

SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1.1 Higher education institution | Babeş Bolyai University |
| 1.2 Faculty | Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science |
| 1.3 Department | Department of Computer Science |
| 1.4 Field of study | Computer Science |
| 1.5 Study cycle | Bachelor |
| 1.6 Study programme / Qualification | Computer Science |

2. Information regarding the discipline

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|----------|-------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 2.1 Name of the discipline (en) (ro) | Formal Languages and Compiler Design | | | | | | |
| 2.2 Course coordinator | Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna | | | | | | |
| 2.3 Seminar coordinator | Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna | | | | | | |
| 2.4. Year of study | 3 | 2.5 Semester | 5 | 2.6. Type of evaluation | E | 2.7 Type of discipline | Compulsory |
| 2.8 Code of the discipline | MLE5023 | | | | | | |

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|----------------------|----|------------------------|-------------|
| 3.1 Hours per week | 6 | Of which: 3.2 course | 2 | 3.3 seminar/laboratory | 2sem + 2lab |
| 3.4 Total hours in the curriculum | 84 | Of which: 3.5 course | 28 | 3.6 seminar/laboratory | 56 |
| Time allotment: | | | | | hours |
| Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes | | | | | 20 |
| Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation) | | | | | 10 |
| Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays | | | | | 20 |
| Tutorship | | | | | 6 |
| Evaluations | | | | | 10 |
| Other activities: | | | | | - |
| 3.7 Total individual study hours | 66 | | | | |
| 3.8 Total hours per semester | 150 | | | | |
| 3.9 Number of ECTS credits | 6 | | | | |

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| 4.1. curriculum | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data Structures and Algorithms |
|-----------------|--|

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| 4.2. competencies | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Average programming skills in a high level programming language |
|-------------------|---|

5. Conditions (if necessary)

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| 5.1. for the course | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • |
| 5.2. for the seminar /lab activities | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Laboratory with computers; high level programming language environment (.NET or any Java environment a.s.o.) |

6. Specific competencies acquired

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Professional competencies | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • C4.1 Definition of concepts and basic principles of computer science, and their mathematical models and theories • C4.2 Interpretation of mathematical and computer science models • C4.5 Adoption of formal models in specific applications from different domains |
| Transversal competencies | <p>CT1 Apply rules to: organized and efficient work, responsibilities of didactical and scientific activities and creative capitalization of own potential, while respecting principles and rules for professional ethics</p> <p>CT3 Use efficient methods and techniques for learning, knowledge gaining, and research and develop capabilities for capitalization of knowledge, accomodation to society requirements and communication in English</p> |

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

| | |
|--|--|
| 7.1 General objective of the discipline | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be able to understand compiler design and to implement compiler techniques • Improved programming skills |
| 7.2 Specific objective of the discipline | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acquire knowledge about back-end of a compiler • Understand and work with formal languages concepts: Chomsky hierarchy; regular grammars, finite automata and the equivalence between them; context-free grammars, push-down automata and their equivalence • Understand and work with compilers concepts: scanning, parsing |

8. Content

| 8.1 Course | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|---|--|---------|
| 1. General Structure of a compiler. Compiler phases | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 2. Scanning (Lexical Analysis) | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 3. Introductory notions of formal languages. Grammars and Finite Automata | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, debate, dialogue | |
| 4. Regular languages, regular expressions, | Exposure: description, | |

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| equivalence between finite automata, regular grammars and regular expressions. Pumping lemma | explanation, examples, proofs | |
| 5. Context-free grammars, syntax tree | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 6. Parsing: general notions, classification. | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 7. Recursive-descendant parser | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 8. LL(1) parser | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 9. LR(k) Parsing method. LR(0) parser | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 10. SLR, LR(1), LALR parser | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 11. Scanner generator (lex); Parser generators (yacc) | Exposure: description, examples, discussion of case studies, live demo | |
| 12. Attribute grammars; generation of intermediary code | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 13. Code optimization and object code generation | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |
| 14. Push-down automata and Turing machines | Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies | |

Bibliography

1. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - Principles of computer design, Addison-Wesley, 1978.
2. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - The theory of parsing, translation and compiling, Prentice-Hall, Engl. Cliffs., N.J., 1972, 1973.
3. D. GRIES - Compiler construction for digital computers,, John Wiley, New York, 1971.
4. MOTOGNA, S. – Metode de proiectare a compilatoarelor, Ed. Albastra, 2006
5. SIPSER, M., Introduction to the theory of computation, PWS Pub. Co., 1997
6. CSÖRNYEI ZOLTÁN, Bevezetés a fordítóprogramok elméletébe, I, II., ELTE, Budapest, 1996
7. L.D. SERBANATI - Limbaje de programare si compilatoare, Ed. Academiei RSR, 1987.
8. CSÖRNYEI ZOLTÁN, Fordítási algoritmusok, Erdélyi Tankönyvtanács, Kolozsvár, 2000.
9. DEMETROVICS JÁNOS-DENEV, J.-PAVLOV, R., A számítástudomány matematikai alapjai, Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó,

Budapest, 1999

10. GRUNE, DICK - BAL, H. - JACOBS, C. - LANGENDOEN, K.: Modern Compiler Design, John Wiley, 2000

| 8.2 Seminar | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|---|---|---------|
| 1. Specification of a programming language; BNF notation | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| 2. Grammars; language generated by a grammar; grammar corresponding to a language | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 3. Finite automata: language generated by a FA; FA corresponding to a language | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 4. Transformations: finite automata – regular grammars | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 5. Transformations: regular expressions – finite automata | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 6. Transformations: regular expressions – regular grammars | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 7. Context free grammars; descendent recursive parser | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 8. LL(1) parser | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 9. LR(0) parsers | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 10. SLR parser | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 11. LR(1) parser | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 12. Attribute grammars | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 13. Intermediary code | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 14. Push down automata | Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proof | |
| 8.2 Seminar | Teaching methods | Remarks |
| 1. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner 1.1: Mini language specification (BNF notation) | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| 2. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner 1.2: implement main functions in scanning | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| 3. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner 1.3: Symbol Table organization | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| 4. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner | Testing data discussion, | |

| | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1.4: Main program, testing + delivery | evaluation | |
| 5. Task 2: regular grammars + finite automata + transformations 2.1: Define data structures for RG and FA; implement transformations | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| 6. Task 2: regular grammars + finite automata + transformations 2.2: Main program, testing + delivery | Testing data discussion, evaluation | |
| 7. Task 3: context free grammars + equivalent transformations of cfg 3.1: extend task 2 for cfg; implement transformations | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| 8. Task 3: context free grammars + equivalent transformations of cfg 3.2: Main program, testing + delivery | Testing data discussion, evaluation | |
| 9. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.1: define data structures and architecture of application | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | One of: descendant recursive, LL(1), LR(0), SLR |
| 10. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.2: implement main functions in parsing | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | Task 4 is developed in teams of 2 students |
| 11. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.3: main program and module integration | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| 12. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.4: testing on small formal grammars | Testing data discussion, evaluation | |
| 13. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.5: testing on mini-language; delivery | Testing data discussion, evaluation | |
| 14. Task 5: use tools for lexer and parser generator: lex, yacc – implementation + delivery | Explanation, dialogue, case studies | |
| Bibliography 1. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - Principles of computer design, Addison-Wesley, 1978. 2. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - The theory of parsing, translation and compiling, Prentice-Hall, Engl. Cliffs., N.J., 1972, 1973. 3. MOTOGNA, S. – Metode de proiectare a compilatoarelor, Ed. Albastra, 2006 4. G. MOLDOVAN, V. CIOBAN, M. LUPEA - Limbaje formale si automate. Culegere de probleme, Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, 1996. | | |

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

| |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The course respects the IEEE and ACM Curricula Recommendations for Computer Science studies; • The course exists in the studying program of all major universities in Romania and abroad; • The content of the course is considered the software companies as important for average programming skills |
|--|

10. Evaluation

| Type of activity | 10.1 Evaluation criteria | 10.2 Evaluation methods | 10.3 Share in the grade (%) |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 10.4 Course | - know the basic principle of the domain; - apply the course concepts - problem solving | Written exam | 70% |
| 10.5 Seminar and lab | - be able to apply algorithms, | problems solved - homeworks | 10% |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|-----|
| activities | understand examples - problem solving | delivered - continuous observations during semester | |
| | - be able to implement course concepts and algorithms - apply techniques for different classes of programming languages | -Practical examination during all semester -documentation - portofolio -continous observations | 20% |
| 10.6 Minimum performance standards | | | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Attend 75% of seminar activities during semester AND attend 90% of lab activities during semester ➤ At least grade 5 (from a scale of 1 to 10) at both written exam and laboratory work. | | | |

Date

30.04.2020

Signature of course coordinator

Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA

Signature of seminar coordinator

Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA

Date of approval

.....

Signature of the head of department

.....