SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babes-Bolyai University			
institution				
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science			
1.3 Department	Department of Mathematics			
1.4 Field of study	Mathematics			
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor			
1.6 Study programme /	Mathematics and Computer Science (in Romanian)			
Qualification				

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline Te				st Design Technique	S		
2.2 Course coordinator				Lecturer PhD Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu			
2.3 Seminar coordinator Lecturer PhD Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu							
2.4. Year of	3	2.5	6	2.6. Type of	E	2.7 Type of	Optional
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline	
2.8 Discipline MLE5110			•	•			
Code		MILESTIU					

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

2 1 11	4	061:-12-2	2	2.2	1 1-1-
3.1 Hours per week	4	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	1 lab +
				seminar/laboratory	1 project
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	48	Of which: 3.5 course	24	3.6	24
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes					
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					20
Tutorship					10
Evaluations					22
Other activities:					-
27 T + 1' 1' 1 1 + 1 1					

3.7 Total individual study hours	102
3.8 Total hours per semester	150
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	6

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	OOP, Programming Fundamentals, Advanced Programming Meth	
4.2. competencies	Good programming skills in at least one of the programming	
	languages Java, C#	

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	Course hall with projector	
5.2. for the seminar /lab	 Laboratory: computers and use of a programming language 	
activities	environment	

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	 C2.1 Identify adequate software systems development methodologies C1.2 Identify and explain specific test design techniques that correspond to a testing le C1.3 Source code and goal oriented test elaboration in a well-known programming lan C4.3 Identify models and methods adequate to real life problem solving. 	
Transversal competencies	 CT1 Apply rules to organized and efficient work, responsibilities of didactical and scienativities and creative capitalization of own potential, while respecting principles and refor professional ethics. CT3 Use efficient methods and techniques for learning, knowledge gaining, and resear develop capabilities for capitalization of knowledge, accommodation to society require and communication in English. 	rules

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	 Enhance the students understanding of testing and test design techniques. Provide the students with an environment in which they can explore the usage and usefulness of software testing and test design concepts in various business scenarios. Induce a realistic and industry driven view of software testing concepts and their inherent benefits.
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	 Give students the ability to explore various test design techniques applied to different levels of testing. Improve the students' abilities to tackle on goal driven testing. Enhance the students understanding of test design techniques value in business. Students will be able to use various tools for the testing process (i.e., test management, test running, test reporting and bug reporting). Students will be able to design test cases according to an established testing goal and using specific test design technique in order to investigate the software.

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
 Software Testing. Test Design Techniques Software Testing. Goals. Scope Test Design Technique. Attributes Taxonomy of Test Design Techniques 	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration 	
2. Coverage-based Techniques I2.1. Focus. Objectives2.2. Tours. Logical Expressions	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration 	
3. Coverage-based Techniques II 3.1. Specification-based Testing; 3.2. Requirements-based Testing;	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration 	
4. Tester-based Techniques I4.1. Focus. Objectives	Interactive exposureExplanation.	

4.2. User Testing. Alpha Testing. Beta Testing	Conversation • Didactical demonstration
 5. Tester-based Techniques II 5.1. Bug Bashes. Paired Testing. 5.2. Coverage-based Techniques vs Tester-based Techniques 	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration
 6. Activity-based Techniques 6.1. Focus. Objectives 6.2. Guerilla Testing. All-pairs Testing 6.3. Use Cases Testing. Scenario Testing 6.4. Coverage-based Techniques vs Activity-based Techniques 	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration
7. Evaluation-based Techniques7.1. Focus. Objectives7.2. Function Equivalence Testing. Self-verifying data	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration
 8. Desired result-based Techniques 8.1. Focus. Objectives 8.2. Confirmation Testing. User Acceptance Testing 8.3. Desired-based Techniques vs Evaluation-based Techniques 	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration
 9. Risk-based Techniques 9.1. Focus. Objectives 9.2. Quick-tests. History-based Testing. Usability Testing 9.3. HTSM. Failure modes 	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration
10. Test Design Techniques Analysis 10.1.Tester-based Techniques vs Activity-based Techniques 10.2.Risk-based Techniques vs Coverage-based Techniques 10.3.Desired result-based Techniques vs Risk-based Techniques	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration
11. Essay Presentations 12. Essay Presentations	 Interactive exposure Conversation Interactive exposure Conversation

Bibliography

[Pres10] R. S. Pressman, Software engineering: a practinioner's approach, seventh edition, Higher Education, 2010.

[Crs09] L. Crispin, J. Grecory, Agile testing: a practical guide for testers and agile teams, Addison-Wesley, 2009.

[You08] M. Pezzand, M. Young, Software Testing and Analysis: Process, Principles and Techniques, John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

[Nai08] K. Naik, P. Tripathy, Software testing and quality assurance. Theory and Practice, A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008.

[Kat08] J. P. Katoen, Principles of Model Checking, MIT Press, May 2008.

[Pat05] R. Patton, Software Testing, Sams Publishing, 2005.

[Mye04] Glenford J. Myers, The Art of Software Testing, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004.

[Brn02] I. Burnstein, Practical Software Testing, Springer, 2002.

[Kaner99] C. Kaner, J. Falk, H.Q. Nguyen, Testing Computer Software, Wiley, 1999.

[Perry97] W.E.Perry, R.W. Rice, Surviving the Top Ten Challenges of Software Testing – A People

Oriented Approach, Dorset House Publishing, 1997.

[Kaner02] C. Kaner, J. Bach, B. Pettichord, Lesson Learned in Software Testing, Wiley, 2002.

[Page08] A. Page, K. Johnston, B. Rollison, Microsoft, How We Test Software at Microsoft, 2008.

[Whitt2012] J. Whittaker, J. Arbon J. Carollo, How Google Tests Software, Google Pearson Education

[Whitt2012] J. Whittaker, J. Arbon J. Carollo, How Google Tests Software, Google, Pearson Education, 2012.

8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Laboratory 1 Testing tools and platforms. Testing Project Setup	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
2. Laboratory 2 Test Automation Tools	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
3. Laboratory 3 Coverage-based Techniques OR Tester-based Techniques	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
4. Laboratory 4 Risk-based Techniques	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
5. Laboratory 5 Activity-based Techniques OR Desired result-based Techniques	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
6. Laboratory 6 Project turn-in	Evaluation	
References:		

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- Students will know how to apply test design techniques for a software product, in a similar way they are used in industry.
- Students will be able to understand the differences between the goals and scope of the various test techniques applied to a software system.

10. Evaluation

See references from Lectures.

			1.0.2.2.
Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the
			grade (%)
10.4.0	Design and design a testing	Out Englished and	<u> </u>
10.4 Course	Design and develop a testing	Oral Examination	70%
	solution (project) for a		
	software product with focus		
	on test design techniques.		
	The corresponding grade is		
	denoted by P.		
10.5 Seminar/laboratory	Each lab activity will be	Laboratory Activity	30%
activities	graded. The arithmetic		
	average of the grades is		
	denoted by L.		

Remark:

• Laboratory assignments will pe achieved in groups of 2-3 students.

10.6 Minimum performance standards

- Students will be able to apply test design techniques according to established goals for a software system.
- Students will be able to unstandard the differences between software testing goal, scope, and test

design technique concepts.

- The final grade (M) is computed as follows: M = 30%L + 70%P.
- At least $M \ge 5.00$ is favourable to pass this course exam.

Date

Signature of course coordinator

Signature of seminar coordinator

30.04.2019

Lect. PhD. Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu,

Lect. PhD. Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu,

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

Prof. PhD. Anca Andreica