
| application Bibliography | case studies | |

1. Kenneth S. Rubin - Essential Scrum - A Practical Guide to the Most Popular Agile Process -Addison-Wesley 2012

- 2. Philippe B. Kruchten The Rational Unified Process: An Introduction 3rd Edition Addison -Wesley 2003
- 3. Per Kroll, Philippe Kruchten and Grady Booch The Rational Unified Process Made Easy: A Practitioner's Guide to the RUP - Addison-Wesley 2003

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- The course respects the IEEE and ACM Curricula Recommendations for Computer Science Studies; ٠
- The course exists in the studying program of all major universities in Romania and abroad; •
- The content of the course contains knowledge mandatory for any IT specialist working in a software company

10. Evaluation

| Type of activity | 10.1 Evaluation criteria | 10.2 Evaluation methods | 10.3 Share in the grade (%) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 10.4 Course | know the basic concepts & SE principles; knowledge of UML key concepts knowledge of modeling methodologies | Written exam | 60% |
| 10.5 Seminar/lab activities | be able to implement acknowledged knowledge in producing software be able to produce and use modeling artifacts | Practical examination documentation continuous observations | 40% |

Date

Signature of course coordinator

Signature of seminar coordinator

conf. dr. Dan CHIOREAN

African

7 May 2016

conf. dr. Dan CHIOREAN

African

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

prof. dr. Anca ANDREICA