SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme

| 8 8 1 | |
|-----------------------|---|
| 1.1 Higher education | Babes-Bolyai University |
| institution | |
| 1.2 Faculty | Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science |
| 1.3 Department | Department of Computer Science |
| 1.4 Field of study | Computer Science |
| 1.5 Study cycle | Bachelor |
| 1.6 Study programme / | Computer Science |
| Qualification | |

2. Information regarding the discipline

| 2.1 Name of the discipline Software Component Models | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|---|-----------------------------|---|-------------|----------|
| 2.2 Course coordinator PhD Lecturer Andreea Vescan | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 Seminar coordinator | | | | PhD Lecturer Andreea Vescan | | | |
| 2.4. Year of | 3 | 2.5 | 5 | 2.6. Type of | С | 2.7 Type of | optional |
| study | | Semester | | evaluation | | discipline | |

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

| 3.1 Hours per week | 3 | Of which: 3.2 course | 2 | 3.3 | 1 |
|---|----|----------------------|----|--------------------|-------|
| | | | | seminar/laboratory | |
| 3.4 Total hours in the curriculum | 42 | Of which: 3.5 course | 28 | 3.6 | 14 |
| | | | | seminar/laboratory | |
| Time allotment: | | | | | hours |
| Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes | | | | | |
| Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation) | | | | | |
| Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays | | | | | |
| Tutorship | | | | | 3 |
| Evaluations | | | | | 5 |
| Other activities: | | | | | 0 |
| 3.7 Total individual study hours 108 | | | | | |

| 5.7 Total individual study nours | 108 |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| 3.8 Total hours per semester | 150 |
| 3.9 Number of ECTS credits | 6 |

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

| 4.1. curriculum | Advanced Programming Methods | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | Object-Oriented Programming | | |
| 4.2. competencies | • Average programming skills in various high level programming Languages (.NET, Java environment and others) | | |

5. Conditions (if necessary)

| 5.1. for the course | Video projector, Classroom with network and Internet access and to | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | laboratory | | |

| | equipment. |
|---------------------------|--|
| 5.2. for the seminar /lab | Laboratory with computers; high level programming language |
| activities | environment (.NET and Java and others), |

6. Specific competencies acquired

| rofessional mpetencies | 2 | Identification of proper methodologies for software systems development; |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| | | • Identification and explication of proper software systems specification methods; |
| | | • Using methodologies and tools for development of informatics applications; |
| | | • Using proper criteria and methods for evaluation of software applications; |
| d 3 | | Realization of dedicated information projects. |
| | | • Application of efficient and rigorous working rules, manifest responsible attitudes toward the |
| al ries | | scientific and didactic fields, respecting the professional and ethical principles. |
| nsvers petenc | | • Use of efficient methods and techniques for learning, information, research and development |
| | of abilities for knowledge exploitation, for adapting to the needs of a dynamic society and for | |
| [ra] | | communication in Romanian as well as in a widely used foreign language |
| | | |

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

| 7.1 General objective of the discipline | know and understand fundamental concepts of Component-based Software Development; to develop skills in modeling component-based systems systems for various component models; |
|--|---|
| 7.2 Specific objective of the discipline | will acquire theoretical aspects regarding various component models; will know similarities and differences between component models. will know how to model a component-based system using a specific component model. |

8. Content

| 8.1 Course | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|--|----------------------------------|---------|
| Lecture 1. | • Interactive exposure | |
| 1. Basic concepts (components, | Explanation | |
| composition, component models) | Conversation | |
| | Didactical | |
| | demonstration | |
| Lecture 2. | • Interactive exposure | |
| 2. The CBD process (component life cycle, | Explanation | |
| system life cycle) | Conversation | |
| | Didactical | |
| | demonstration | |
| Lecture 3. | • Interactive exposure | |
| 3. The Semantics of Software Components | • Explanation | |
| 4. The Syntax of Software Components | Conversation | |
| | Didactical | |
| | demonstration | |
| Lecture 4 | • Interactive exposure | |
| 5. The Composition of Software Components | • Explanation | |
| 5.1. An Idealized Component Life Cycle | Conversation | |
| 5.2. Composition in the Design Phase | | |

| 5.3. Composition in the Deployment Phase | Didactical domonstration | |
|---|---|---|
| Lastura 5 6 | | |
| 6. Software Component Models 6.1. Category 1: Design without Repository This category includes all simple Acmelike ADLs, UML2.0, PECOS, and Fractal. 6.2. Category 2: Design with Deposit-Only Repository - This category includes EJB. | Interactive exposure Explanation Conversation Didactical demonstration | |
| COM, .NET, CCM, and Web Services. The representative example is EJB. 6.3. Category 3: Deployment without Repository - This category contains only JavaBeans. 6.4. Category 4: Design with Repository - This category includes Koala, SOFA, and KobrA. | | |
| Lecture / | Interactive exposure | |
| 7.1 Catagorias Pasad on ComponentSementies | • Explanation | |
| Based on semantics current component models can be | • Conversation | |
| grouped into three categories: 1) component models in | Didactical demonstration | |
| which components are classes, 2) models in which | demonstration | |
| components are objects, and 3) those in which | | |
| components are architectural units | | |
| 7.2. Categories Based on Component Syntax | | |
| Based on component syntax, current models fall into | | |
| defined by object-oriented programming languages 2) | | |
| those in which an IDL is used and in which | | |
| components can be defined in programming languages | | |
| with mappings from the IDL, and 3) those in which | | |
| components are defined by ADLs | | |
| 7.3. A Taxonomy Based on Composition | | |
| Lecture 8 | • Interactive exposure | |
| 8. Survey of current component models | • Explanation | |
| 8.1. categories based on composition | • Conversation | |
| mechanisms | Didactical demonstration | |
| Lecture 9 10 | Interactive exposure | |
| 9. Component models based on objects | Explanation | |
| 9.1. Objects as components | Conversation | |
| 9.2. Method call as a composition | Didactical | |
| mechanism | demonstration | |
| 9.3. Enterprise JavaBeans, JavaBeans | | |
| Lecture 11,12 | • Interactive exposure | |
| architectural units | • Explanation | |
| 10.1. Architectural units as components | Conversation Didactical | |
| 10.2 Port connection as a composition | demonstration | |
| mechanism | | |
| 10.3. Acme/ArchJava, UML 2.0 | | |
| Lecture 13,14 | • Interactive exposure | |
| components | • Explanation | |
| PP | • Conversation | 1 |

Bibliography

- K.-K. Lau, Z. Wang, Software Component Models, IEEE Trans. on Software Engineering, V 33, n. 10, pp. 709-724, 2007.
- [2] Szyperski, C.: Component Software. Beyond Object-Oriented Programming, Addison-Wesley (1st ed. 1998, 2nd ed. 2002).
- [3] Crnkovic, I., Larsson, M., *Building Reliable Component-Based Software Systems*, Artech House Publisher, ISBN 1-58053-327-2, 2002
- [4] Heineman, G.T, Councill, W.T., *Component-based software engineering: putting the pieces together,* Addison-Wesley, 2001.

Optional references Internet resources and conferences

| 8.2 Seminar / laboratory | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | The seminar is |
| | | structured as 2 hours |
| | | classes every second |
| | | week. |
| | | The attendance at |
| | | seminars is 75% |
| | | compulsory (5 of 7). |
| Theme 1 (lab 1-2, weeks 1-4) | Presentation, | |
| The first two labs are dedicated to surveying information | Conversation, | |
| sources available on Internet and Intranet. In the lab 2 | Problematizations, | |
| the student must communicate the project title. Live | Discovery, Individual | |
| demos are scheduled in the last week. | study, Exercises | |
| Theme 2 (lab 3-4, weeks 5-8) | Presentation, | |
| Project design –choose a component model | Conversation, | |
| | Problematizations, | |
| | Discovery, Individual | |
| | study, Exercises | |
| Theme 3 (lab 5-6, weeks 9-12) | Presentation, | |
| Project implementation and presentation. | Conversation, | |
| | Problematizations, | |
| | Discovery, Individual | |
| | study, Exercises | |
| | | |

Bibliography

• Students will search and use component model tools suitable for their Project Activity.

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- This course follows the IEEE and ACM Curriculla Recommendations for Computer Science studies;
- The course exists in the studying program of all major universities in Romania and abroad;

http://www.cs.manchester.ac.uk/study/undergraduate/courses/courseunitsyllabus/?courseunitcode=C OMP61521

http://www.idt.mdh.se/kurser/cd5490/

• Course content is considered very important by the software companies for improving advance component-based systems modeling skills.

10. Evaluation

| Type of activity | 10.1 Evaluation criteria | 10.2 Evaluation methods | 10.3 Share in the |
|------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|
| | | | grade (%) |
| 10.4 Course | • The correctness and completeness of the accumulated knowledge of component models. | Written exam | 50% |
| 10.5 Seminar/lab activities | Class attendance | 2 unmotivated absences are accepted, but each unmotivated absence other than those specified above are penalized. | 10% |
| | • Project specification | Evaluation of the project - specification documentation | 10% |
| | Project development | Evaluation of the project - used component model | 20% |
| | • Project presentation | Evaluation of the project - running the developed application | 10% |
| 10.6 Minimum performance standards | | | |
| | | | |

• Each student has to prove that:

• (s)he acquired an acceptable level of knowledge and understanding of the current component models;

 \circ (s)he has the ability to establish certain connections and to use the knowledge in solving different problems with various component models.

• Successful passing of the exam is conditioned by the final grade that has to be at least 5.

DateSignature of course coordinatorSignature of seminar coordinator30.04.2014Lect. PhD. Andreea VescanLect. PhD. Andreea VescanDate of approvalSignature of the head of department

Prof. PhD. Bazil Pârv