SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babeş-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Departament of Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science
1.5 Study cycle	Master
1.6 Study programme /	Component Based Programming
Qualification	

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline Methodology of Scientific Research in Computer Science								
2.2 Course coordinator Prof.Dr. Militon Frențiu								
2.3 Seminar coordinator Prof.Dr. Militon Frențiu								
2.4. Year of	2	2.5	3	32.6. Type ofC2.7 Type ofCompulsory				
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline		

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	3	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	1 sem
				seminar/laboratory	
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	42	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6	14
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes					35
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					45
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					28
Tutorship					15
Evaluations					16
Other activities:				-	
3.7 Total individual study hours129					

5.7 Total marviaual study nours	12)
3.8 Total hours per semester	171
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	8

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	
4.2. competencies	

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	• Students will attend the course with their mobile phones shut down
5.2. for the seminar /lab	• Students will attend the seminar with their mobile phones shut down
activities	• Room with computers as needed;

6. Specific competencies acquired

al	• Understanding the concepts, methods and models used in research activities.
ssional tencies	• Understanding the principles, design and implementation of various research methods
Professional competencies	• Learning to conduct incipient original research in computer science
ΤŬ	
	• The ability to review a scientific paper.
Transversal competencies	• Application of efficient and rigorous working rules.
Transversal competencie	• Manifest responsible attitudes toward the scientific research.
Tra	• Respecting the professional and ethical principles.

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	• To introduce the student in research methods
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	 To present the existing results in a given computer science field To write reports on a given subject To accustom the students the with doing research and writing a scientific paper

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
• Week 1: The fields of computer science.	• Interactive exposure	
ACM classification	• Explanation	
• Reference: [fre14, cap.1]	Conversation	
 Week 2: Theoretical, experimental, and 	• Interactive exposure	
applied research in computer science	• Explanation	
 Reference: [Fre14, sec.2.2, Hol06; Hus] 	Conversation	
	Didactical demonstration	
 Week 3: Organizing the research activity. 	• Interactive exposure	
 Reference: [Buc01; Kit05; Nie04] 	• Explanation	
	Conversation	
	Didactical demonstration	
Week 4: The content of a scientific paper	• Interactive exposure	
 Reference: [Fre14, sec.2.3; Ler96] 	• Explanation	
	 Conversation 	
	Didactical demonstration	
 Week 5: Writing a research paper. 	• Interactive exposure	
• Reference: [Fre14, sec.2.4; Kit05; scitext]	• Explanation	
	 Conversation 	
	Didactical demonstration	
 Week 6: Speaking at conferences and 	• Interactive exposure	
other presentations	• Explanation	
• Reference: [CSL; Fre14, sec.2.5; Rad; Sp00]	Conversation	
	Didactical demonstration	
Week 7: People and research article	• Interactive exposure	
evaluation.	• Explanation	
• Reference: [Fre14, sec.3.1; Hir05; Moe05]	Conversation	

	Didactical demonstration				
Week 8: Evaluation of Journals and	Interactive exposure				
publishers	• Explanation				
Reference: [Fre14, sec.3.2; ISI11]	Conversation				
	Didactical demonstration				
Week 9: Ranking Research centers, and	• Interactive exposure				
Universities.	• Explanation				
 Reference: [Fre14, sec.3.3; IPK07, QSmet; Wik01] 	Conversation				
•	Didactical demonstration				
Week 10: Research Ethics Defense (ACM) ConOC: Fro14 con 4.1;	Interactive exposure Europeration				
 Reference: [ACM; Con06; Fre14, sec.4.1; lege04; ***cluj] 	ExplanationConversation				
	 Didactical demonstration 				
Week 11: Financing the research activity.	Interactive exposure				
Grants	Explanation				
• Reference: [Fre14, sec.4.2;	Conversation				
. , ,	Didactical demonstration				
Week 12: Romanian school of computer	Interactive exposure				
science	• Explanation				
• Reference: [Fre14, sec.3.3 și anexe]	Conversation				
	Didactical demonstration				
Bibliography [ACM] ACM Council, Code of Ethics, http://www.acm.org/about/code-of-ethics					
[Buc01] B. Buchberger, Thinking, Speaking, Writing, Springer-Verlag					
[Con06] L. Consoli, Scientific misconduct and science ethics: a case study based approach, Science and					
Engineering Ethics, 12 (2006), 533-541.					
[CSL] Psych 290: How to give a talk, <u>www-psych.stanford.edu/~lera/290/lecture5.html</u>					
[Day75] Day, How to write a scientific paper, IEEE Trans. On Professional Communication, ASM News,					
	Thans. On Professional communication, Asia news,				
vol.41 (1975), no.7, 486-494.					
[Fre14] M.Frențiu, I.A.Rus, Metodologia Cercetării î	[Fre14] M.Frențiu, I.A.Rus, Metodologia Cercetării în Informatică, Ed.Presa Universitară Clujană, 2014				
[Hir05] Hirsh, An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output,					
http://www.pnas.org/content/102/46/16569.abstract					
[Hol06] H.J.Holz et al, Research Methods in Computing: What are they, and how should we teach them?,					
ITiCSE'06, June 26-28, 2006, Bologna, Italy.					
[Hus] U.Hustadt, Research Methods in CS, Dept. of CS, Univ. of Liverpool					
[IPK07] J.P. Ioanniis, et all, International ranking systems for universities and institutions: a critical					
appraisal, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2174504					
[ISI11] ***, The Thomson Reuters Impact Factor, (s	een 15.01.2014)				
http://thomsonreuters.com/products_services/science/free/essays/impact_factor/					

[Kit05] R.Kitchin,& D. Fuller, The Academic' Guide to Publishing, SAGE Publications, London, 2005.

[lege04] www.lege5.ro/.../legea-nr-206-2004-privind-buna-conduita-in-cercetarea-stiintifica

[Ler96] K.Lertzman, Twenty one suggestions for Writing Good Science Paper, Bulletin of Ecological Society of America, 1996, <u>http://course1.winona.edu/mdelong/ecolab/21%20Suggestions.html</u>

[Moe05] H.F. Moed, Citation Analysis in Research Evaluation, Springer, 2005.

[Nie04] M.A.Nielsen, Principles of Effective Research,

http://wishashis	etice verse vels (
http://michaelnielsen.org/blog/principles-of-effe				
[QSmet] http://www.topuniversities.com/university-ranking-articles/ /qs- world-university-ranking/qs-				
world-university-ranking- methodology/				
[Rad] J.Radel, Oral Presentations,				
http://people.eku.edu/ritchisong/oralpres.htm	<u>1</u>			
[Scitext] ***, Principles of Science Writing, <u>www.scitex</u>	t/writing.php/			
[SP00] B. Spillman, I. Parberry, How to	Present a Paper:	A Speaker's Guide,		
http://www.sfu.ca/~jeffpell/Ling480/ParberryN	•			
[Wik01] http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/College and univ	versity ranking			
[***Cluj] http://www.ubb.ro/ro/regulamente/Codul E	tic al UBB.pdf			
[***ie3] IEEE Citation Reference				
8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks		
1. Administration. Survey of the sources of	• Interactive exposure			
information available on Internet and Intranet.	Explanation			
Chosing the paper topics and scheduling the	Conversation			
presentations.				
2. Delivery of a review of a scientific paper	• Interactive exposure			
	• Explanation			
	Conversation			
3. Delivery of scientist presentation	• Interactive exposure			
	• Explanation			
4. Delivery of a subject of an important research	Conversation			
subject	Interactive exposureExplanation			
Subject	 Explanation Conversation 			
5. Delivery of a scientific paper in the field of the	Interactive exposure			
student's dissertation	Explanation			
	Conversation			
6. Evaluation of student's reports	Interactive exposure			
······································	Explanation			
	Conversation			
Dipligraphy		1		

Bibliography

[Fre14] M.Frențiu, I.A.Rus, Metodologia Cercetării în Informatică, Ed.Presa Universitară Clujană, 2014

[Day75] Day, How to write a scientific paper, IEEE Trans. On Professional Communication, ASM News, vol.41 (1975), no.7, 486-494.

[Hol06] H.J.Holz et al, Research Methods in Computing: What are they, and how should we teach them?, ITiCSE'06, June 26-28, 2006, Bologna, Italy.

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

The content of the discipline is consistent with the similar disciplines from other romanian universities and universities from abroad, as well as with the requirements that potential employers would have in the intelligent data analysis field.

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)
10.4 Course	• The correctness and completeness of the accumulated knowledge.	Oral exam (in the regular session)	50%
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	• A review of a scientific paper	Evaluation of the review	10%
	• A presentation of a scientist in the field of student's research	Evaluation of the presentation	10%
	• A writen scientific paper in the field of student's dissertation	Evaluation of the research paper	30%
10.6 Minimum performance	ce standards	•	
1	ove that (s)he acquired an accu	eptable level of knowledge and	d understanding of the

- research methods and activities in computer science
 Each student has to prove that he knows the content of acientific paper and is able to write such a paper in the field of his dissertation
- Penalty points are awarded for delays in submission of proposed topic choices and submission of final reports.

Date	Signature of course coordinator	Signature of seminar coordinator
30.05.2016	Prof. dr. Militon Frențiu	Prof. dr. Militon Frențiu
Date of approval		Signature of the head of department
		Prof. dr. Anca Andreica