SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education	Babeş Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Department of Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor
1.6 Study programme /	Computer Science
Qualification	

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline									
2.2 Course coordinator Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa									
2.3 Seminar coordinator Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa									
2.4. Year of	3	2.5	2	2.6. Type of C 2.7 Type of Optional					
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline			

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	3	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	1
				seminar/laboratory	
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	36	Of which: 3.5 course	24	3.6	12
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes					
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					
Tutorship					
Evaluations					
Other activities:					-
3.7 Total individual study hours 77					
3.8 Total hours per semester 125					

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

3.9 Number of ECTS credits

4.1. curriculum	Advanced programming methods
4.2. competencies	Average skills in programming.

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	
5.2. for the seminar /lab	Laboratory with computers; high level programming language
activities	environment (C++, Java, .NET, python)

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	 C2.1 Identificarea de metodologii adecvate de dezvoltare a sistemelor software C2.3 Utilizarea metodologiilor, mecanismelor de specificare ?i a mediilor de dezvoltare pentru realizarea aplica?iilor informatice
	CT1 Aplicarea regulilor de munca organizata si eficienta, a unor atitudini responsabile fata de domeniul didactic-stiintific, pentru valorificarea creativa a propriului potential, cu respectarea principiilor si a normelor de etica profesionala
Transversal	 CT3 Utilizarea unor metode si tehnici eficiente de învatare, informare, cercetare si dezvoltare a capacitatilor de valorificare a cunostintelor, de adaptare la cerintele unei societati dinamice ?i de comunicare în limba româna ?i într-o limba de circula?ie interna?ionala

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	 General improvement of programming efficiency. Approach programming from a practical point of view.
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	 Improve programming efficiency by using a disciplined approach; Be aware of the time-consuming tasks while programming and the tools and methods to avoid them.

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Development speed, long-term versus short- term speed. Complexity as the main asymptotic slow-down factor. The role of a disciplined, systematic approach.	Interactive exposureExplanationConversationDidactical demonstration	
2. Programming discipline: Tracking changes and (automated) testing: goals, issues, best practices.	Interactive exposureExplanationConversationDidactical demonstration	
3. Programming discipline: <i>One Responsibility Rule</i> principle, <i>Don't Repeat Yourself</i> principle, Coupling and cohesion. Refactoring.	Interactive exposureExplanationConversationDidactical demonstration	
4. Programming discipline: code documentation. Pre/post conditions, border cases, well-chosen identifiers, tools.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration 	
5. Programming discipline: Undefined behaviour, implementation defined behaviour, premature optimization, good optimization.	Interactive exposureExplanationConversation	

	Didactical demonstration
6. Programming discipline: defensive programming. assert() on pre/post conditions and invariants. Input data validation. Fail fast principle.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration
7. Programming discipline: Input data validation, efficient diagnosing of errors, secure code.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration
8. Testing and debugging techniques: IDE debugger, assert(), core dumps, regression tests, logging and log filtering.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration
9. Patterns and techniques: Classes: value semantic vs. object semantic. Immutable classes.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration
10. Patterns and techniques: Constructors, destructors, resources and invariants. RAII.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration
11. Patterns and techniques: exceptions. Exception safety levels.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration
12. Patterns and techniques: multi-threading patterns.	 Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration

Bibliography

- 1. Michael Howard and David LeBlanc: Writing Secure Code, MicrosoftPress, 2003.
- 2. Herb Sutter, Andrei Alexandrescu: *C++ Coding Standards: 101 Rules, Guidelines, and Best Practices.* Addison-Wesley, 2010.
- 3. Martin Fowler and others: Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code. Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- 4. Robert C. Martin: Clean Code: A Handbook of Agile Software Craftsmanship. Prentice Hall.
- 5. Andrew Hunt, David Thomas: *The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master*. Addison-Wesley, 2000.
- 6. Marshall P. Cline, Greg Lomow, Mike Girou: C++ FAQs (2nd Edition). Addison-Wesley, 1999.

8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Introduction, administrative issues.	Dialogue, debate, case	

	Code examples. Programming	study, guided discovery	
	discipline: Tracking changes and		
2	(automated) testing.	5.1	
2.	Programming discipline: One	Dialogue, debate, case	
	Responsibility Rule principle, Don't	study, guided discovery	
	Repeat Yourself principle, Coupling		
	and cohesion. Refactoring. Code		
	documentation. Pre/post conditions,		
	border cases, well-chosen identifiers,		
	tools.		
3.	Programming discipline: Undefined	Dialogue, debate, case	
	behaviour, implementation defined	study, guided discovery	
	behaviour, premature optimization,		
	good optimization. Defensive		
	programming. assert() on pre/post		
	conditions and invariants. Input data		
	validation. Fail fast principle.		
4.	Programming discipline: Input data	Dialogue, debate, case	
	validation, efficient diagnosing of	study, guided discovery	
	errors, secure code. Testing and	3 · C	
	debugging techniques: IDE debugger,		
	assert(), core dumps, regression tests,		
	logging and log filtering.		
5.	Patterns and techniques: Classes: value	Dialogue, debate, case	
	semantic vs. object semantic.	study, guided discovery	
	Immutable classes. Constructors,	J, G	
	destructors, resources and invariants.		
	RAII.		
6.	Patterns and techniques: exceptions.	Dialogue, debate, case	
	Exception safety levels. Multi-	study, guided discovery	
	threading patterns.		
	- *		

Bibliography

- 1. Michael Howard and David LeBlanc: Writing Secure Code, MicrosoftPress, 2003.
- 2. Herb Sutter, Andrei Alexandrescu: *C++ Coding Standards: 101 Rules, Guidelines, and Best Practices.* Addison-Wesley, 2010.
- 3. Martin Fowler and others: *Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code.* Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- 4. Robert C. Martin: Clean Code: A Handbook of Agile Software Craftsmanship. Prentice Hall.
- 5. Andrew Hunt, David Thomas: *The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master.* Addison-Wesley, 2000.
- 6. Marshall P. Cline, Greg Lomow, Mike Girou: *C++ FAQs* (2nd Edition). Addison-Wesley, 1999.

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

The content of the course comes from practical field experience.

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the
			grade (%)
10.4 Course	-	-	-

10.5 Seminar/lab	- know the basic principles	Verifying the practical	50%
activities	discussed at the course and	works.	
	know to apply them;		
	- recognize the weak spots		
	in a program;		
	- find good ways to avoid		
	the weak spots		
	- be able to show the	Verifying the project	50%
	understanding of the		
	principles in a mini-		
	project.		
10.6 Minimum performance standards			
At least grade 5 (from a scale of 1 to 10) for the average.			

Date Signature of course coordinator Signature of seminar coordinator

Date of approval Signature of the head of department