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#### Abstract

The paper focuses on a nonstandard Dirichlet problem driven by the operator $-\Delta_{p}+\mu \Delta_{q}$, which is a competing $(p, q)$-Laplacian with lack of ellipticity if $\mu>0$, and exhibiting a reaction term in the form of a convection (i.e., it depends on the solution and its gradient) composed with the convolution of the solution with an integrable function. We prove the existence of a generalized solution through a combination of fixed-point approach and approximation. In the case $\mu \leq 0$, we obtain the existence of a weak solution to the respective elliptic problem.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the following quasilinear problem with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with the boundary $\partial \Omega$,

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{p} u+\mu \Delta_{q} u=f(x, \rho * u, \nabla(\rho * u)) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

for $1<q<p<+\infty, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\rho \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. To ease the exposition we assume $p<N$ mentioning that the complementary case $p \geq N$ can be handled along the same lines.

In order to simplify the notation, for any real number $r>1$, we set $r^{\prime}=r /(r-1)$ (the Hölder conjugate of $r$ ). In particular, we have $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)<q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)$. In the left-hand side of equation (1.1) there are the negative $p$-Laplacian

$$
-\Delta_{p}: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

expressed as

$$
\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) d x \text { for all } u, v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

and the negative $q$-Laplacian $-\Delta_{q}: W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ expressed as

$$
\left\langle-\Delta_{q} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{q-2} \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) d x \text { for all } u, v \in W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)
$$

Hereafter, $|\cdot|$ stands for the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Since $1<q<p<+\infty$, it holds the continuous embedding $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$, so the operator $-\Delta_{p}+\mu \Delta_{q}$ is well defined on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. In the sequel, $p^{*}$ stands for the Sobolev critical exponent $p^{*}=N p /(N-p)$ (recall that we assume $\left.p<N\right)$.

The right-hand side of the equation in (1.1) is described by means of a Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (meaning that $f(\cdot, s, \xi)$ is measurable on $\Omega$ for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $f(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous for a.e. $\left.x \in \Omega\right)$ which is composed with the convolution

$$
\rho * u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} \rho(x-y) u(y) d y \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

of some $\rho \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \subset W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Notice that the convolution $\rho * u$ is well defined.

There are two noticeable aspects related to the right-hand side of the equation in (1.1). The first one is the fact that it exhibits dependence not only with respect to the solution $u$ but also with respect to its gradient $\nabla u$. Such a term is usually called convection and its presence prevents us to make use of variational methods. A systematic study of problems with convection can be found in [4]. A second significant feature related to the right-hand side of the equation in (1.1) is the fact that the convection is composed with a convolution which is nonlocal operator. The study of the problems involving the composition of convection and convolution has been started in [6], specifically for problem (1.1) with $\mu \leq 0$. This study incorporates the case where the operator is the $p$-Laplacian $-\Delta_{p}$ (for $\mu=0$ ) and the ordinary $(p, q)$ Laplacian $-\Delta_{p}-\Delta_{q}$ (for $\mu=-1$ ). The investigation of a (nonsmooth) version of problem (1.1) for an arbitrary $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, but without convection and convolution, was initiated in [3]. Problem (1.1) with the "competing" $(p, q)$-Laplacian $-\Delta_{p}+\Delta_{q}$ (i.e., in the case where $\mu=1$ ) and convection but without convolution was addressed in [5].

Let $\lambda_{1, p}>0$ denote the first eigenvalue of the negative $p$-Laplacian on $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, which is given by the following variational characterization (see, e.g., [7, §9.2]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1, p}=\min \left\{\frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}}{\|u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}}: u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the following growth condition for $f(x, s, \xi)$ is satisfied.

Assumption 1.1. There holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x)+a_{1}|s|^{p-1}+a_{2}|\xi|^{p-1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, with a function $\sigma \in L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ where $r \in\left[1, p^{*}\right)$ and constants $a_{1}, a_{2} \geq 0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\left(a_{1} \lambda_{1, p}^{-1}+a_{2} N^{p-1} \lambda_{1, p}^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right)<1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.2. The condition (1.4) in Assumption 1.1 can be expressed by saying that the parameter $\rho \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ in problem (1.1) is small enough with respect to its $L^{1}$ norm.

Remark 1.3. (a) If the Carathéodory function $f$ satisfies the growth condition

$$
|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x)+a_{1}|s|^{p-1}+a_{2}|\xi|^{\beta}
$$

as in (1.3) except that the exponent of $|\xi|$ is some $\beta \in[0, p-1)$, then Assumption 1.1 is fulfilled provided that

$$
a_{1}\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}<\lambda_{1, p} .
$$

(b) If $f$ satisfies the stronger growth condition

$$
|f(x, s, \xi)| \leq \sigma(x)+a_{1}|s|^{\alpha}+a_{2}|\xi|^{\beta}
$$

with $\alpha, \beta \in[0, p-1)$, then Assumption 1.1 is fulfilled.
By a generalized solution to problem (1.1) we mean any function $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ for which there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ such that
(a) $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(b) $-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}-f\left(\cdot, \rho * u_{n}(\cdot), \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)(\cdot)\right) \rightharpoonup 0$ in $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
(c) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0$.

The essential point in our work is that the driving operator $-\Delta_{p}+\mu \Delta_{q}$ in problem (1.1) has a fundamentally different behavior depending on whether $\mu \leq 0$ or $\mu>0$. Indeed, in the latter case, the operator lacks the ellipticity: notice for instance that, for a nonzero $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ and a number $\lambda>0$, the quantity

$$
\left\langle-\Delta_{p}\left(\lambda u_{0}\right)+\mu \Delta_{q}\left(\lambda u_{0}\right), \lambda u_{0}\right\rangle=\lambda^{p}\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}-\lambda^{q} \mu\left\|\nabla u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q}
$$

does not keep a constant sign if $\mu>0$. It is positive for $\lambda>0$ sufficiently large and it is negative for $\lambda>0$ sufficiently small. In view of this, in [3], the operator $-\Delta_{p}+\mu \Delta_{q}$ for $\mu>0$ was called a competing $(p, q)$-Laplacian. Due to the lack of ellipticity there is no available method to handle problem (1.1) for arbitrary $\mu$. In order to bypass this drawback, the notion of generalized solution was introduced in [3] for a counterpart of problem (1.1) without convolution. Note that, in the case where $\mu \leq 0$, the notions of generalized solution and weak solution coincide (see Lemma 3.3). In Theorem 3.4, we prove the existence of a generalized solution to problem (1.1) for arbitrary $\mu$. Our approach relies on a fixed-point theorem and approximation process. Our treatment of problem (1.1) is unified in the sense that it does not distinguish according to the sign of $\mu$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In the sequel, the space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is considered endowed with the norm $\|\nabla(\cdot)\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}$.

### 2.1. Galerkin basis

Due to the density of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, the Banach space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with $1<p<+\infty$ is separable. Therefore, there exists a Galerkin basis of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, that is a sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of vector subspaces of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ satisfying
(i) $\operatorname{dim} X_{n}<\infty, \quad \forall n \geq 1$;
(ii) $X_{n} \subset X_{n+1}, \quad \forall n \geq 1$;
(iii) $\overline{\bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_{n}}=W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

For the rest of the paper we fix a Galerkin basis $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

### 2.2. Rellich-Kondrachov theorem

For $1<p<N$, as known from the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem, the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded into $L^{\theta}(\Omega)$ if $1 \leq \theta<p^{*}\left(=\frac{N p}{N-p}\right)$ and continuously embedded if $\theta=p^{*}$. For every $\theta \in\left[1, p^{*}\right]$ we denote by $S_{\theta}>0$ the best constant for this embedding, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\theta}(\Omega)} \leq S_{\theta}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}, \quad \forall u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\theta=p$, we have that $S_{p}=\lambda_{1, p}^{-\frac{1}{p}}($ see (1.2)).

### 2.3. Convolution

For easy reference we list a few useful properties of the convolution $\rho * u$ of $\rho \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$; we refer to $[1, \S 4.4, \S 9.1]$ for details. In order to have well defined the convolution $\rho * u$ of $\rho \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ with $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, it is convenient to consider the Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ embedded in $W^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ by identifying every $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ with its extension equal to zero outside $\Omega$. The convolution $\rho * u$ is defined by

$$
\rho * u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}} \rho(x-y) u(y) d y \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

The weak partial derivatives of the convolution $\rho * u$ are expressed by

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(\rho * u)=\rho * \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, N
$$

There hold the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\rho * u\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $r \in\left[1, p^{*}\right]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\rho * \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, N . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the convexity of the function $t \mapsto t^{p}$ on $(0,+\infty)$ and (2.3), we derive that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\nabla(\rho * u)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla(\rho * u)|^{p} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\rho * \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\rho * \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right|\right)^{p} d x \leq N^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\rho * \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p} \\
& \leq N^{p-1}\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \leq N^{p}\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4. Fixed point theorem

An essential tool in our approach will be the following consequence of Brouwer's fixed point theorem (see [8, page 37]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional space endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ and let $A: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a continuous mapping. Assume that there is a constant $R>0$ such that

$$
\langle A(v), v\rangle \geq 0 \text { for all } v \in X \text { with }\|v\|_{X}=R
$$

Then there exists $u \in X$ with $\|u\|_{X} \leq R$ satisfying $A(u)=0$.

## 3. Main result

In this section we provide our main result regarding the existence of solutions to problem (1.1).

### 3.1. Nonlinear operator associated to problem (1.1)

Hereafter we consider the operator $A: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A(u), v\rangle=\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u+\mu \Delta_{q} u, v\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f(x, \rho * u(x), \nabla(\rho * u)(x)) v(x) d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which arises from problem (1.1).
Lemma 3.1. Suppose that (1.3) in Assumption 1.1 is fulfilled. Then, the operator $A: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ defined in (3.1) is continuous.
Proof. Relations (2.2) and (2.4) imply that the operator $T: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p}(\Omega) \times$ $L^{p}(\Omega)^{N}$ given by $T(u)=\left(\left.\rho * u\right|_{\Omega},\left.\nabla(\rho * u)\right|_{\Omega}\right)$ is linear and continuous. The growth condition in (1.3) allows to apply the Krasnoselskii theorem [2] which implies that the Nemytskii operator

$$
N_{f}: L^{p}(\Omega) \times L^{p}(\Omega)^{N} \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega),(v, w) \mapsto f(\cdot, v(\cdot), w(\cdot))
$$

is well defined and continuous. We infer that the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega), u \mapsto f(\cdot, \rho * u(\cdot), \nabla(\rho * u)(\cdot)) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous as the composition of continuous operators. Note also that $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.

The operators $-\Delta_{p}: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $-\Delta_{q}: W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ are continuous. Since $q<p$ and $\Omega$ is bounded, we have that $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ and $W^{-1, q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$. Therefore, $-\Delta_{p}+\mu \Delta_{q}: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is continuous.

Altogether, this shows that the operator $A$ is continuous.

### 3.2. Finite-dimensional approximations

Given a Galerkin basis $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we construct a corresponding sequence of approximate solutions related to problem (1.1).

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that Assumption 1.1 is fulfilled. Then, for every $n \geq 1$, there exists $u_{n} \in X_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \rho * u_{n}(x), \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)(x)\right) v(x) d x \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in X_{n}$. Moreover, the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ so obtained is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$.
Proof. On each finite-dimensional space $X_{n}$ we consider the mapping $A_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow X_{n}^{*}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle A_{n}(u), v\right\rangle=\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u+\mu \Delta_{q} u, v\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f(x, \rho * u(x), \nabla(\rho * u)(x)) v(x) d x
$$

for all $u, v \in X_{n}$. Note that $A_{n}$ is continuous (see Lemma 3.1). Our goal is to apply Lemma 2.1 to the operator $A_{n}$. To this end, we note from (1.3) in Assumption 1.1 and Hölder's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle A_{n}(v), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla v|^{p}-\mu|\nabla v|^{q}-f(x, \rho * v, \nabla(\rho * v)) v\right) d x \\
& \geq\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}-\mu|\Omega|^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q}-\|\sigma\|_{L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \\
& -a_{1}\|\rho * v\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\|v\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}-a_{2}\|\nabla(\rho * v)\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\|v\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v \in X_{n}$. Hereafter, we denote by $|\Omega|$ the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega$. Then (2.2), (2.4), and (2.1) lead to the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle A_{n}(v), v\right\rangle \geq\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}-\mu|\Omega|^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q} \\
& -\|\sigma\|_{L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}-a_{1}\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\|v\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \\
& -a_{2} N^{p-1}\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\|v\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} \\
& \geq\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}-\mu|\Omega|^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q}-S_{r}\|\sigma\|_{L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& -\left(a_{1} S_{p}^{p}\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}+a_{2} S_{p} N^{p-1}\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\right)\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v \in X_{n}$. Taking into account (1.4) (recall that $S_{p}=\lambda_{1, p}^{-\frac{1}{p}}$ ) and that $p>q>1$, the following estimate is true

$$
\left\langle A_{n}(v), v\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { whenever } v \in X_{n} \text { with }\|\nabla v\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=R
$$

provided $R>0$ is sufficiently large. Then Lemma 2.1 yields the existence of $u_{n} \in X_{n}$ satisfying $A_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, that is, (3.3).

It remains to show that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. By inserting $v=u_{n} \in X_{n}$ in (3.4), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\|\rho\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p-1}\left(a_{1} S_{p}^{p}+a_{2} S_{p} N^{p-1}\right)\right)\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p} \\
& \leq \mu|\Omega|^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{q}+S_{r}\|\sigma\|_{L^{r^{\prime}}(\Omega)}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired conclusion is readily obtained from assumption (1.4) and the fact that $p>q>1$.

### 3.3. Main result on the existence of a solution to problem (1.1)

First, we show that the notions of generalized solution and weak solution coincide for problem (1.1) in the case where $\mu \leq 0$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $\mu \leq 0$. For every $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $u$ is a weak solution to problem (1.1), that is, $u$ satisfies

$$
\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u+\mu \Delta_{q} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, \rho * u(x), \nabla(\rho * u)(x)) v(x) d x
$$

for all $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$;
(ii) $u$ is a generalized solution to problem (1.1).

Proof. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is immediate (take $u_{n}=u$ ) and actually does not require the condition that $\mu \leq 0$. Conversely, assume that $u$ is a generalized solution to problem (1.1), and let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence satisfying conditions (a)-(c) of the definition of generalized solution with respect to $u$. Using the monotonicity of the operator $-\Delta_{q}$ we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle & \leq\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\mu\left\langle-\Delta_{q} u_{n}+\Delta_{q} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\mu\left\langle\Delta_{q} u, u_{n}-u\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (a) and (c), this leads to

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Then we are able to conclude the strong convergence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$ (see, e.g., [7, Proposition 2.72]). By Lemma 3.1, this implies that $A\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow A(u)$ in $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, where $A: W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ is the operator defined in (3.1). In view of condition (b) of the definition of generalized solution, this yields $A(u)=0$, which precisely means that $u$ is a weak solution to problem (1.1).

We can now state our main result.
Theorem 3.4. Suppose that Assumption 1.1 holds. Then there exists a generalized solution to problem (1.1). In particular, if $\mu \leq 0$, there exists a weak solution to problem (1.1).

Proof. Consider the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ constructed in Proposition 3.2. As asserted therein, this sequence is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. In view of the reflexivity of the space $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we can pass to a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$. Moreover, since the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, invoking the continuity of the operator in (3.2), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { the sequence }\left\{f\left(\cdot, \rho * u_{n}, \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1} \text { is bounded in } L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \text {. } \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the basis of the reflexivity of $W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, we can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}-f\left(\cdot, \rho * u_{n}, \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)\right) \rightharpoonup \eta \text { in } W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\eta \in W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.
Now let $v \in \bigcup_{n>1} X_{n}$. Fix an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $v \in X_{m}$. Proposition 3.2 provides that (3.3) holds for all $n \geq m$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), by means of (3.7) we get

$$
\langle\eta, v\rangle=0 \text { for all } v \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_{n}
$$

By the density of $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} X_{n}$ in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ (see (iii) in the definition of Galerkin basis in Section 2.1), it turns out that $\eta=0$. Therefore, (3.7) renders

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}-f\left(\cdot, \rho * u_{n}, \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)\right) \rightharpoonup 0 \text { in } W^{-1, p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, setting $v=u_{n}$ in (3.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}, u_{n}\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \rho * u_{n}, \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)\right) u_{n} d x=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$, while (3.8) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}, u\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \rho * u_{n}, \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)\right) u d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Altogether, (3.9) and (3.10) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle-\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \rho * u_{n}, \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, from (3.5), Rellich-Kondrachov compact embedding theorem which ensures that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$, and (3.6), we derive that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f\left(x, \rho * u_{n}, \nabla\left(\rho * u_{n}\right)\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (3.12) into (3.11) enables us to assert

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle-\Delta_{p} u_{n}+\mu \Delta_{q} u_{n}, u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point we can notice that (3.5), (3.8), and (3.13) are just the conditions (a), (b), and (c) expressing that $u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ is a generalized solution to problem (1.1), which proves the first assertion in the theorem. The last assertion in the theorem is a consequence of Lemma 3.3.
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