Syllabus

1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babes-Bolyai University	
institution		
1.2 Faculty	Mathematics and Computer Science	
1.3 Department	Computer Science	
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science	
1.5 Study cycle	Master	
1.6 Study programme /	Software engineering	
Qualification		

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline Design of interactive software systems							
2.2 Course coordinator Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana-Mihaela Guran							
2.3 Seminar coordinator				Ph. D. Lecturer Adriai	na-Mi	haela Guran	
2.4. Year of 1 2.5 2			2	2.6. Type of	E	2.7 Type of	Compulsory
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline	

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per wo	eek	4	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	2		
_					seminar/laboratory			
3.4 Total hours i	n the curriculum	56	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6	28		
					seminar/laboratory			
Time allotment:						hours		
Learning using r	nanual, course suppor	t, bib	oliography, course notes	3		40		
Additional docu	mentation (in libraries	, on	electronic platforms, fie	eld doo	cumentation)	45		
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays								
Tutorship								
Evaluations								
Other activities:								
3.7 Total	3.7 Total 119							
individual								
study hours	study hours							
3.8 Total hours	3.8 Total hours 175							
per semester								
3.9 Number of	7							
ECTS credits	ECTS credits							

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

1 \	,
4.1. curriculum	•
4.2. competencies	•

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	 A room with Internet access and presentation devices 		
5.2. for the seminar /lab	· A room with computers and Internet access		
activities			

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional	
competencies	Proficient use of verification, validation, and evaluation criteria and methods to his/her own

	software solutions, ability to formulate value judgements and to justify/explain constructive decisions Use advanced skills to develop and conduct complex software projects, of practical and/or research nature, using a wide range of quantitative and qualitative methods Advanced communication skills within different professional environments, appropriate use of computer science vocabulary, good English knowledge Demonstrate advanced modeling skills for economic, industrial, scientific phenomena and processes, by using fundamental mathematical, statistical, and computer science knowledge
Transversal competencies	 Assimilation of mathematical concepts and formal models to understand, verify and validate software systems; Organization of software production processses Team work capabilities; able to fulfill different roles Professional communication skills; concise and precise description, both oral and written, of professional results, Antepreneurial skills;

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)					
7.1 General objective of the	· To understand and approach problems of modeling nature from other				
discipline	sciences				
	· To design/develop usable systems				
	· To be able to evaluate the usability of a system and to be able to find				
	improvement methods regarding the usability of a system				
	· To be aware of accessibility issues in systems design				
	To be able to develop multiplatform applications				
7.2 Specific objective of the	At the end of the semester students must be able to:				
discipline	· understand the human capacities in interaction with software				
	systems				
	· achieve knowledge of psychological aspects of human-				
	computer interaction				
	· understand the importance of real users in the development of				
	interactive systems				
	indentify the best communication methods with the clients				
	· use knowledge and models form sociological sciences in order				
	to improve the communications with clients				
	· be able to apply user centered design				
	· achieve usability related notions				
	be able to apply automatic usability evaluation methods				
	· design and develop groupware systems				
	· use interface abstract description languages				
	· develop plastic user interfaces				

8. Content

8.2	1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1.	Interaction Design	Presentation,	
	· What is ID?	discussions, case	
	· HCI and ID	studies, problem	
	· UCD and ID	solving	
	· Professions in ID		
2.	Basics of Human-Computer Interaction	Presentation,	

	11 (1 1	1 p
	Human factor in HCl	discussions, case
	· Input/output channels	studies, problem
	· Capacities, limitations	solving
	Computer	
	Output devices	
	Virtual reality and 3D devices	
	Non-conventional interaction devices	
	· Usability	
	· Errors	
3.	Interaction Design Process	Idem
	 Interaction design lifecycle models 	
	 Needs and requirements 	
	· Interaction models	
	· Interaction style	
4.	Task Analysis	Idem
	· Fundamentals of task analysis	
	· Task analysis methods: HTA, GTA	
	· Task analysis tools:EUTERPE, CTTE	
	· The Bridge Method	
5.	Interdisciplinary approaches in Usability Engineering	Idem
	– a focus on user needs analysis	
	Qualitative vs quantitative approaches	
	A framework for early usability integration in the	
	development of interactive softweare systems	
6.	Conceptual Models in Interaction Design	Idem
	· Conceptual models	
	· Metaphors	
	· Interaction modes	
7.	Prototyping vs. Pretotyping	Idem
	· prototyping	
	· pretotyping	
	· case studies	
8.	Graphic elements in user interfaces	Idem
	· Basic graphic elements in UIs	
	· Criteria and recommendations for graphic	
	elements	
	· Focus, flow and layout in UI design	
0	Heahility anginggring & Hear experience	Idem
9.	Usability engineering & User experience	Ideni
	What is usability? Designing for usability.	
	Designing for usability Usability angineering lifecycle	
	Usability engineering lifecycleUsability metrics	
	Measuring user experience	
	Measuring user experience	
10.	Web Usability& Mobile Usability	Idem
	· Designing for web	
	· Designing for mobile	
11.	Designing for accessibility	Idem
	· Disabilities	
	· Accessibility	
	· Accessibility APIs	

Accessibility evaluation .	
 12. Designing Gestural Interfaces Gesture definition Gesture in everyday life Designing interactive gestures Interface conventions 	Idem
 13. Designing Social Interfaces History of computer mediated interaction Social network vs. social media 	Idem
14. User Interface Testing	Idem

Bibliography:

- 1. Alan Dix, Janet Finlay, Gregory D Abowd, Russell Beale Human-Computer Interaction, Prentice Hall, third edition, 2004
- 2. Donald A. Norman Emotional Design Why we love (or hate) everiday things, 2004
- 3. Martijn van Welie Task-based User Interface Design, 2001
- 4. Donald A Norman The design of everyday things, basic Books, 1988
- 5. Fabio Paterno Model-based design and evaluation of interactive applications, Springer, 1999
- 6. Jennifer Tidwell Designing Interfaces: Patterns for Effective Interaction Design, O@Reilly, 2005
- 7. Jacob Nielsen Usability Engineering, Academic Press, 1993
- 8. Marc Hassenzahl- Experience Design: Technology For All The Right Reason, Morgan & Claypool, 2010
- 9. Alberto Savoya Pretotyping IT, 2011
- 10.Tom Tullis, William Albert Measuring the User Experience: Collecting, Analyzing, and Presenting Usability Metrics. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 2008

8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
Students will have to choose a project subject refering a	Discussion, Problem	
medium size application that will be developed using a	solving, case studies	
user centered approach (week 4). The project willl be		
developed in teams of 3-5 members		
Project design and development :	Idem	
 Users identification and Justification of the need for the proposed product (Week 2-3) Functionalities identification (Week 4-5) Building the conceptual model & Creating protoypes (Week 6-7) Evaluating prototypes (Week 8-9) Applying the required changes to the prototype (Week 10-11) Usability testing (Week 12-13) Applying changes to the developed product in order to be used by people with disabilities/designing an non-conventional interaction method to the product (Week 14) 		

professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- · The curricula of this course aligns to the guidelines of ACM and IEEE
- The software organisations recognize the importance of the concepts discussed during this course for the development of usable and user-friendly products

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)	
10.4 Course	Technical report	Grading for the technical report will be done based on the following criteria: · State of the art in the approached subject · Identification of new problems/solutions to be studied · Quality of references · Oral presentation	10%	
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	Project – students will be graded based on the quality of their projects and based on the quality of their technical reports (the project will represent 90% of the final grade and the technical report will represent 10% of the final grade). Technical report presentation is optional.	Grading of the project will be done for every stage in project development, the final grade will be computed based on the following criteria: Use of appropriate methods to identify user needs (25%) Use of design principles (30%) Usability of the application (measured using a method from the literature) (30%) Accessibility (15%)	90%	
10.6 Minimum performance standards				

Date	Signature of course coordinator	Signature of seminar coordinator	
17.04.2018	Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana Guran	Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana Guran	
Date of approval	Signature of the head of department Ph. D. Prof. Anca Andreica		
•••••			

Students have to deliver a working software product that satisfies the client requirements.