SYLLABUS

1.1 Higher education	Babes-Bolyai University			
institution				
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science			
1.3 Department	Department of Mathematics			
1.4 Field of study	Mathematics			
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor			
1.6 Study programme /	Mathematics and Computer Science (in English)			
Qualification				

1. Information regarding the programme

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline '			Te	st Design Techniques			
2.2 Course coordinator				Lecturer PhD Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu			
2.3 Seminar coordinator Lecturer PhD Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu							
2.4. Year of	3	2.5	6	6 2.6. Type of E 2.7 Type of Optional			
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline	
2.8 Discipline MLE5110					·		
Code	MLE5110						

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	4	Of which: 3.2 cours	2	3.3	1 lab +
				seminar/laboratory	1 project
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	48	Of which: 3.5 cours	24	3.6	24
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					Hours
Learning using manual, course suppor	t, bił	oliography, course not	es		25
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					20
Tutorship					10
Evaluations					22
Other activities:					-
3.7 Total individual study hours 102					
3.8 Total hours per semester 150					

5.7 Total marvidual study nouis	102
3.8 Total hours per semester	150
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	6

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	•	OOP, Programming Fundamentals, Advanced Programming Methods
4.2. competencies	•	Good programming skills in at least one of the programming
		languages Java, C#

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	Course hall with projector
5.2. for the seminar /lab	• Laboratory: computers and use of a programming language
activities	environment

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	• • •	 C2.1 Identify adequate software systems development methodologies C1.2 Identify and explain specific test design techniques that correspond to a testing level. C1.3 Source code and goal oriented test elaboration in a well-known programming language. C4.3 Identify models and methods adequate to real life problem solving.
Transversal competencies	•	 CT1 Apply rules to organized and efficient work, responsibilities of didactical and scientific activities and creative capitalization of own potential, while respecting principles and rules for professional ethics. CT3 Use efficient methods and techniques for learning, knowledge gaining, and research and develop capabilities for capitalization of knowledge, accommodation to society requirements and communication in English.

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the	• Enhance the students understanding of testing and test design techniques.			
discipline	• Provide the students with an environment in which they can explore the			
	usage and userulness of software testing and test design concepts in various business scenarios.			
	• Induce a realistic and industry driven view of software testing concepts			
	and their inherent benefits.			
7.2 Specific objective of the	• Give students the ability to explore various test design techniques			
discipline	applied to different levels of testing.			
	• Improve the students' abilities to tackle on goal driven testing.			
	• Enhance the students understanding of test design techniques value in business.			
	• Students will be able to use various tools for the testing process (i.e., test management, test running, test reporting and bug reporting).			
	• Students will be able to design test cases according to an established			
	testing goal and using specific test design technique in order to investigate the software.			

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
 Software Testing. Test Design Techniques Software Testing. Goals. Scope Test Design Technique. Attributes Taxonomy of Test Design Techniques 	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration 	
 2. Coverage-based Techniques I 2.1. Focus. Objectives 2.2. Tours. Logical Expressions 	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration 	
 3. Coverage-based Techniques II 3.1. Specification-based Testing; 3.2. Requirements-based Testing; 	 Interactive exposure Explanation. Conversation Didactical demonstration 	
4. Tester-based Techniques I 4.1. Focus. Objectives	Interactive exposureExplanation.	

4.2. User Testing. Alpha Testing. Beta Testing	Conversation			
	Didactical			
	demonstration			
5. Tester-based Techniques II	• Interactive exposure			
5.1. Bug Bashes. Paired Testing.	Explanation			
5.2. Coverage-based Techniques vs Tester-based	Conversation			
Techniques	Didactical			
-	demonstration			
6. Activity-based Techniques	• Interactive exposure			
6.1. Focus. Objectives	Explanation			
6.2. Guerilla Testing. All-pairs Testing	Conversation			
6.3. Use Cases Testing. Scenario Testing	 Didactical 			
6.4. Coverage-based Techniques vs Activity-based	demonstration			
Techniques	demonstration			
7. Evaluation-based Techniques	Interactive exposure			
7.1. Focus. Objectives	• Explanation.			
7.2. Function Equivalence Testing. Self-verifying data	Conversation			
	Didactical			
	demonstration			
8. Desired result-based Techniques	Interactive exposure			
8.1. Focus. Objectives	• Explanation.			
8.2. Confirmation Testing. User Acceptance Testing	Conversation			
8.3. Desired-based Techniques vs Evaluation-based	Didactical			
Techniques	demonstration			
9. Risk-based Techniques	Interactive exposure			
9.1. Focus. Objectives	• Explanation.			
9.2. Quick-tests. History-based Testing. Usability Testing	Conversation			
9.3. HTSM. Failure modes	Didactical			
	demonstration			
10. Test Design Techniques Analysis	• Interactive exposure			
10.1.Tester-based Techniques vs Activity-based Techniques	• Explanation.			
10.2.Risk-based Techniques vs Coverage-based Techniques	Conversation			
10.3.Desired result-based Techniques vs Risk-based	Didactical			
Techniques	demonstration			
11. Essay Presentations	• Interactive exposure			
	Conversation			
12. Essay Presentations	Interactive exposure			
	Conversation			
Bibliography				
[Pres10] R. S. Pressman, Software engineering: a practinioner's approach, seventh edition, Higher				

Education, 2010.

[Crs09] L. Crispin, J. Grecory, Agile testing: a practical guide for testers and agile teams, Addison-Wesley, 2009.

[You08] M. Pezzand, M. Young, Software Testing and Analysis: Process, Principles and Techniques, John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

[Nai08] K. Naik, P. Tripathy, Software testing and quality assurance. Theory and Practice, A John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008.

[Kat08] J. P. Katoen, Principles of Model Checking, MIT Press, May 2008.

[Pat05] R. Patton, Software Testing, Sams Publishing, 2005.

[Mye04] Glenford J. Myers, The Art of Software Testing, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2004.

[Brn02] I. Burnstein, Practical Software Testing, Springer, 2002.

[Kaner99] C. Kaner, J. Falk, H.Q. Nguyen, Testing Computer Software, Wiley, 1999.

[Perry97] W.E.Perry, R.W. Rice, Surviving the Top Ten Challenges of Software Testing – A People

Oriented Approach, Dorset House Publishing, 1997.

[Kaner02] C. Kaner, J. Bach, B. Pettichord, Lesson Learned in Software Testing, Wiley, 2002.
[Page08] A. Page, K. Johnston, B. Rollison, Microsoft, How We Test Software at Microsoft, 2008.
[Whitt2012] J. Whittaker, J. Arbon J. Carollo, How Google Tests Software, Google, Pearson Education, 2012.

8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Laboratory 1	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations,	
Testing Project Setup	methods – individual study, exercises	
2. Laboratory 2 Test Automation Tools	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
3. Laboratory 3 Coverage-based Techniques OR Tester-based Techniques	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
4. Laboratory 4 Risk-based Techniques	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
5. Laboratory 5 Activity-based Techniques OR Desired result-based Techniques	Presentation, Conversation, Problematizations, Discovery, Other methods – individual study, exercises	
6. Laboratory 6 Project turn-in	Evaluation	
References: See references from Lectures.		

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- Students will know how to apply test design techniques for a software product, in a similar way they are used in industry.
- Students will be able to understand the differences between the goals and scope of the various test techniques applied to a software system.

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)				
10.4 Course	Design and develop a testing solution (project) for a software product with focus on test design techniques. The corresponding grade is denoted by P.	Oral Examination	70%				
10.5 Seminar/laboratory activities	Each lab activity will be graded. The arithmetic average of the grades is denoted by L .	Laboratory Activity	30%				
Remark:							
• Laboratory assignments will be achieved in groups of 2-3 students.							
10.6 Minimum performance standards							
• Students will be able to apply test design techniques according to established goals for a software							
system.							

- Students will be able to unstandand the differences between software testing goal, scope, and test design technique concepts.
- The final grade (M) is computed as follows: M = 30% L + 70% P.
- At least $M \ge 5.00$ is favourable to pass this course exam.

Date	Signature of course coordinator	Signature of seminar coordinator
30.04.2019	Lect. PhD. Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu,	Lect. PhD. Camelia Chisăliță-Crețu,

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

Prof. PhD. Anca Andreica