### **SYLLABUS**

# 1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babes-Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science
1.5 Study cycle	Master
1.6 Study programme /	Software engineering
Qualification	

### 2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline Design of interactive software systems							
2.2 Course coordinator Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana-Mihaela Guran							
2.3 Seminar coordinator				Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana-Mihaela Guran			
2.4. Year of	1	2.5	2	2.6. Type of	E	2.7 Type of	Compulsory
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline	

### **3. Total estimated time** (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	3	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	1
				seminar/laboratory	
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	42	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6	14
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes					
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					
Tutorship					
Evaluations					
Other activities:					
27 T + 1' 1' 1 1 + 1 1					

3.7 Total individual study hours	133
3.8 Total hours per semester	175
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	7

# **4. Prerequisites** (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	•
4.2. competencies	•

### **5. Conditions** (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	A room with Internet access and presentation devices
5.2. for the seminar /lab	A room with computers and Internet access
activities	

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional	competencies	C2.1 Identification of appropriate software development approaches C2.3 Use of methodologies, specification mechanisms and development environments for the development of software applications C2.4 Use of criteria and methodologies to evaluate software systems C2.5 Development of dedicvated software systems
Transversal	competencies	CT3 Use of efficient learning methods techniques, research capabilities and development of the capacity to disseminate research results; the capacity to use romanian and an international language to communicate scientific results

### **7. Objectives of the discipline** (outcome of the acquired competencies)

discipline	<ul> <li>To understand and approach problems of modeling nature from other sciences</li> <li>To design/develop usable systems</li> <li>To be able to evaluate the usability of a system and to be able to find improvement methods regarding the usability of a system</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>To be aware of accessibility issues in systems design</li> <li>To be able to develop multiplatform applications</li> </ul>			
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	At the end of the semester students must be able to:			
	<ul> <li>understand the human capacities in interaction with software systems</li> </ul>			
	achieve knowledge of psychological aspects of human- computer interaction			
	<ul> <li>understand the importance of real users in the development of interactive systems</li> </ul>			
	• indentify the best communication methods with the clients			
	use knowledge and models form sociological sciences in order to improve the communications with clients			
	be able to apply user centered design			
	achieve usability related notions			
	be able to apply automatic usability evaluation methods			
	design and develop groupware systems			

apply interaction design patterns
use interface abstract description languages
develop plastic user interfaces
develop adaptive and adaptative user interfaces

### 8. Content

8.1 Course Teaching methods Remarks					
1. Interaction Design	reaching memous	Kemarks			
<ul> <li>What is ID?</li> <li>HCI and ID</li> <li>UCD and ID</li> <li>Professions in ID</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Basics of Human-Computer Interaction</li> <li>Human factor in HCI</li> <li>Input/output channels</li> <li>Capacities, limitations</li> <li>Computer</li> <li>Output devices</li> <li>Virtual reality and 3D devices</li> <li>Non-conventional interaction devices</li> <li>Usability</li> <li>Errors</li> </ul>	Presentation, discussions, case studies, problem solving				
<ul> <li>Interaction Design Process</li> <li>Interaction design lifecycle models</li> <li>Needs and requirements</li> <li>Interaction models</li> <li>Interaction style</li> </ul>	idem				
<ul> <li>4. Task Analysis</li> <li>Fundamentals of task analysis</li> <li>Task analysis methods: HTA, GTA</li> <li>Task analysis tools:EUTERPE, CTTE</li> <li>The Bridge Method</li> </ul>	idem				
<ul> <li>Interdisciplinary approaches in Usability Engineering         <ul> <li>a focus on user needs analysis</li> </ul> </li> <li>Qualitative vs quantitative approaches</li> <li>A framework for early usability integration in the development of interactive softweare systems</li> </ul>	idem				
<ul> <li>Conceptual Models in Interaction Design</li> <li>Conceptual models</li> <li>Metaphors</li> <li>Interaction modes</li> </ul>	idem				

		Γ.,	
7. Pr	ototyping vs. Pretotyping	idem	
	prototyping		
	pretotyping		
•	case studies		
	case statiles		
8. Gr	aphic elements in user interfaces	idem	
•	Basic graphic elements in UIs		
•	Criteria and recommendations for graphic		
	elements		
•	Focus, flow and layout in UI design		
9. Us	sability engineering & User experience	idem	
•	What is usability?		
•	Designing for usability		
•	Usability engineering lifecycle		
•	Usability metrics		
•	Measuring user experience		
10. De	esigning for accessibility	idem	
•	Disabilities		
•	Accessibility		
•	Accessibility APIs		
•	Accessibility evaluation		
11. GF	ROUPWARE design	idem	
	000144 1 0		
•	CSCW and Groupware DUTCH method		
•	DOTCH Method		
12. De	esigning Gestural Interfaces	idem	
•	Gesture definition		
•	Gesture in everyday life		
•	Designing interactive gestures		
12 D	Interface conventions	idem	
13. DE	esigning Social Interfaces	Ideili	
•	History of computer mediated interaction		
•	Social network vs. social media		
14. Us	ser Interface Testing	idem	
	GUI Testing		
	Model-based testing		
	Web applications testing		
Diblia	granhu		
PIDIIO	graphy:		

1. Alan Dix, Janet Finlay, Gregory D Abowd, Russell Beale - Human-Computer Interaction,

Prentice Hall, third edition, 2004						
2. Donald A. Norman - Emotional Design - Why we love (or h	2. Donald A. Norman - Emotional Design - Why we love (or hate) everiday things, 2004					
3. Martijn van Welie - Task-based User Interface Design, 2001						
4. Donald A Norman - The design of everyday things, basic Bo	ooks, 1988					
5. Fabio Paterno - Model-based design and evaluation of inte	eractive applications, Spri	nger, 1999				
6. Jennifer Tidwell - Designing Interfaces: Patterns for Effecti	ve Interaction Design, O@	Reilly, 2005				
7. Jacob Nielsen - Usability Engineering, Academic Press, 199	3					
8. Marc Hassenzahl- Experience Design: Technology For All 1	The Right Reason, Morgar	& Claypool,2010				
9. Alberto Savoya – Pretotyping IT, 2011						
10.Tom Tullis, William Albert – Measuring the User Experience	ce: Collecting, Analyzing,	and Presenting Usability				
Metrics. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA	A, USA, 2008					
8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks				
Students will have to choose a project subject refering a	Students will have to choose a project subject refering a					
medium size application that will be developed using a						
user centered approach (week 4). The project willI be						
developed in teams of 3-5 members						
Project design and development :						

# Project design and development: 1. Users identification 2. Justify the need for the proposed product 3. Functionalities identification (Week 4-Week 6) 4. Building the conceptual model 5. Creating prototypes 6. Evaluating prototypes (Week 8) 7. Applying the required changes to the prototype 8. Usability testing (Week 11) 9. Applying changes to the developed product in order to be used by people with disabilities/ designing an non-conventional interaction method to the product (Week 14)

# 9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

• Students will be able to design interaction based on a user centred approach and to evaluate the quality of their prototypes, enhancing the quality of the developed products

### 10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)	
10.4 Course				
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	Project – students will be graded based on the quality of their projects	Grading will be done for every stage in project development, the final grade will be computed based on the following criteria:  • Use of appropriate methods to identify user needs (25%)  • Use of design principles (30%)  • Usability of the application (measured using a method from the literature) (30%)  • Accessibility (15%)	100%	
10.6 Minimum performance standards				
Students have to deliver a working software product that satisfies the client requirements.				

Date	Signature of course coordinator	Signature of seminar coordinator
28.04.2014	Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana Guran	Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana Guran
Date of approval	Signature of the head of department	
	Ph. D. Prof. Bazil Pârv	