

Approximate character amenability of Banach algebras

Ali Jabbari

Abstract. New notion of character amenability of Banach algebras is introduced. Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. We say \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable if there exist a bounded linear functional m on \mathfrak{A}^* and a net (m_α) in \mathfrak{A}^{**} , such that $m(\varphi) = 1$ and

$$m(f.a) = \lim_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}(f.a) = \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(a)m_{\alpha}(f)$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$. The corresponding class of Banach algebras is larger than that for the classical character amenable (φ -amenable) algebras. General theory is developed for this notion, and we show that this notion is different from that character amenability and φ -amenability.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 46H20, 43A20.

Keywords: Amenability, approximate amenability, approximate identity, Banach algebras, character amenability.

1. Introduction

The concept of amenable Banach algebra was introduced by Johnson in 1972 [16], and has proved to be of enormous importance in Banach algebra theory. Johnson showed that locally compact group G is amenable if and only if $L^1(G)$ is amenable as a Banach algebra.

Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra, and let X be a Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodule. A derivation is a linear map $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$D(ab) = a.D(b) + D(a).b \quad (a, b \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, by a derivation we mean that a continuous derivation. For $x \in X$, set $ad_x : a \mapsto ax - xa$, $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X$. Then ad_x is the inner derivation induced by x .

Denote the linear space of bounded derivations from \mathfrak{A} into X by $Z^1(\mathfrak{A}, X)$ and the linear subspace of inner derivations by $N^1(\mathfrak{A}, X)$, we consider the quotient space $H^1(\mathfrak{A}, X) = Z^1(\mathfrak{A}, X)/N^1(\mathfrak{A}, X)$, called the first Hochschild cohomology group of \mathfrak{A}

with coefficients in X . The Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be amenable if $H^1(\mathfrak{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for all Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodules X .

The concept of approximate amenability of Banach algebras was introduced by F. Ghahramani and R. J. Loy in 2004 [11]. They showed that locally compact group G is amenable if and only if $L^1(G)$ is approximately amenable as a Banach algebra.

The derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X$ is approximately inner if there is a net (x_α) in X such that

$$D(a) = \lim_{\alpha} (a \cdot x_\alpha - x_\alpha \cdot a) \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}),$$

so that $D = \lim_{\alpha} ad_{x_\alpha}$ in the strong-operator topology of $B(\mathfrak{A}, X)$. The Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is approximately amenable if for any \mathfrak{A} -bimodule X , every derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is approximately inner. There are many alternative formulations of the notion of amenability, of which we note the following, for further details see [6, 5, 9, 12, 13, 14]. Of course, amenable Banach algebra is approximately amenable. Some approximately amenable Banach algebras, which are not amenable constructed in [11]. Further examples have been shown by Ghahramani and Stokke in [13]: the Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is approximately amenable for each amenable, discrete group G , but it is known that these algebras are not always amenable.

The notion of character amenability of Banach algebras was defined by Sangani Monfared in [21]. This notion improved by Kaniuth, Lau, and Pym in [18, 19] and some new results were presented by Azimifard in [2]. Let \mathfrak{A} be an arbitrary Banach algebra and φ be a homomorphism from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathbb{C} . We denote that the space of all non-zero multiplicative linear functionals from \mathfrak{A} onto \mathbb{C} by $\Delta(\mathfrak{A})$ ($\Delta(\mathfrak{A})$ is the maximal ideal space of \mathfrak{A}). If $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A}) \cup \{0\}$ and X is an arbitrary Banach space, then X can be viewed as Banach left or right \mathfrak{A} -module by the following actions

$$a \cdot x = \varphi(a)x \quad \text{and} \quad x \cdot a = \varphi(a)x \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X).$$

The Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be left character amenable (LCA) if for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A}) \cup \{0\}$ and all Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodules X for which the right module action is given by $a \cdot x = \varphi(a)x$ ($a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X$), every continuous derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is inner. Right character amenability (RCA) is defined similarly by considering Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodules X for which the left module action is given by $x \cdot a = \varphi(a)x$, and \mathfrak{A} is called character amenable (CA) if it is both left and right character amenable.

Also, according to [18], the Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable ($\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$) if there exists a bounded linear functional m on \mathfrak{A}^* satisfying $m(\varphi) = 1$ and $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$. Therefore the Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is character amenable if and only if \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable, for every $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A}) \cup \{0\}$.

It is clear that if \mathfrak{A} is amenable then \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable for every $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$, but converse is not true, because for example let G be a locally compact group and $A(G)$ is a Fourier algebra on G . Fourier algebra $A(G)$ is character amenable (Example 2.6, [18]), but it is not amenable even when G is compact (see [17]).

Recently in [25], authors defined and studied approximate character amenability of Banach algebras. The Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is said to be approximately left character amenable if for all $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A}) \cup \{0\}$ and all Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodules X for which the right module action is given by $a \cdot x = \varphi(a)x$ ($a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X$), every continuous derivation $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is approximately inner. Approximately right character amenability is

defined similarly by considering Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodules X for which the left module action is given by $x.a = \varphi(a)x$, and \mathfrak{A} is called approximately character amenable if it is both approximately left and right character amenable.

In this work, after establishing some background definition (our definition is different from [25]) and notation, we discuss some results for general Banach algebras. We generalize the character amenability (φ -amenability) of Banach algebras to approximate character amenability (approximate φ -amenability) of Banach algebras. By approximate character amenability, we provide some results, which they concluded by character amenability. A Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable, if and only if there exists a bounded net (u_α) in \mathfrak{A} such that $\|a.u_\alpha - \varphi(a).u_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\varphi(u_\alpha) = 1$ for all α (Theorem 1.4 of [18]). By this notion, we construct such net and by this net, we give some results about existing of bounded approximate identity for Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} .

Also by giving an example, we show that there exists an approximately character amenable non-character amenable Banach algebra. Finally we consider some hereditary properties of approximate φ -amenability.

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. We say \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable if there exist a bounded linear functional m on \mathfrak{A}^* and a net (m_α) in \mathfrak{A}^{**} , such that $m(\varphi) = 1$ and*

$$m(f.a) = \lim_{\alpha} m_\alpha(f.a) = \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(a)m_\alpha(f)$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$.

When \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable, then it is approximately φ -amenable. In [18, 19], authors showed that the relation between φ -amenability and amenability of Banach algebras in special case. Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable if and only if $H^1(\mathfrak{A}, X^*) = \{0\}$ for each Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodule X such that $a.x = \varphi(a)x$, for all $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. In the following Theorem, we generalize the Theorem 1.1 of [18] as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra, and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable.

(ii) If X is a Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodule such that $a.x = \varphi(a).x$ for all $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, then every derivation from \mathfrak{A} into X^* is approximately inner.

Proof. (ii) \rightarrow (i), let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. It is clear that \mathfrak{A}^* is a Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodule by following action

$$a.f = \varphi(a).f,$$

for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Also since $\varphi \in \mathfrak{A}^*$, so we have

$$a.\varphi = \varphi.a = \varphi(a)\varphi. \tag{2.1}$$

Therefore $\mathbb{C}\varphi$ is a closed \mathfrak{A} -submodule of \mathfrak{A}^* . Take $X = \mathfrak{A}^* \setminus \mathbb{C}\varphi$, and consider $i : \mathfrak{A}^* \rightarrow X$ that is a canonical mapping. Let δ be a derivation from \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{A}^{**} .

Since every derivation from \mathfrak{A} into each Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodule is approximately inner, then there exists a net $(v_\alpha)_\alpha$ in \mathfrak{A}^{**} , such that

$$\delta(a) = \lim_\alpha a.v_\alpha - v_\alpha.a.$$

According to (2.1) for given $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have

$$\delta(a)(\varphi) = \lim_\alpha (a.v_\alpha)\varphi - (v_\alpha.a)\varphi = \lim_\alpha v_\alpha(\varphi.a) - v_\alpha(\varphi.a) = 0.$$

Therefore $\delta(a) \in i^*X^*$, and since i^* is a monomorphism, thus there exists a unique element $D(a) \in X^*$, such that $i^*(D(a)) = \delta(a)$. This shows that D is a derivation from \mathfrak{A} into X^* . Therefore there exists a net $(\xi_\beta)_\beta$ in X^* such that

$$D(a) = \lim_\beta a.\xi_\beta - \xi_\beta.a,$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_\beta a.(i^*\xi_\beta) - (i^*\xi_\beta).a &= \lim_\beta i^*(a.\xi_\beta - \xi_\beta.a) \\ &= i^*(D(a)) = \delta(a) = \lim_\alpha a.v_\alpha - v_\alpha.a. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m_{\alpha,\beta} = v_\alpha - i^*\xi_\beta$, then $m_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, $m_{\alpha,\beta}(\varphi) = 1$ and $a.m_{\alpha,\beta} = m_{\alpha,\beta}.a$. Therefore we have

$$m_{\alpha,\beta}(f.a) = m_{\alpha,\beta}(a.f) = \varphi(a)m_{\alpha,\beta}(f) \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}, f \in \mathfrak{A}^*).$$

Let I and J be the index sets for nets (v_α) and (ξ_β) , respectively. We construct the required net (m_k) using an iterated limit construction (see [20]). Our indexing directed set is defined to be $K = I \times \prod_{\alpha \in I} J$, equipped with the product ordering, and for each $k = (\alpha, f) \in K$, we define $m_k = m_{\alpha,f(\alpha)}$. Now let $m = \lim_k m_k$ and this complete the proof.

For (i) \rightarrow (ii), let (m_α) and m be as in Definition 2.1. Let $D : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X^*$ be a derivation, and let $D' = D^*|_X : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^*$ and $g_\alpha = (D')^*(m_\alpha) \in X^*$, such that $\lim_\alpha g_\alpha = \lim_\alpha (D')^*(m_\alpha) = (D')^*(m)$. Then, for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $x \in X$,

$$\langle b, D'(a.x) \rangle = \langle a.x, D(b) \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle x, D(b) \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle b, D'(x) \rangle,$$

and, hence $D(a.x) = \varphi(a)D'(x)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, g_\alpha.a \rangle &= \langle a.x, g_\alpha \rangle = \langle D'(a.x), m_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \varphi(a)\langle D'(x), m_\alpha \rangle = \varphi(a)\langle x, g_\alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since D is a derivation, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b, D'(x.a) \rangle &= \langle x.a, D(b) \rangle = \langle x, a.D(b) \rangle = \langle ab, D'(x) \rangle - \langle b.x, D(x) \rangle \\ &= \langle b, D'(x).a \rangle - \varphi(b)\langle x, D(a) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $x \in X$. Thus

$$D'(x.a) = D'(x).a - \langle x, D(a) \rangle \varphi,$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $x \in X$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D'(x.a), m \rangle &= \langle x.a, (D')^*(m) \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle x, a.g_{\alpha} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle x.a, g_{\alpha} \rangle = \lim_{\alpha} \langle D'(x.a), m_{\alpha} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \langle D'(x).a, m_{\alpha} \rangle - \lim_{\alpha} \langle x, D(a) \rangle \langle \varphi, m_{\alpha} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(a) \langle x, g_{\alpha} \rangle - \langle x, D(a) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $D(a) = \lim_{\alpha} \varphi(a)g_{\alpha} - a.g_{\alpha}$. Therefore we have

$$D(a) = \lim_{\alpha} a.(-g_{\alpha}) - (-g_{\alpha}).a,$$

for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. □

According to the Theorem 1.4 of [18], the Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable if and only if there exists a bounded net (u_{α}) in \mathfrak{A} such that $\|a.u_{\alpha} - \varphi(a).u_{\alpha}\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $\varphi(u_{\alpha}) = 1$ for all α . Now, by using of technique of Theorem 1.4 of [18], we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. If \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable then there exists a bounded net (u_{α}) in \mathfrak{A} such that $\|a.u_{\alpha} - \varphi(a).u_{\alpha}\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable. Let $m \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ and net $(m_{\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ be as in Definition 2.1.

Fix α , then by the Goldstaine Theorem (Theorem A.3.29 of [7]) there exists a net $(\nu_{\alpha,\beta}) \subset \mathfrak{A}$, such that $\nu_{\alpha,\beta} \xrightarrow{w^*} m_{\alpha}$, and $\|\nu_{\alpha,\beta}\| \leq \|m_{\alpha}\| + 1$ for all β . Consider the product space $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ endowed with the product of the norm topologies. Then $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a locally convex topological vector space. Define a linear map $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ by

$$T(u) = (au - \varphi(a)u)_{a \in \mathfrak{A}} \quad (u \in \mathfrak{A}),$$

and a subset C_{α} of \mathfrak{A} by

$$C_{\alpha} = \{u \in \mathfrak{A} : \|u\| \leq \|m_{\alpha}\| + 1\}.$$

Then C_{α} is convex and hence $T(C_{\alpha})$ is a convex subset of $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$. The fact that $\langle a\nu_{\alpha,\beta} - \varphi(a)\nu_{\alpha,\beta}, f \rangle \rightarrow 0$, for all $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ and $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. This shows that the zero element of $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is contained in the closure of $T(C_{\alpha})$ with respect to the product of the weak topologies. Now this product of the weak topologies coincides with the weak topology on $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and since $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a locally convex space and $T(C_{\alpha})$ is convex, the weak closure of $T(C_{\alpha})$ equals the closure of $T(C_{\alpha})$ in the given topology on $\mathfrak{A}^{\mathfrak{A}}$, that is, the product of the norm topologies (see [24, 23]). It follows that there exists a bounded net (u_{γ}) in \mathfrak{A} such that $\|a.u_{\gamma} - \varphi(a).u_{\gamma}\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. □

Note that according to the proof of the above Theorem, existence of such net is not unique. for given character amenable Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} , set the character amenability constant $C(\mathfrak{A})$ of \mathfrak{A} to be infimum of the norms of nets which obtained by Theorem 1.4 of [18]. We will say \mathfrak{A} is K - character amenable if its character amenability constant is at most K .

We should show that approximate character amenability of Banach algebras is different from character amenability of Banach algebras. To showing this difference, by using of technique of Example 6.1 of [11], we consider the following Example.

Example 2.4. Let (\mathfrak{A}_n) be a sequence of character amenable Banach algebras such that $C(\mathfrak{A}_n) \rightarrow \infty$. Then $B = c_0(\mathfrak{A}_n^\sharp)$ is approximately character amenable non-character amenable Banach algebra.

Proof. Let B be character amenable, and let $(U_m)_m$ be the sequence in B which obtained by Theorem 1.4 of [18]. By restricting of $(U_m)_m$ to the n^{th} coordinate of this sequence of bound K yields a sequence $(u_m)_m$ in \mathfrak{A}_n with bound at most K , and since $C(\mathfrak{A}_n) \rightarrow \infty$ then B can not be character amenable. Define

$$B_k = \{(x_n) \in c_0(\mathfrak{A}_n^\sharp) : x_n = 0 \text{ for } n > k\}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_n : c_0(\mathfrak{A}_n^\sharp) \rightarrow B_n$ be the natural projection of multiplication by $E_n = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ onto the first n coordinate. Then $P_n(E_n)$ is the identity of B_n , and (E_n) is a central approximate identity for B bounded by 1. Let X be a Banach B -bimodule such that $b.x = \varphi(b)x$, and $x.b = \varphi(b)x$ for all $b \in B, x \in X$ ($\varphi \in \Delta(B)$). Now suppose that $D : B \rightarrow X^*$ is a continuous derivation. By restricting D to some B_n we have a derivation $D_n : B_n \rightarrow X^*$. Then there exists a bounded sequence (ξ_n) in X^* such that $D_n(b) = b.\xi_n - \xi_n.b$. Then by module actions defined above for $b \in B$ and $x \in X$ we have

$$\langle bE_n.\xi_n, x \rangle = \langle b.\xi_n, x \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \xi_n.E_nb, x \rangle = \langle \xi_n.b, x \rangle.$$

Since (E_n) is central, so

$$\begin{aligned} D(b) &= D(\lim_n E_nb) = \lim_n D(E_nb) = \lim_n D_n(E_nb) \\ &= \lim_n bE_n.\xi_n - \xi_n.E_nb = \lim_n b.\xi_n - \xi_n.b. \end{aligned}$$

Then D is approximately inner, and hence B is approximately character amenable. □

One of the famous open problems in the amenability and approximate amenability of Banach algebras is: *Does amenability or approximate amenability of Banach algebra such as \mathfrak{A} implies that amenability or approximate amenability of \mathfrak{A}^{**} ?* This problem in the case of φ -amenability in Proposition 3.4 of [18] is considered and authors proved that the Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is φ -amenable if and only if \mathfrak{A}^{**} is $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable, where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the extension of φ to \mathfrak{A}^{**} . Now, we generalize this statement in to approximate φ -amenability of Banach algebras.

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra, let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$, and let $\tilde{\varphi}$ denote the extension of φ to \mathfrak{A}^{**} . Then \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable if and only if \mathfrak{A}^{**} is approximately $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable.*

Proof. Let $m(f.a) = \lim_\alpha \varphi(a)m_\alpha(f)$, where $m \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ and $(m_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^{**}$. Suppose that \hat{m} is the Gelfand transform of m and \hat{m}_α is the Gelfand transform of m_α , for all

α . Let $a'' \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{A}^{***}$, then there exist nets $(a_\gamma) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}$ and $(u_\beta) \subseteq \mathfrak{A}^*$, such that $a_\gamma \xrightarrow{w^*} a''$ and $u_\beta \xrightarrow{w^*} u$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u.a'', \hat{m} \rangle &= \langle m, u.a'' \rangle = \lim_\beta \langle m, u_\beta.a'' \rangle = \lim_\beta \lim_\gamma \langle m, u_\beta.a_\gamma \rangle \\ &= \lim_\beta \lim_\gamma \lim_\alpha \varphi(a_\gamma) \langle u_\beta, m_\alpha \rangle = \lim_\beta \lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}(a'') \langle u_\beta, m_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}(a'') \hat{m}_\alpha(u). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let \mathfrak{A}^{**} be approximately $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable, then there exist an element M and net $(M_\alpha)_\alpha$ in \mathfrak{A}^{****} , such that $M(f.a) = \lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}(a)M_\alpha(f)$. Now with restriction of M and M_α to \mathfrak{A}^{**} , we conclude that \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable. \square

In Theorem 2.3 of [21], Monfared showed that character amenability of Banach algebras similarly to amenability of Banach algebras implies that existence of bounded approximate identity for them. By the following Proposition, we show approximate φ -amenability of Banach algebra similar to approximate amenability implies existence of approximate identity for it's.

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. If \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable, then \mathfrak{A} have right and left approximate identity.*

Proof. In Theorem 2.1 (ii), take $X = \mathfrak{A}^*$, with right action $a.x = \varphi(a).x$ and zero left action. Remain of proof is exactly similar to proof of Lemma 2.2 of [11]. \square

Let \mathfrak{A} be an approximately amenable Banach algebra and

$$\sum : 0 \longrightarrow X^* \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

be an admissible short exact sequence of left \mathfrak{A} -modules. Then by Theorem 2.2 of [11], \sum approximately splits. Proof of the following Theorem is similar to proof of Theorem 2.2 of [11].

Theorem 2.7. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra, and let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. Let*

$$\sum : 0 \longrightarrow X^* \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \longrightarrow 0$$

be an admissible short exact sequence of Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodules such that $a.x = \varphi(a).x$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and x is in X, Y and Z . If \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable, then \sum is approximately splits. That is, there is a net $G_\nu : Z \longrightarrow Y$ of right inverse maps to g such that $\lim_\nu (a.G_\nu - G_\nu.a) = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, and a net $F_\nu : Y \longrightarrow X^$ of left inverse maps to f such that $\lim_\nu (a.F_\nu - F_\nu.a) = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

The following Proposition is similar to Proposition 3.3 of [25], but since our proof is different, so we do not remove the proof.

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra, and let J be a closed ideal of \mathfrak{A} . Let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$ such that $\varphi|_J \neq 0$. If \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable, then J is approximately $\varphi|_J$ -amenable.*

Proof. Let $m \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ and net $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$ in \mathfrak{A}^{**} be as in Definition 2.1. Fix α , then there exists a net $(\nu_{\alpha,\beta})$ in \mathfrak{A} such that $\nu_{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow m_\alpha$ in w^* -topology. For each $f \in J^\perp$ and any $a \in J$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m(f.a) &= \lim_\alpha m_\alpha(f.a) = \lim_\beta \lim_\alpha \langle f.a, \nu_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle \\ &= \lim_\beta \lim_\alpha \langle f, a.\nu_{\alpha,\beta} \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $m' \in J^{**}$, such that $m \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$ is the extending of m' . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\varphi(a) = 1$, then

$$m(f.a) = \lim_\alpha m_\alpha(f.a) = \lim_\alpha m_\alpha(f) = 0,$$

for all $f \in J^\perp$. Therefore net $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$ is a net of bounded linear functional on J^* . For each α there exists m'_α in J^{**} such that $m'_\alpha(g) = m_\alpha(f)$, where f is extending of g from J^* into \mathfrak{A}^* . By definition of m'_α we have $m'_\alpha(\varphi|_J) = m_\alpha(\varphi) = 1$ and

$$\varphi(a)m'_\alpha(g) = \varphi(a)m_\alpha(f) = m_\alpha(f.a) = m'_\alpha(g.a),$$

for all $g \in J^*$ and $a \in J$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} m'(g.a) &= m(f.a) = \lim_\alpha m_\alpha(f.a) = \lim_\alpha m'_\alpha(g.a) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \varphi(a)m'_\alpha(g). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.9. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra, let J be a closed two-sided ideal in \mathfrak{A} , and let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. If \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable, then \mathfrak{A}/J is approximately $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable, where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the induction of φ on \mathfrak{A}/J .*

Proof. Let $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}/J$ be a continuous epimorphism. Suppose that X is a Banach \mathfrak{A} -bimodule, where $a.x = \varphi(a).x$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}, x \in X$. Let $D : \mathfrak{A}/J \rightarrow X^*$ be a derivation. Then $D \circ T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow X^*$ is a derivation. Define $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ T$, thus $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism on \mathfrak{A}/J .

Since \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable, then by Theorem 2.1 (ii), there exists a net $(\xi_\alpha)_\alpha \subset X^*$ such that

$$(D \circ T)(a) = \lim_\alpha T(a).\xi_\alpha - \xi_\alpha.T(a),$$

this shows that D is an approximately inner derivation, by Theorem 2.1, \mathfrak{A}/J is approximately $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable. □

Let G be a locally compact group, and let $A(G)$ be the Fourier algebra on G . Ghahramani and Stokke in [13], studied approximate amenability of Fourier algebras on locally compact group G . Character amenability of $A(G)$ is equivalent to amenability of G as a group (Corollary 2.4 of [21]). By Proposition 2.9, we have the following result for $A(G)$.

Corollary 2.10. *Let G be a locally compact group, and H be a closed subgroup of G . If $A(G)$ is approximately character amenable, then $A(H)$ is approximately character amenable.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8 of [10], $A(H)$ is a quotient of $A(G)$. Then by Proposition 2.9, $A(H)$ is approximately character amenable. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. Let J be an ideal in \mathfrak{A} with $J \subseteq \ker \varphi$ and let $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathfrak{A}/J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the homomorphism induced by φ . If J has a right identity and \mathfrak{A}/J is approximately $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable then \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable.*

Proof. Let $T : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}/J$ be an epimorphism, such that $\varphi = \tilde{\varphi} \circ T$. Suppose $m \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, such that $T(m)^{**} = n$ and there exists a net $(n_\alpha)_\alpha \subset (J^\perp)^*$, such that $n(a.f) = \lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}(a)n_\alpha(f)$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}/J$ and $f \in J^\perp$. For each α there exists $m_\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}^{**}$, such that $T(m_\alpha) = n_\alpha$. For all $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(x)T^{**}(m - me) &= T^{**}(x)T^{**}(m) = T^{**}(x)n = \lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}^{**}(T^{**}(x))n_\alpha \\ &= \lim_\alpha \tilde{\varphi}(T(x))T^{**}(m_\alpha - m_\alpha e) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \varphi(x)T^{**}(m_\alpha - m_\alpha e), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$T^{**}(x(m - me) - \lim_\alpha \varphi(x)(m_\alpha - m_\alpha e)) \rightarrow 0,$$

Since for each $a \in J$, $(x(m - me) - \lim_\alpha \varphi(x)(m_\alpha - m_\alpha e))a = 0$, thus $x(m - me) - \lim_\alpha \varphi(x)(m_\alpha - m_\alpha e) = 0$. Hence

$$x(m - me) = \lim_\alpha \varphi(x)(m_\alpha - m_\alpha e).$$

\square

Let \mathfrak{A} be a non-unital Banach algebra. We denoted the forced unitization of \mathfrak{A} with \mathfrak{A}^\sharp and the adjoined identity element usually be denoted by e unless stated otherwise. Banach algebra \mathfrak{A} is an ideal of \mathfrak{A}^\sharp and $\mathfrak{A}^\sharp = \mathfrak{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}e$. Like as \mathfrak{A}^\sharp , for $(\mathfrak{A}^\sharp)^*$ and $(\mathfrak{A}^\sharp)^{**}$, we have $(\mathfrak{A}^\sharp)^* = \mathfrak{A}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}f_0$ and $(\mathfrak{A}^\sharp)^{**} = \mathfrak{A}^{**} \oplus \mathbb{C}m_0$, where $f_0(e) = 1$, $f|_{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$, $m_0(f_0) = 1$ and $m_0|_{\mathfrak{A}^{**}} = 0$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a non-unital Banach algebra. Let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$ and let φ_e be the unique extension of φ to an element of $\varphi : \mathfrak{A}^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable if and only if \mathfrak{A}^\sharp is approximately φ_e -amenable.*

Proof. See Theorem 3.7 of [25]. \square

Proposition 2.13. *Let \mathfrak{A} be a Banach algebra and let $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{A})$. If \mathfrak{A} is approximately φ -amenable and J is a weakly complemented left ideal of \mathfrak{A} . Then J has a right approximate identity and thereupon $\overline{J^2} = J$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality by Proposition 2.12, we can suppose that \mathfrak{A} is unital. Consider the following sequence of left \mathfrak{A} -modules

$$\sum : 0 \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}/J \rightarrow 0$$

since $(\mathfrak{A}/J)^* \cong J^\perp$, then we have

$$\sum^{**} : 0 \longrightarrow J^{**} \xrightarrow{i^{**}} \mathfrak{A}^{**} \longrightarrow (J^\perp)^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since J is a weakly complemented left ideal of \mathfrak{A} , then \sum^{**} is admissible, and so by Theorem 2.7, there is a net of maps (Q_α) such that $a.Q_\alpha - Q_\alpha.a \longrightarrow 0$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Since \mathfrak{A} is unital, then \mathfrak{A}^{**} has right identity E , then

$$\langle E, Q_\alpha.a \rangle = \langle i^{**}.\hat{a}.E, Q_\alpha \rangle = \langle i^{**}.\hat{a}, Q_\alpha \rangle = \hat{a}.$$

for all $a \in J$, and thereby we have

$$\langle E, a.Q_\alpha \rangle = \langle a.Q_\alpha - Q_\alpha.a, E \rangle + \hat{a} \longrightarrow \hat{a},$$

and this show that $\langle E, Q_\alpha.a \rangle \longrightarrow \hat{a}$, for all $a \in J$. Therefore by Proposition 2.6, J has a right approximate identity. □

Example 2.14. In Corollary 2.5 of [21], Monfared showed that the measure algebra $M(G)$ is character amenable if and only if G is a discrete amenable group. Now, by Proposition 2.13, $M(G)$ is approximately character amenable if and only if G is discrete and amenable (see [8]).

Let G be a locally compact group. A linear subspace $S^1(G)$ of $L^1(G)$ is said to be a Segal algebra, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $S^1(G)$ is a dense in $L^1(G)$;
- (ii) If $f \in S^1(G)$, then $L_x f \in S^1(G)$, i.e. $S^1(G)$ is left translation invariant;
- (iii) $S^1(G)$ is a Banach space under some norm $\|\cdot\|_S$ and $\|L_x f\|_S = \|f\|_S$, for all $f \in S^1(G)$ and $x \in G$;
- (iv) Map $x \mapsto L_x f$ from G into $S^1(G)$ is continuous.

For more details about Segal algebras see [22]. Character amenability of Segal algebras studied in [1], and many useful results about approximate amenability of such algebras considered in [6]. For amenable locally compact group G , $S^1(G)$ is φ -amenable for all $\varphi \in \Delta(S^1(G))$, and also $S^1(G)$ is character amenable if and only if $S^1(G) = L^1(G)$ (Proposition 3.1 of [1]). Now, we consider the following Corollary for Segal algebras (for prove, we use technique of proof of Theorem 5.5 of [6]).

Corollary 2.15. *Let G be a locally compact group, and let $S^1(G)$ be a Segal algebra on G . If $S^1(G)$ is approximate character amenable then G is an amenable group.*

Proof. Let G be a non-amenable locally compact group. Then by Theorem 5.2 of [26], There is no finite codimensional, closed, left ideal in $L^1(G)$ has right approximate identity. Let $I_0 = \{f \in L^1(G) : \int_G f(x)dx = 0\}$ be the augmentation ideal in $L^1(G)$. Let J be an ideal of $S^1(G)$ such that $J = S^1(G) \cap I_0$. Ideal J is a 1-codimension two-sided closed ideal in $S^1(G)$. If $S^1(G)$ is approximate character amenable, then by Proposition 2.13, J has a right approximate identity. By Proposition 5.4 of [6], I_0 have a right approximate identity, and this is a contradiction. Therefore G is an amenable group. □

By following Proposition, we show that in which condition the approximate character amenability can be transfer.

Proposition 2.16. *Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be Banach algebras, and let $h : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a continuous homomorphism with dense range. If $\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{B})$ and \mathfrak{A} is approximately $\varphi \circ h$ -amenable, then \mathfrak{B} is approximately φ -amenable.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{A} be approximately $\varphi \circ h$ -amenable, then there exist there exist a bounded linear functional m on \mathfrak{A}^* and a net (m_α) in \mathfrak{A}^{**} , such that $m_\alpha(\varphi \circ h) = 1$ and $m(f.a) = \lim_\alpha \varphi(h(a))m_\alpha(f)$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{A}^*$.

Given $n \in \mathfrak{B}^{**}$ such that $n(g) = m(g.h), g \in \mathfrak{B}^*$. For each $a, a' \in \mathfrak{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (g.h(a) \circ h, a') \rangle &= \langle g.h(a), h(a') \rangle = \langle g, h(a)h(a') \rangle \\ &= \langle g, h(aa') \rangle = \langle g \circ h, aa' \rangle = \langle (g \circ h).a, a' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(g.h(a) \circ h = (g \circ h).a$, for all $a \in \mathfrak{A}$. Let $m_\alpha(g \circ h) = n_\alpha(g)$, for $g \in \mathfrak{B}^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned} n(g.h(a)) &= m((g.h(a) \circ h) = m((g \circ h).a) \\ &= \lim_\alpha \varphi \circ h(a)m_\alpha(g \circ h) = \lim_\alpha \varphi(h(a))n_\alpha(g), \end{aligned}$$

where $(n_\alpha)_\alpha$ is in \mathfrak{B}^{**} . □

References

- [1] Alaghmandian, M., Nasr-Isfahani, R., Nemati, M., *Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **82**(2010), 274-281.
- [2] Azimifard, A., *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, arXiv: 0712.2072.
- [3] Bade, W. G., Curtis, P. C., Dales, H. G., *Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **55**(1987), no. 2, 359-377.
- [4] Bonsall, F.F., Duncan, J., *Complete normed algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [5] Choi, Y., Ghahramani, F., *Approximate amenability of Schatten classes, Lipschitz algebras and second duals of Fourier algebras*, Quart. J. Math., **62**(2011), no. 1, 39-58.
- [6] Choi, Y., Ghahramani, F., Zhang, Y., *Approximate and pseudo-amenability of various classes of Banach algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **256**(2009), 3158-3191.
- [7] Dales, H. G., *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Math. Society Monographs, Volume 24, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [8] Dales, H.G., Ghahramani, F., Helemskii, A. Ya., *Amenability of measure algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., **66**(2002), no. 2, 213-226.
- [9] Dales, H.G., Loy, R.J., Zhang, Y., *Approximate amenability for Banach sequence algebras*, Studia Math., **177**(2006), no. 1, 81-96.
- [10] Forrest, B.B., *Amenability and bounded approximate identities in ideals of $A(G)$* , Illinois J. Math., **34**(1990), 1-25.
- [11] Ghahramani, F., Loy, R.J., *Generalized notion of amenability*, J. Funct. Anal., **208**(2004), 229-260.
- [12] Ghahramani, F., Loy, R.J., Zhang, Y., *Generalized notion of amenability II*, J. Funct. Anal., **7**(2008), 1776-1810.
- [13] Ghahramani, F., Stokke, R., *Approximate and pseudo-amenability of $A(G)$* , Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **56**(2007), 909-930.

- [14] Ghahramani, F., Zhang, Y., *Pseudo-amenable and psuedo-contractible Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **142**(2007), 111-123.
- [15] Hewitt, E., Ross, K.A., *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Volume I, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [16] Johnson, B.E., *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **127**(1972).
- [17] Johnson, B.E., *Non-amenableity of the Fourier algebra of a compact group*, J. London Math., **50**(1994), 361-374.
- [18] Kaniuth, E., Lau, A.T., Pym, J., *On φ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **144**(2008), 85-96.
- [19] Kaniuth, E., Lau, A.T., Pym, J., *On character amenability of Banach algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **344**(2008), 942-955.
- [20] Kelley, J.L., *General topology*, American Book, Van Nostrand, Reinhold, 1969.
- [21] Monfared, M.S., *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **144**(2008), 697-706.
- [22] Reiter, H., Stegeman, J.D., *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups*, London Math. Soc. Mono. Ser. Volume 22, Oxford, 2000.
- [23] Rudin, W., *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1973.
- [24] Schaefer, H.H., *Topological vector spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [25] Shi, L.Y., Wu, Y.J., Ji, Y.Q., *Generalized notion of character amenability*, arXiv:1008.5252v1, 2010.
- [26] Willis, G.A., *Approximate units in finite codimensional ideals of group algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., **26**(1982), no. 2, 143-154.

Ali Jabbari

Department of Mathematics, Ardabil Branch

Islamic Azad University, Ardabil, Iran

e-mail: jabbari_al@yahoo.com