1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babeş Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Department of Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science
1.5 Study cycle	Master
1.6 Study programme /	Software Engineering
Qualification	

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the disciplineSoftware Quality							
2.2 Course coordinator Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna							
2.3 Seminar coordinatorAssoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna							
2.4. Year of	1	2.5	2	2.6. Type ofE2.7 Type ofCompulsory			
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline	

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	4	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	1sem
				seminar/laboratory	+ 1 pr
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	56	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6	28
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes					20
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					20
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					30
Tutorship					11
Evaluations					10
Other activities:				-	
3.7 Total individual study hours		158			
3.8 Total hours per semester200					

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

3.9 Number of ECTS credits

4.1. curriculum	• None
4.2. competencies	Basic software development skills

8

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	• None
5.2. for the seminar /lab	• Computers
activities	

6. Specific competencies acquired

	te competencies ucqui cu
Professional competencies	 Proficient use of verification, validation, and evaluation criteria and methods to his/her own software solutions, ability to formulate value judgements and to justify/explain constructive decisions Use advanced skills to develop and conduct complex software projects, of practical and/or research nature, using a wide range of quantitative and qualitative methods Advanced communication skills within different professional environments, appropriate use of computer science vocabulary, good English knowledge Demonstrate advanced modeling skills for economic, industrial, scientific phenomena and processes, by using fundamental mathematical, statistical, and computer science knowledge
Transversal competencies	 Team work capabilities; able to fulfill different roles Professional communication skills; concise and precisedescription, both oral and written, of professional results, Antepreneurial skills;

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	 Know and understand fundamental concepts of software quality. To be able to apply basic methods for software analysis and software quality assurance.
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	 At the end of the course, students will acquire theoretical aspects regarding software quality, will be able to define a software quality assurance plan and will be able to apply quality assurance techniques.

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Introduction; characteristics, facts and statistics	Exposure, description,	
	explanation, debate	
	and dialogue,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
2. Testing, inspection, walkthrough	explanation, debate	
	and dialogue,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
3. Software quality assurance and SQ Models	Exposure, description,	
	explanation	
4. SQ factors – reliability	Exposure, description,	
	explanation	
5. SQ factors – integrity, security, safety	Exposure, description,	
	explanation	
6. SQ factors – efficiency, maintainability,	Exposure, description,	
flexibility	explanation	

7. SQ factors – portability, reusability,	Exposure, description,	
interoperability	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
8. SQ metrics and tools	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
9. SQ standards	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
10. SQ standards – cont.	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
11. CMMI	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
12. SQ assurance vs. SQ control	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
13. SQ and software development phases	Exposure, description,	
	explanation,	
	discussion of case	
	studies	
14. Reserved topic		Usualy dedicated to an
-		invited guest from a
		software company

- 1. D. Galin Software quality assurance From theory to implementation, Addison Wesley, 2003
- 2. S.H. Kan-Metrics and models in Software Quality Engineering. Addison Wesley, 2nd ed., 2003
- 3. R.A. Khan, K. Mustafe, S.I. Ahson Software Quality: Concepts and Practice, Alpha Science, 2006
- 4. G. Schulmeyer Handbook of Software Quality Assurance , Artech House, 2007
- 5. D. Spinellis. Code Quality: The Open Source Perspective. Addison Wesley, 2006

6. S. McConnell – Code Complete, 2nd Edition, Microsoft Press, 2004

8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks		
1. Apply and evaluate a Code review tool	Conversation, debate,	Seminar is organized as a		
	case studies	total of 7 hours -2 hours		
		every second week		
2. Apply and evaluate a Metrics tool	Conversation, debate,			
	case studies, examples			
3. Establish theme project	Conversation, debate,			
	case studies			
4. Establish SQ moel	Evaluation			
5. Establish SQ factors (external) to be followed	Conversation, debate,			
and associated metrics	case studies			
6. Discuss results and refine metrics	Conversation, debate,			
	case studies, examples			
7. Project presentation	Evaluation			
Bibliography				
Students will search and use SQ tools suitable for their project				

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- The course respects the IEEE and ACM Curricula Recommendations for Software Engineering • studies;
- The course exists at the major universities in Romania offering similar study programs; •
- The content of the course is considered by the software companies as important for average software • development skills and quality assurance skills

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)
10.4 Course	- know the basic principle of	Written exam	50%
	the domain;		
	- apply the course concepts		
	- problem solving		
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	- be able to implement	-Practical examination	
	course concepts	-documentation	
	- use tools for different SQ	-portofolio	
	aspects	-continous observations	
	- evaluate quality factors for	Laboratory assignments	20%
	an application	Project	30%
10.6 Minimum performance	e standards		
At least grade 5 (from the second	om a scale of 1 to 10) at both w	ritten exam and laboratory w	vork

At least grade 5 (from a scale of 1 to 10) at both written exam and laboratory work.

Signature of course coordinator Date

Signature of seminar coordinator

Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA

Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

.....

.....

•