

# On $n$ -weak amenability of a non-unital Banach algebra and its unitization

Mohammad Reza Yegan

**Abstract.** In [2] the authors asked if a non-unital Banach Algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is weakly amenable whenever its unitization  $\mathfrak{A}^\sharp$  is weakly amenable and whether  $\mathfrak{A}^\sharp$  is 2-weakly amenable whenever  $\mathfrak{A}$  is 2-weakly amenable. In this paper we give a partial solutions to these questions.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 46B99, 16E40.

**Keywords:**  $n$ -weak amenability, self-induced, unitization.

## 1. Introduction

The notion of  $n$ -weak amenability for a Banach algebra was introduced by Dales, Ghahramani and Grønbaek in [2]. The Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called  $n$ -weakly amenable if  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}) = (0)$ , where  $\mathfrak{A}^{(n)}$  refers to the  $n$ -th dual of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Also  $\mathfrak{A}$  is permanently weakly amenable if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $n$ -weakly amenable for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [2] the authors proved the following (Proposition 1.4):

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-unital Banach algebra, and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(i) Suppose  $\mathfrak{A}^\sharp$  is  $2n$ -weakly amenable. Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $2n$ -weakly amenable.

(ii) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable. Then  $\mathfrak{A}^\sharp$  is  $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable.

(iii) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is commutative. Then  $\mathfrak{A}^\sharp$  is  $n$ -weakly amenable if and only if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $n$ -weakly amenable.

In this paper we consider the converses to (i) and (ii) and give partial solutions to them. Let us recall some definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** ([6]) A Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module  $\mathbf{X}$  is called neo-unital if for each  $x \in \mathbf{X}$  there are  $a, a' \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $y, y' \in \mathbf{X}$  with  $x = ay = y'a'$ .

**Definition 1.2.** ([3]) A Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called self-induced if  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A}$  are naturally isomorphic.

Here  $\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A} = \frac{\mathfrak{A} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{A}}{\mathbf{K}}$  where  $\mathbf{K}$  is the closed linear span of  $\{ab \otimes c - a \otimes bc : a, b, c \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ .

Now we proceed to state and prove our theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a non-unital Banach algebra and suppose that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is self-induced.*

- (i) *If  $\mathfrak{A}^\#$  is  $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $(2n - 1)$ -weakly amenable.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $2n$ -weakly amenable then  $\mathfrak{A}^\#$  is  $2n$ -weakly amenable.*
- (iii)  $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}) \cong \mathcal{H}^2(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n)})$

*Proof.* Clearly  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a closed two-sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}^\#$  with codimension one. We consider the corresponding short exact sequence and its iterated duals. That is,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{A}^\# \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $i : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^\#$  defined by  $a \mapsto (a, 0)$  and  $\varphi : \mathfrak{A}^\# \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $(a, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda$ .

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n-1)} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{(2n-1)} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.1}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.2}$$

It is easy to see that  $i$  is an isometric isomorphism and  $\varphi$  is a character on  $\mathfrak{A}^\#$  with  $\ker \varphi = \mathfrak{A}$ . Then we make  $\mathbb{C}$  a module over  $\mathfrak{A}^\#$ . Indeed,

$$z \cdot (a, \lambda) = (a, \lambda) \cdot z = \varphi(a, \lambda)z = \lambda z$$

where  $(a, \lambda) \in \mathfrak{A}^\#$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Now consider the long exact sequence of cohomology groups concerning to (1.1). That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n-1)}) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n-1)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(m+1)}(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Obviously  $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(n)}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are unital Banach  $\mathfrak{A}^\#$ -bimodules. So by [4, Theorem 2.3] we have,

$$\mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n-1)}) \cong \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{2n-1}). \tag{1.4}$$

Therefore by substituting (1.4) in (1.3) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n-1)}) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n-1)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(m+1)}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is self-induced then  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) = (0)$  [4, Lemma 2.5] (note that  $\mathbb{C}$  is an annihilator  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule). Hence by sequence (1.5) we obtain,

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n-1)}) \cong \mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n-1)}).$$

Obviously (i) holds.

For (ii) consider the long exact sequence of cohomology groups corresponding to the short exact sequence (1.2). That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n)}) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

Like before we have,

$$\mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}) \cong \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}). \tag{1.7}$$

By substituting (1.7) in (1.6) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \dots &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^m(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \\ &\mathcal{H}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n)}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{m+1}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

Now if  $\mathfrak{A}$  is  $2n$ -weakly amenable then self-inducement of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and (1.8) imply

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n)}) = (0).$$

So (ii) holds.

For (iii) self-inducement of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and (1.8) imply

$$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}^{(2n)}) \cong \mathcal{H}^2(\mathfrak{A}^\#, \mathfrak{A}^{\#(2n)})$$

□

A special case occurs when the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a left(right) bounded approximate identity. In this case we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.4.** *If the Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a left(right) bounded approximate identity then the theorem holds.*

*Proof.* By [5, Proposition II.3.13]  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^2$  given by  $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$  is a topological isomorphism. By [1, §11, corollary 11]  $\mathfrak{A}^2 = \mathfrak{A}$ . So  $\mathfrak{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A} \cong \mathfrak{A}$ . That is  $\mathfrak{A}$  is self-induced. Hence the theorem holds. □

## References

- [1] Bonsall, F.F., Duncan, J., *Complete normed algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1973.
- [2] Dales, H.G., Ghahramani, F., Gronbæk, N., *Derivations into iterated duals of Banach algebras*, *Studia Mathematica*, **128**(1998), no. 1.
- [3] Gronbæk, N., *Morita equivalence for self-induced Banach algebras*, *Houston J. Math.*, **22**(1996), 109-140.
- [4] Gronbæk, N., Lau, A.T.M., *On Hochschild cohomology of the augmentation ideal of a locally compact group*, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 1999, 126-139.
- [5] Helemsky, A.Ya., *The Homology of Banach and Topological algebras*, Translated from the Russian, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1989.
- [6] Johnson, B.B., *Cohomology in Banach algebras*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127**(1973).

Mohammad Reza Yegan  
Mohammad Reza Yegan, Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Management and Accounting, Qazvin Branch  
Islamic Azad University, Qazvin, Iran  
e-mail: [mryegan@yahoo.com](mailto:mryegan@yahoo.com)