### **SYLLABUS**

# 1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babeş Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Department of Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Mathematics
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor
1.6 Study programme /	Mathematics and Computer Science in English
Qualification	

## 2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline (en)			Formal Languages and Compiler Design			
(ro)						
2.2 Course coordinator			Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna			
2.3 Seminar coordinator		Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna				
2.4. Year of study 3	2.5 Semester	5	2.6. Type of	E	2.7 Type of	Compulsory
			evaluation		discipline	
2.8 Code of the	MLE5023				•	·
discipline						

# 3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	4	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	1sem
				seminar/laboratory	+ 1lab
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	56	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6	28
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes				7	
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)				4	
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays				6	
Tutorship				1	
Evaluations				1	
Other activities:			-		
27 T + 1 ' 1' ' 1 1 + 1 1		10			1

3.7 Total individual study hours	19
3.8 Total hours per semester	75
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	3

# **4. Prerequisites** (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	Data Structures and Algorithms	
-----------------	--------------------------------	--

4.2. competencies	•	Average programming skills in a high level programming language
-------------------	---	---

# **5. Conditions** (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	•
5.2. for the seminar /lab	Laboratory with computers; high level programming language environment
activities	(.NET or any Java environement a.s.o.)

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	<ul> <li>C4.1 Definition of concepts and basic principles of computer science, and their mathematical models and theories</li> <li>C4.2 Interpretation of mathematical and computer science models</li> <li>C4.5 Adoption of formal models in specific applications from different domains</li> </ul>
Transversal	CT1 Apply rules to: organized and efficient work, responsabilities of didactical and scientifical activities and creative capitalization of own potential, while respecting principles and rules for professional ethics CT3 Use efficient methods and techniques for learning, knowledge gaining, and research and develop capabilities for capitalization of knowledge, accommodation to society requirements and communication in English

# **7. Objectives of the discipline** (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	<ul> <li>Be able to understand compiler design and to implement compiler techniques</li> <li>Improved programming skills</li> </ul>
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	<ul> <li>Acquire knowledge about back-end of a compiler</li> <li>Understand and work with formal languages concepts: Chomsky hierarchy; regular grammars, finite automata and the equivalence between them; context-free grammars, push-down automata and their equivalence</li> <li>Understand and work with compilers concepts: scanning, parsing</li> </ul>

## 8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. General Structure of a compiler. Compiler phases	Exposure: description,	
	explanation, examples,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
2. Scanning (Lexical Analysis)	Exposure: description,	
	explanation, examples,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
3. Introductory notions of formal languages.	Exposure: description,	
Grammars and Finite Automata	explanation, examples,	
	debate, dialogue	
4. Regular languages, regular expressions,	Exposure: description,	

equivalence between finite automata, regular	explanation, examples,
grammars and regular expressions. Pumping	proofs
lemma	
5. Context-free grammars, syntax tree	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
6. Parsing: general notions, classification.	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
7. Recursive-descendant parser	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
8. LL(1) parser	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
9. LR(k) Parsing method. LR(0) parser	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
10. SLR, LR(1), LALR parser	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
11. Scanner generator (lex); Parser generators (yacc)	Exposure: description,
	examples, discussion of
	case studies, live demo
12. Attribute grammars; generation of	Exposure: description,
intermediary code	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
13. Code optimization and object code generation	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies
14. Push-down automata and Turing machines	Exposure: description,
	explanation, examples,
	discussion of case
	studies

#### Bibliography

- 1. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN Principles of computer design, Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- 2. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN The theory of parsing, translation and compiling, Prentice-Hall, Engl. Cliffs., N.J., 1972, 1973.
- 3. D. GRIES Compiler construction for digital computers,, John Wiley, New York, 1971.
- 4. MOTOGNA, S. Metode de proiectare a compilatoarelor, Ed. Albastra, 2006
- 5. SIPSER, M., Introduction to the theory of computation, PWS Pulb. Co., 1997
- 6. CSÖRNYEI ZOLTÁN, Bevezetés a fordítóprogramok elméletébe, I, II., ELTE, Budapest, 1996
- 7. L.D. SERBANATI Limbaje de programare si compilatoare, Ed. Academiei RSR, 1987.
- 8. CSÖRNYEI ZOLTÁN, Fordítási algoritmusok, Erdélyi Tankönyvtanács, Kolozsvár, 2000.
- 9. DEMETROVICS JÁNOS-DENEV, J.-PAVLOV, R., A számítástudomány matematikai alapjai, Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó,

10. GRUNE, DICK - BAL, H JACOBS, C LANGENDOEN, K.: N	Modern Compiler Design, J	ohn Wiley, 2000
8.2 Seminar	Teaching methods	Remarks
Specification of a programming language; BNF notation	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
<ol> <li>Grammars; language generated by a grammar; grammar corresponding to a language</li> </ol>	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof	
<ol> <li>Finite automata: language generated by a FA; FA corresponding to a language</li> </ol>	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof	
4. Transformations: finite automata – regular grammars – regular expressions	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof	
<ol><li>Context free grammars; descendent recursive parser</li></ol>	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof	
6. LL(1) parser	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof	
7. LR(0) parsers	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof	
8.3 Laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner     1.1: Mini language specification (BNF notation)	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	Each laboratory assignment will be developed during lab hours
2. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner	Explanation, dialogue,	
1.2: Writing a small program in the minilanguage	case studies	
<ul><li>3. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner</li><li>1.3: Use lex for scanner</li></ul>	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
4. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner	Testing data discussion,	
1.4: Determine lexical tokens using FA	case studies, evaluation	
5. Task 2: Parsing: 2.1: Define grammar for specified syntactical structures	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
6. Task 2: Parsing	Testing data discussion,	
2.2: Use yacc for parsing	case studies	
7. Present Task 2	Testing data discussion,	
Diblic complex	evaluation	

### Bibliography

- 1. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN Principles of computer design, Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- 2. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN The theory of parsing, translation and compiling, Prentice-Hall, Engl. Cliffs., N.J., 1972, 1973.
- 3. MOTOGNA, S. Metode de proiectare a compilatoarelor, Ed. Albastra, 2006
- 4. G. MOLDOVAN, V. CIOBAN, M. LUPEA Limbaje formale si automate. Culegere de probleme, Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, 1996.
- 9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- The course respects the IEEE and ACM Curriculla Recommendations for Computer Science studies;
- The course exists in the studying program of all major universities in Romania and abroad;
- The content of the course is considered the software companies as important for average programming skills

### 10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)
10.4 Course	<ul><li>know the basic principle of the domain;</li><li>apply the course concepts</li><li>problem solving</li></ul>	Written exam	70%
10.5 Seminar and lab activities	<ul> <li>be able to apply algorithms, understand examples - problem solving</li> </ul>	problems solved - homeworks delivered - continuous observations during semester	10%
	<ul> <li>be able to implement course concepts and algorithms</li> <li>apply techniques for different classes of programming languages</li> </ul>	-Practical examination during all semester -documentation - portofolio -continous observations	20%

### 10.6 Minimum performance standards

- > Attend 75% of seminar activities during semester AND attend 90% of lab activities during semester
- > At least grade 5 (from a scale of 1 to 10) at both written exam and laboratory work.

Date	Signature of course coordinator	Signature of seminar coordinator	
14.04.2021	Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA	Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA	
Date of approval	Signature of the head of department		
	Prof.dr. Laura Dioșan		