

SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education institution	Babeş Bolyai University
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
1.3 Department	Department of Computer Science
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor
1.6 Study programme / Qualification	Computer Science

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline		Formal Languages and Compiler Design					
2.2 Course coordinator		Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna					
2.3 Seminar coordinator		Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona Motogna					
2.4. Year of study	3	2.5 Semester	5	2.6. Type of evaluation	E	2.7 Type of discipline	Compulsory

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	5	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3 seminar/laboratory	1 sem+ 2 lab
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	70	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6 seminar/laboratory	42
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes					20
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					10
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					23
Tutorship					7
Evaluations					20
Other activities:					-
3.7 Total individual study hours	80				
3.8 Total hours per semester	150				
3.9 Number of ECTS credits	6				

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Data Structures and Algorithms
4.2. competencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Average programming skills in a high level programming language

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	<ul style="list-style-type: none">
5.2. for the seminar /lab activities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Laboratory with computers; high level programming language environment (.NET or any Java environment a.s.o.)

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Knowledge, understanding and use of basic concepts of theoretical Computer Science • Ability to work independently and/or in a team in order to solve problems in defined professional contexts. • Good programming skills in high-level languages
Transversal competencies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ability to apply compiler techniques to different real life problems • Ability to model phenomena using formal languages • Improved programming abilities: debugging and correcting compilers errors

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be able to understand compiler design and to implement compiler techniques • Improved programming skills
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acquire knowledge about back-end of a compiler • Understand and work with formal languages concepts: Chomsky hierarchy; regular grammars, finite automata and the equivalence between them; context-free grammars, push-down automata and their equivalence • Understand and work with compilers concepts: scanning, parsing

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. General Structure of a compiler. Compiler phases	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
2. Scanning (Lexical Analysis)	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
3. Introductory notions of formal languages. Grammars	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, debate, dialogue	
4. Finite Automata	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
5. Equivalence between finite automata and regular grammars	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, proofs	
6. Regular languages, regular expressions, equivalence with finite automata and regular grammars. Pumping lemma	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, proofs, debate, dialogue	
7. Context-free grammars, syntax tree	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
8. Push-down automata	Exposure: description, explanation, examples	

9. Parsing: general notions, classification	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
10. Recursive-descendant parser	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, debate	
11. LL(1) parser	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
12. LR(k) Parsing method. LR(0) parser	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
13. SLR, LR(1), LALR parser	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
14. Scanner generator (lex); Parser generators (yacc)	Exposure: description, examples, discussion of case studies, live demo	

Bibliography

1. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - Principles of computer design, Addison-Wesley, 1978.
2. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - The theory of parsing, translation and compiling, Prentice-Hall, Engl. Cliffs., N.J., 1972, 1973.
3. D. GRIES - Compiler construction for digital computers., John Wiley, New York, 1971.
4. MOTOGNA, S. – Metode de proiectare a compilatoarelor, Ed. Albastra, 2006
5. SIPSER, M., Introduction to the theory of computation, PWS Pub. Co., 1997.
6. CSÖRNYEI ZOLTÁN, Bevezetés a fordítóprogramok elméletébe, I, II., ELTE, Budapest, 1996
7. L.D. SERBANATI - Limbaje de programare si compilatoare, Ed. Academiei RSR, 1987.
8. CSÖRNYEI ZOLTÁN, Fordítási algoritmusok, Erdélyi Tankönyvtanács, Kolozsvár, 2000.
9. DEMETROVICS JÁNOS-DENEV, J.-PAVLOV, R., A számítástudomány matematikai alapjai, Nemzeti Tankönyvkiadó, Budapest, 1999

8.2 Seminar	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Specification of a programming language; BNF notation	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	The seminar is structured as 2 hours classes every second week
2. Grammars; language generated by a grammar; grammar corresponding to a language	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proofs	
3. Finite automata: language generated by a FA; FA corresponding to a language	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, proofs	
4. Transformations: regular expressions – regular grammars – finite automata	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples	
5. Context free grammars; descendent recursive parser	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples	
6. LL(1) parser	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples	
7. LR(k) parsers	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples	

Bibliography

1. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - Principles of computer design, Addison-Wesley, 1978.
2. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - The theory of parsing, translation and compiling, Prentice-Hall, Engl. Cliffs., N.J., 1972, 1973.
3. MOTOGNA, S. – Metode de proiectare a compilatoarelor, Ed. Albastra, 2006
5. G. MOLDOVAN, V. CIOBAN, M. LUPEA - Limbaje formale si automate. Culegere de probleme, Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, 1996., <http://math.ubbcluj.ro/~infodist/alf/INDEX.HTM>

8.3 Laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
----------------	------------------	---------

1. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner 1.1: Mini language specification (BNF notation)	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
2. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner 1.2: implement main functions in scanning	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
3. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner 1.3: Symbol Table organization	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
4. Task 1: Specify a mini-language and implement scanner 1.4: Main program, testing + delivery	Testing data discussion, evaluation	
5. Task 2: regular grammars + finite automata + transformations 2.1: Define data structures for RG and FA; implement transformations	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
6. Task 2: regular grammars + finite automata + transformations 2.2: Main program, testing + delivery	Testing data discussion, evaluation	
7. Task 3: context free grammars + equivalent transformations of cfg 3.1: extend task 2 for cfg; implement transformations	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
8. Task 3: context free grammars + equivalent transformations of cfg 3.2: Main program, testing + delivery	Testing data discussion, evaluation	
9. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.1: define data structures and architecture of application	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	One of descendant recursive, LL(1), LR(0), SLR
10. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.2: implement main functions in parsing	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	Task 4 is developed in teams of 2 students
11. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.3: main program and module integration	Explanation, dialogue, case studies	
12. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.4: testing on small formal grammars	Testing data discussion, evaluation	
13. Task 4: Parser implementations 4.5: testing on mini-language; delivery	Testing data discussion, evaluation	
14. Task 5: use tools for lexer and parser generator: lex, yacc – implementation + delivery	Explanation, dialogue, case studies; evaluation	
Bibliography 1. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - Principles of computer design, Addison-Wesley, 1978. 2. A.V. AHO, D.J. ULLMAN - The theory of parsing, translation and compiling, Prentice-Hall, Engl. Cliffs., N.J., 1972, 1973. 3. D. GRIES - Compiler construction for digital computers,, John Wiley, New York, 1971. 4. MOTOGNA, S. – Metode de proiectare a compilatoarelor, Ed. Albastra, 2006 5. L.D. SERBANATI - Limbaje de programare si compilatoare, Ed. Academiei RSR, 1987.		

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- The course respects the IEEE and ACM Curricula Recommendations for Computer Science studies;

- The course exists in the studying program of all major universities in Romania and abroad;
- The content of the course is considered the software companies as important for average programming skills

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)
10.4 Course	- know the basic principle of the domain; - apply the course concepts - problem solving	Written exam	75%
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	- be able to implement course concepts and algorithms - apply techniques for different classes of programming languages	-Practical examination -documentation -portofolio -continous observations	25%
10.6 Minimum performance standards			
➤ At least grade 5 (from a scale of 1 to 10) at both written exam and laboratory work.			

Date

Signature of course coordinator

Signature of seminar coordinator

.....

Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA

Assoc.Prof.PhD. Simona MOTOGNA

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

.....

.....