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REZUMAT. - Condiţii optimale pentru programarea neliniară multiobiectiv cu funcţii obiectiv pseudo-monotone şi simetric diferenţiabile. În această lucrare se prezintă condiţii de optim de tip Weber pentru o clasă de probleme de programare neliniară multiobiectiv cu funcţii pseudo-monotone şi simetric diferenţiabile, precum şi o condiţie de optim şi o teoremă de dualitate slabă pentru o problemă de max-min cu funcţii obiectiv simetric pseudo-convexe și restricţii simetric quasiconvexe.

1. Introduction. In this paper for a class of nonlinear multiobjective programming problems with symmetrically differentiable pseudo-monotonic objective functions we present optimality conditions of Weber type [24].

We establish also a sufficient optimality condition and a weak duality theorem for a max-min problem involving symmetric pseudo-convex objective functions and symmetric quasi-convex constraints. In this aim, we transpose some of the results of Weir and Mond [25] to this symmetric pseudo-convex max-min problem.

2. Symmetric (generalized) convex functions. In this section we will briefly summarize some basic definitions and properties of symmetrically differentiable functions. Beyond this, some results concerning the so called symmetric pseudo and quasi-concave (convex) functions are considered. These classes of functions are generally nonlinear nonconcave and nondifferentiable. For further details we refer Minch [12]. Various properties of the usual pseudo and quasi-concave (or pseudo and quasi-convex ) differentiable functions have been presented by Mangasarian [10], Martos [11], among others. Interesting results was
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obtained in the pseudo-monotonic case, from which we refer a Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition
method for quasimonotonic programming [15], linearization procedures for pseudomonotonic
programming [1], [2], [13], [16], optimality and duality properties [9], [19], [20], [22]. Some
applications of these classes of functions in the max-min programming are given in [17], [18].

Another extensions of the quasi-convex and pseudo-convex functions are given by R.
Pini and S. Schaible [25], and S. Komlosi [7], by using the generalized monotonicity. Also,
G. Giorgi, A. Guerraggio [5], G. Giorgi and E. Molho [7] and G. Giorgi and S. Mititelu [6],
present several observations on generalized invex functions and their relationships with other
classes of generalized convex functions including the quasi-convex and pseudo-convex
functions.

In [23], we considered symmetric invex functions and we extended some of the Giorgi
and Molho [7] results for this more general class of generalized convex functions.

First we recall that for a real function f of one real variable the symmetric derivative
of f at x is defined as:
\[ f^s(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(f(x+h) - f(x-h))}{2h}, \]
provided this limit exists (see, e.g. [12]).

This idea was extended by Minch [12] to functions of several variables.

**DEFINITION 2.1** (Minch [12]) Let x be an element in an open domain A in R^n and
let f:A \to R. If there exists a linear operator f^s(x) from R^n to R, called the symmetric
derivative of f at x, such that for sufficiently small h in R^n
\[ f(x+h)-f(x-h) = 2 f^s(x) h + u(x,h) \|h\|, \]
where u(x,h) is in R and u(x,h) \to 0 as \|h\| \to 0, then f is said to be symmetrically
differentiable at x. If f has a symmetric derivative at each point x in A, then f is
symmetrically differentiable on A.
The notions of symmetric gradient and symmetric derivative are analogous to those of ordinary gradient and directional derivative. For convenience we shall denote the symmetric gradient of a symmetrically differentiable function $f$ at $x$ by $f^*(x)$.

Minch [12] shown that $f$ is symmetrically differentiable at $x$ in $A$, then symmetric gradient is of the form:

$$f^*(x) = (D^1f(x; e^1), ..., D^nf(x; e^n)),$$

where $e^1, ..., e^n$ is the natural basis for $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $D^nf(x; h)$ denote the symmetric derivative of $f$ at $x$ (in $A$) in the direction $h$ (in $\mathbb{R}^n$), that is:

$$f(x + th) - f(x - th)$$

(2.1) \[ D^nf(x; h) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x + th) - f(x - th)}{2t} \]

Let $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: A \to \mathbb{R}$ be symmetrically differentiable functions at $x \in A$. From Definition 2.1, it follows easily, that:

i) $f + g$ is symmetrically differentiable at $x$ and

(2.2) \[ (f + g)^*(x) = f^*(x) + g^*(x) \]

ii) if $f$ and $g$ are continuous at $x$ and $g(x)$ is not equal with zero, then $f/g$ is symmetrically differentiable at $x$ and

\[ (f/g)^*(x) = \frac{f^*(x) g(x) - f(x) g^*(x)}{g^2(x)} \]

(2.3)

The following definition generalizes the pseudo-convexity concept.

**DEFINITION 2.2 (Minch [12])** Let $B$ be a subset of $A$ and $x'$ a point in $A$. The function $f$ is said to be symmetrically pseudo-convex or s-pseudo-convex at $x'$ (with respect to $B$) if $f$ is symmetrically differentiable at $x'$ and for all $x$ in $B$,

\[ f^*(x') (x - x') \geq 0 \text{ implies } f(x) \geq f(x') \]

The function $f$ is s-pseudo-convex on $A$ if it is s-pseudo-convex at each point of $A$. 111
The function \( f \) is s-pseudo-concave if \(-f\) is s-pseudo-convex.

Analogous to the ordinary notion of differentiable quasi-convexity it can be considered the notion of symmetrically quasi-convex function.

**DEFINITION 2.3** (Minch [12]) Let \( B \) be a subset of \( A \) and \( x' \) a point in \( A \). The function \( f \) is said to be symmetrically quasi-convex or s-quasi-convex at \( x' \) (with respect to \( B \)) if \( f \) is symmetrically differentiable at \( x' \) and for all \( x \) in \( B \),

\[
\frac{f(x) - f(x')}{x - x'} \leq 0.
\]

The function \( f \) is s-quasi-convex on \( A \) if it is s-quasi-convex at each point of \( A \). Also the function \( f \) is s-quasi-concave if \(-f\) is s-quasi-convex.

**Examples:**

1. The function \( f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(x) &= x, \text{ for } x < 1, \\
  f(x) &= 1, \text{ for } x \in [1,2], \\
  f(x) &= x-1, \text{ for } x > 2,
\end{align*}
\]

is a s-quasi-convex function but it is not s-pseudo-convex.

2. The function \( f_1: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_1(x) &= x, \text{ for } x < 1, \\
  f_1(x) &= 0.5(x+1), \text{ for } x \in [1,3], \\
  f_1(x) &= x-1, \text{ for } x > 3,
\end{align*}
\]

is both s-pseudo-convex and s-quasi-convex but it is not pseudo-convex.

3. The function \( f_2: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
  f_2(x) &= x, \text{ for } x < 1, \\
  f_2(x) &= 0, \text{ for } x = 1, \\
  f_2(x) &= 0.5(x+1), \text{ for } x \in (1,3],
\end{align*}
\]
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\[ f_2(x) = x - 1, \text{ for } x > 3, \]
is s-pseudo-convex but it is not s-quasiconvex.

Next, it will be assumed that s-pseudo-convexity (or s-quasi-convexity) at a point is with respect to the definition domain of the function unless otherwise stated.

DEFINITION 2.4 (Minch [12]) Let \( B \) be a subset of \( A \) and let \( x' \) be a point in \( A \). The function \( f \) is said to be s-pseudo-monotonic (s-quasi-monotonic) at \( x' \) (with respect to \( B \)) if is symmetrically differentiable at \( x' \) and both s-pseudo-convex and s-pseudo-concave (s-quasi-convex and s-quasi-concave).

Since, if \( f \) has an ordinary derivative at \( x \), then \( f \) has a symmetric derivative at \( x \) and they are equal, the following property holds.

PROPOSITION 2.1 (i) If \( f \) is pseudo-convex (pseudo-concave) then \( f \) is s-pseudo-convex (s-pseudo-concave).

(ii) If \( f \) is differentiable quasi-convex (quasi-concave) then \( f \) is s-quasi-convex (s-quasi-concave).

(iii) If \( f \) is pseudo-monotonic (differentiable quasi-monotonic) then \( f \) is s-pseudo-monotonic (s-quasi-monotonic).

It is easy to see that the converse assertions of those stated in Proposition 2.1 are not true.

Next we give some useful properties of the symmetrically quasi and pseudo-convex functions.

PROPOSITION 2.2 (Tigan [22]) Let \( f \) be a symmetrically differentiable and continuous function. If \( f \) is a s-quasi-convex function on a convex subset \( B \) of \( A \), then \( f \) is quasi-convex on \( B \).

PROPOSITION 2.3 If \( f \) is s-pseudo-convex and continuous on a convex subset \( B \) of
A, then $f$ is quasi-convex on $B$.

**PROOF.** Let $x', x''$ be two points in $B$ such that $f(x') \leq f(x'')$. Suppose there exists $x^*$ in the interval $(x', x'')$ such that $f(x^*) > f(x'')$. Then, since $f$ is continuous, there exists $x^0 = t'x' + (1-t')x''$, $0 < t' < 1$, such that

$$f(x^0) = \max \{ f(x) \mid x \in [x', x''] \}.$$

Therefore, by s-pseudo-convexity of $f$, because $f(x') < f(x^0)$ it follows that

$$(x' - x^0) f^*(x^0) < 0,$$

so, we have

$$1-t')(x'-x") f^*(x^0) < 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Also, the inequality $f(x'') < f(x^0)$ implies that

$$(x'' - x^0) f^*(x^0) = -t'(x'-x'') f^*(x^0) < 0. \quad (2.5)$$

But (2.4) contradicts (2.5). Therefore $f$ is quasi-convex on $B$.

**CONJECTURE 2.3.1** If $f$ is s-pseudo-convex and continuous on a convex subset $B$ of $A$, then $f$ is s-quasi-convex on $B$.

3. Multiobjective symmetric pseudo-monotonic programming. Let $f_k$ ($k \in \{1, 2, ..., p\}$) be arbitrary objective functions defined on the open subset $D$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $X$ be a nonempty subset of $D$. Then we consider the following multiobjective programming problem:

**VP.** Find

$$\max (f_1(x), ..., f_p(x)) \quad (3.1)$$

subject to $x \notin X$.

If $f_k$ ($k \notin I$) are s-pseudomonotonic objective functions then VP is said to be a symmetric pseudomonotonic multiobjective program. In (9.1), "$\max$" means that efficient
points are regarded as optimal solutions to VP.

**DEFINITION 3.1** A point $x^* \in X$ is said to be efficient solution for VP if and only if there does not exist another point $x' \in X$ such that:

- $f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*)$, for all $k \notin I$ and
- $f_{k'}(x') > f_{k'}(x^*)$ for at least one $k' \notin I$.

The set of all efficient solutions to VP is denoted by $E(X)$.

**DEFINITION 3.2** A point $x^* \in X$ is said to be weakly efficient solution for VP if and only if there does not exist another point $x' \in X$ such that:

- $f_k(x') > f_k(x^*)$, for all $k \notin I$.

Clearly, every efficient point for a multiobjective program VP is weakly efficient but not conversely.

As it is done e.g. by Bitran and Magnanti [3] (see, also [24]) we will relate the problem VP under the assumption of symmetric differentiability to a linear approximation at a point $x^0 \in X$ of that problem, namely

$$P(x^0). \text{ Find } V_{\text{max}} (f_1(x^0), \ldots, f_p(x^0)), \text{ subject to } x \notin X.$$ 

The following Theorem 3.1 gives a fully symmetric relation between VP and $P(x^0)$. A similar result has shown to be true by Weber [24], who, however, restricted to the differentiable pseudomonotonic case, and which generalized a result obtained by Tigan [21] for the linear fractional multiobjective programming.

**THEOREM 3.1** Let $f_k (k \notin I)$ be s-pseudomonotonic and continuous functions. A point $x^* \in X$ is efficient for the symmetric pseudomonotonic program VP if and only if $x^*$ is efficient for $P(x^*)$.

**PROOF.** First, let $x^* \notin X$ be efficient for VP. Then, there is no $x' \notin X$ such that:
f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \notin I \text{ and }
f_k(x') > f_k(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \notin I.
Let suppose there is \( x' \notin X \), such that

(3.2) \quad f_k^*(x') \geq f_k^*(x^*), \text{ for all } k \notin I \text{ and }
(3.3) \quad f_k^*(x') > f_k^*(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \notin I.

But since \( f_k^* (k \notin I) \) is s-pseudoconvex and hence it is s-quasi-convex, it results from

(3.2) \text{ and (3.3) that }

f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \notin I \text{ and }
f_k(x') > f_k(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \notin I.

But this contradicts the fact that \( x^* \) is an efficient solution for \( P(x^*) \).

Conversely, let \( x' \notin X \) be efficient for \( P(x^*) \). Then there is no \( x' \) in \( X \) such that

(3.4) \quad f_k^*(x') \geq f_k^*(x^*), \text{ for all } k \notin I \text{ and }
(3.5) \quad f_k^*(x') > f_k^*(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \notin I.

By s-pseudo-concavity of \( f_k^* (k \notin I) \), from (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that there is no \( x' \) in \( X \) such that

\[
f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \notin I \text{ and }
f_k(x') > f_k(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \notin I,
\]

i.e. \( x^* \) is efficient for \( VP \).

THEOREM 3.2 Let \( f_k (k \notin I) \) be s-pseudo-monotonic and continuous functions. A point \( x^* \notin X \) is weakly efficient for the symmetric pseudo-monotonic multiobjective program \( VP \) if and only if \( x^* \) is weakly efficient for \( P(x^*) \).

PROOF. The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.

4. Optimality conditions for symmetric pseudoconvex minimax problems. In this section, we consider the following minimax problem:
MP. Find

\[ \min \max \{ f_i(x), \ldots, f_r(x) \} \]

subject to

\[ g(x) \leq 0, \]

where \( f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and \( g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \) are symmetric differentiable functions (see, e.g. [11]).

The principal purpose of this section is to establish a sufficient optimality condition for problem MP involving symmetric pseudo-convex objective functions and symmetric quasiconvex constraints. We also define a dual problem to MP and establish a weak duality theorem. In this aim, we transpose some of the results of Weir and Mond [25] to the symmetric pseudo-convex maximin problem MP.

If the general minimax problem MP has a finite optimal value, then it may be expressed as following equivalent problem:

EP. Find

\[ \min q \]

subject to

\[ f(x) \leq q \mathbf{e}, \]

\[ g(x) \leq 0, \]

where

\[ f(x) = (f_1(x), \ldots, f_r(x))^t, \quad g(x) = (g_1(x), \ldots, g_m(x))^t, \]

\[ \mathbf{e} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{and} \quad q \in \mathbb{R}. \]

The main result of this section is:

THEOREM 4.1: Let \( f_i \ (i=1, 2, \ldots, r) \) be s-pseudoconvex and \( g \) s-quasiconvex. If exist \( x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n, q^* \in \mathbb{R}, v^* \in \mathbb{R}^r, u^* \in \mathbb{R}^m \), such that:
\( (4.1) \quad v^* f(x^*) + u^* g(x^*) = 0, \)
\( (4.2) \quad v^* \left( f(x^*) - q^* e \right) = 0, \)
\( (4.3) \quad u^* g(x^*) = 0, \)
\( (4.4) \quad v^* \geq 0, \quad v^* e = 1, \quad u^* \geq 0, \)

where \( f = (f_1, \ldots, f_r) \) and \( e = (1,1,\ldots,1) \in \mathbb{R}^r \), then \( x^* \) is an optimal solution for problem MP.

In this theorem \( \nabla f \) denotes the symmetric gradient of the function \( f \).

This theorem generalizes a similar result obtained by Weir and Mond [23] in the case of pseudo-convex objective functions and quasiconvex constraints.

**PROOF.** Suppose that \( (x^*, q^*) \) is not optimal solution for EP. Then there exists a feasible solution \( (x, q) \) for EP with \( q < q^* \). Thus

\( f_i(x) \leq q < q^*, \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,r \)

and hence

\[ v^*_i f_i(x) \leq v^*_i q^*, \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,r \]

with at least one strict inequality, since by (4.4), \( v^* \) is not the nul vector. Hence, by (4.2),

\[ v^*_i f_i(x) \leq v^*_i f_i(x^*), \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,r \]

with at least one strict inequality.

Since \( f_i \) is assumed s-pseudo-convex, then, for each \( i = 1,2,\ldots,r \) and \( v_i \geq 0 \), \( v_i f_i \) is s-pseudo-convex and

\[ (x-x^*)^t (v^*_i f_i(x^*)) \leq 0, \quad i = 1,2,\ldots,r \]

with at least one strict inequality.

Hence

\[ (x-x^*)^t (v^* f(x^*)) < 0. \]

Then it follows from (4.1) that

\( (4.5) \quad (x-x^*)^t (u^* g(x^*)) > 0. \)

From (4.3), since \( x \) is feasible for EP, it results
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\[ u^*_i g_i(x) - u^*_i g_i(x^*) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,...,m. \]

But symmetric quasiconvexity of \( g \) implies

\[ (x-x^*)' (u^*_i g_i(x^*)) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,...,m \]

and hence

\[ (x-x^*)' (u^* g^*(x^*)) \leq 0 \]

which contradicts (4.5).

Thus \((x^*, q^*)\) is optimal for EP and \( x^* \) is optimal for MP.

In relation to MP, which is equivalent to EP, we consider the following dual program:

**DMP.** Find

\[ \text{max } z \]

subject to

\[ v_i (f_i(y) - z) \geq 0, \quad i=1,2,...,r \]  
(4.6)

\[ v' f(y) + u' g(y) = 0 \]  
(4.7)

\[ u' g(y) \geq 0 \]  
(4.8)

\[ v \geq 0, \quad v' e = 1, \quad u \geq 0, \quad z \notin \mathbb{R}. \]  
(4.10)

**THEOREM 4.2 (Weak Duality)** Let \((q,x)\) be a feasible solution for EP and let \((y,v,u,z)\) be a feasible solution for DMP. If \( f \) is s-pseudo-convex and, for all feasible \((q,x,y,v,u,z)\) the function \( u'g \) is s-quasiconvex then \( q \geq z \).

**PROOF.** Suppose \( q < z \). Then

\[ f_i(x) < v, \quad i=1,2,...,r \]

and, therefore

\[ v_i (f_i(x) - z) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,...,r \]

with at least one strict inequality, since by (4.10), \( v \) is not the nul vector. From (4.6)

\[ v_i f_i(x) \leq v_i f_i(y), \quad i=1,2,...,r \]

with at least one strict inequality.
Since each $f_i$ is s-pseudo-convex, it follows
\[(x-y)'^i (v^i f_i(y)) \leq 0, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,r\]
with at least one strict inequality.

Therefore
\[(x-y)'^i (v^i f(y)) < 0\]
and from (4.7)
\[(4.11) \quad (x-y)' (u^i g'(y)) > 0.\]

From feasibility of $x$ for EP and from (4.8) and (4.9)
\[u^i g(x) - u^i g(y) \leq 0\]
and since $u^i g$ is s-quasi-convex
\[(x-y)'^i (u^i g^i(y)) \leq 0\]
which contradicts (4.11).

5. Conclusions. For a class of multiobjective programming problems with symmetrically differentiable objective functions we present optimality conditions of Weber type.

We generalize also some results of Weir and Mond [25], establishing a sufficient optimality condition and a weak duality theorem for a max-min problem involving symmetric pseudo-convex functions and symmetric quasi-convex constraints.

Finally, we note that some of Weber's results [24] concerning the linearization techniques for finding efficient solutions of pseudo-monotonic multiobjective programming with linear constraints can be extended to the symmetrically pseudo-monotonic case.
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