# DIAGNOSIS OF IMAGES OF HUMAN BEHAVIOUR

#### AMR A. BADR

ABSTRACT. An analysis of single photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) images was carried out. The purpose is to determine the activities of the different lobes of the brain to classify human behaviour. Pulse-Coupled Neural networks (PCNNs) were used to get the signature of activity in each lobe. This was then used by a k-NN classifier and fuzzy-like rules for diagnosis. Genetic programming was used to evolve a diagnostic grammar which is used for syntactic and semantic diagnosis. Several test cases were used to verify the proposed scheme giving high diagnosis accuracy.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

SPECT is an acronym for Single Photon Emission Computerized Tomography. It is a sophisticated nuclear medicine study that looks directly at cerebral blood flow and indirectly at brain activity (or metabolism). In this study, a radioactive isotope is bound to a substance that is readily taken up by the cells in the brain. A small amount of this compound is injected into the patient's vein where it runs throughout the blood stream and is taken up by certain receptors sites in the brain. The patient then lies for 14-16 minutes while a SPECT gamma camera rotates slowly around his head. The camera has special crystals that detects where the compound has gone. A supercomputer then reconstructs 3-D images of brain activity levels. The elegant brain snapshots that result offer a sophisticated blood flow/ metabolism brain map. With these maps, physicians are able to identify certain patterns of brain activity that correlate with psychiatric and neurological illnesses. [1]

SPECT studies can be displayed in different kinds. One kind is a 3D 'surface images', looking at the blood flow of the brains cortical surface. These

Received by the editors: March 12, 2009.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 68T10.

<sup>1998</sup> CR Categories and Descriptors. I.5.2 [PATTERN RECOGNITION]: Design Methodology – Pattern analysis; I.4.9 [IMAGE PROCESSING AND COMPUTER VISION]: Applications.

Key words and phrases. SPECT Imaging, Pulse-Coupled Neural Networks, k-NN classifier, Genetic Programming, Grammar.

images are helpful for picking up cortical surface area of good activity as well as low-active areas. They are helpful to look at strokes, brain trauma, effect of drug abuse, etc. A normal 3D surface scan shows good, full, symmetrical activity across the brain's cortical surface. The other kind is a 3D 'active brain image'. These images are helpful for picking up areas of HIGH activity, as seen in active seizures, obsessive compulsive disorder, anxiety problems, certain forms of depression, etc. A normal 3D active scan shows increased activity in the back of the brain (cerebellum or occipital cortex) and average activity everywhere else. Physicians are usually altered that smoothing is wrong in one of three ways:

- a. HIGH activity in certain area
- b. LOW activity in certain area
- c. Asymmetrical area of activity which ought to be symmetrical.

In this paper, intelligent techniques for classification, diagnosis, imaging and understanding were used for the purpose of diagnosis and analysis of images of human behaviour. Similar decision support systems for medical image diagnosis can be found in [2, 4, 5, 6, 10, 15, 16].

### 2. A prologue to SPECT Imaging

2.1. Anatomy of Brain. The human brain is anatomically divided into four main lobes namely: the Frontal lobe, the Parietal lobe, the Occipital lobe, and the Temporal lobe and the cerebellum (Fig.1). The SPECT brain radiologist looks for levels of activity in these lobes mainly. [3]



FIGURE 1. Brain Anatomy

2.2. Brain SPECT Views. There are three main views for SPECT imaging: [3]

a. Horizontal View (transaxial)

The brain is viewed in horizontal slices, cut from top to bottom. It is as if you are looking down from a bird's eye view. Fig 2(a)

b. Coronal View (front on view)

The brain is viewed in vertical slices, cut from front to back. It is as if you are looking face on or front on to the brain. Fig 2(b)

c. Sagittal View (side to side)

The brain is viewed in vertical slices, cut from side to side. It is as if you are looking at brain from the side. Fig 2(c)



FIGURE 2. (a) horizontal view (Transaxial). (b) Coronal View (Front on View). (c) Sagittal View (Side to Side)

2.3. Normal 3D Brain Images. As stated above, there are two main brain SPECT views: the surface view and the active view. For a normal brain, the surface view shows smooth distribution of dye in the top-down, front-on, underside and side surface views. Fig 3

The active view shows the regions of activity in anatomical brain lobe with a 3D reconstruction of the images. Fig 4

### 3. PROPOSED SPECT DIAGNOSIS SCHEMES

Two schemes are adopted in this paper. The first uses fuzzy rules to correctly classify the symptom. The second evolves a diagnostic grammar.

The first scheme goes through several phases of image processing and pattern recognition to correctly diagnosis the disorder.

First, the input image whether surface or active view is resized to a particular size. Then the 3D resized coloured image is converted into a 2D grayscale



FIGURE 3. (a) Top - Down Surface View. (b) Front - On Surface Views. (c) Underside Surface View. (d) Side Surface View.



FIGURE 4. (a) Top - down active view. (b) Front - on Active View. (c) Underside Active View. (d) Side Active View

image. The features of the image are determined using the freeman chain code to clarify the brain orientation (horizontal, coronal, or Sagittal). These features are passed to a k-NN classifier [13] for exact determination of the orientation. A 'mask' image is then 'ANDed' to the original image to separate the particular brain lobe. Next, a matrix for each lobe's image and run through a pulse-coupled Neural Network [11] for 100 iterations, so as to get a unique 'signature' that is then used by the k-NN classifier again to identify the state of the brain lobe, whether low, high or normal activity. A set of diagnostic fuzzy-like rules are induced for classification of the brain disorder. To summarize the scheme:

- 1. Surface or active view input image is resized.
- 2. Convert 3D color image to 2D grayscale image.
- 3. Apply freeman- chain code for feature extraction.
- 4. Classify features using k-NN classifier.
- 5. 'AND' a mask image to input image for lobes' separation.
- 6. Apply PCNN to get signature of each lobe.
- 7. Classify signature using k-NN again (Low, High, Normal).

- 8. Build fuzzy like rules for disorder diagnosis.
- 9. If image disorder set is finished then stop else goto 1.

The second scheme adopted genetic programming (GP) [8, 9, 12] to evolve an image-understanding diagnostic grammar [12, 14]. It goes through the same steps as above, but replaces step 8 by the application of GP as will be explained.

### 3.1. Feature Extraction of SPECT Views.

3.1.1. Freeman Chain Code. To determine the brain orientation whether topdown, side, underside or front-on view, contour information is needed. This is performed by Freeman Chain Code. It is an ordered sequence of n links  $C_k$ , where  $C_k$  is a vector connecting neighbouring contour pixels. The directions of  $C_k$  are coded with integer values k = 0, 1, ..., k-1 in a contour clockwise sense. A simple example is depicted in Fig 5. Fig 5(a) shows the directions of the eight connected chain code (K = 8). Fig 5 (b) is a sample object with chain code presentation in Fig 5 (c).



FIGURE 5. (a) The directions of the eight Connected chain code (K=8). (b) A sample object, a square. (c) Chain code presentation of the square

The pseudocode for the Freeman Chain Code is as follows:

- 1. For i = Starting row index + 1 till image's matrix rows no.
- 2. For  $j = \text{Starting} \_ \text{column} \_ \text{index} + 1$  till image's matrix columns no.
- 3. Get the coordinates (i, j) of the first pixel that is not black.
- 4. End For
- 5. End For
- 6. Get the contour from the resulting coordinates.
- 7. Initialize 8 counters for 8 directions by 0.
- 8. For k = 1 till the number of rows of the contour
- 9. Get the direction of the pixel by comparing its coordinates to the coordinates of its following pixel
- 10. Increment the contour of the resulting direction by 1
- 11. End For

- 12. Normalize contours
- 13. Return a vector of normalized contours.

3.1.2. k-NN Classifier. The k-NN (K-Nearest Neighbour Algorithm) is a method for classifying objects based on closest training examples in the feature space [13]. In our case, the feature space is 8-dimensional since the number of features from chain code is 8. The training examples are vectors in multi-dimensional feature space. The space is partitioned into regions by locations and labels of the training samples. The algorithm pseudocode is as follows:

- 1. Load the training samples in vectors
- 2. Get feature vector of image
- 3. MinDist =  $100\ 000$
- 4. For i = 1 till number of rows of sample vector
- 5. For j = 1 till number of columns of sample vector
- 6. Get a training feature vector
- 7. End For
- 8. Get the Euclidean distance between image's feature vector and training vector
- 9. if distance < MinDist
- 10. MinDist = distance
- 11. Class = i
- 12. End For
- 13. According to the ordering of training samples, class will indicate corresponding view

3.2. **Pulse-Coupled Neural Network (PCNN).** The Eckhorn model of PCNNs is used. It is based on the cat visual cortex. The model can be abstracted into the following five time dependent equations: [11]

1. 
$$F_{xy}(t) = F_{xy}(t - \Delta t)e^{-\alpha_F \Delta t} + S_{xy} + \sum_{x'y'} W^F_{x'y' \to xy} Y_{x'y'}(t - \Delta t)$$

2. 
$$L_{xy}(t) = L_{xy}(t - \Delta t)e^{-\alpha_L} + \sum_{x'y'} W^L_{x'y' \to xy} Y_{x'y'}(t - \Delta t)$$

3. 
$$U_{xy}(t) = F_{xy}(t) (1 + \beta L_{xy}(t))$$

4. 
$$Y_{xy}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U_{xy}(t) > \theta_{xy}(t - \Delta t) \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

5. 
$$\theta_{xy}(t) = \theta_{xy}(t - \Delta t)e^{-\alpha_{\theta}} + W^{\theta} Y_{xy}(t)$$

The F, L, U, Y and  $\theta$  are the activations of the five different neuron - types in the model. The  $\alpha_F$ ,  $\alpha_L$  and  $\alpha_{\theta}$  are the decaying constants of the respective neuron - types; the  $W^F$ ,  $W^L$  and  $W^{\theta}$  are the feedback weights from the output Y to the feeding neurons (F), linking neurons (L) and dynamic threshold neurons ( $\theta$ ) respectively.

For the feeding and linking neurons the weights are four-dimensional matrices and finally the S is the stimuli or image input. The signal-flow between the processing elements (neurons) is illustrated in Fig 6.



FIGURE 6. Pulse-Coupled Neural Network

Normally, one feeding neuron per pixel is used. Five matrices of the same dimensions of the image store intermediate results for discrete time steps t = 0, 1, ..., K. the output of the PCNN is a series of binary images. When an output neuron goes high or 'fires', its dynamic threshold  $\theta$  is significantly increased, then decays exponentially and after a couple of iterations the neuron can fire again. This pulsating behaviour is characteristic of the PCNN. The signature of a lobe image is a series of 100 elements each is the number of ones in binary output images for K iterations.

3.3. Genetic Programming Evolution of Diagnostic Grammar. The problem of grammar induction involves recognizing patterns existing in a sequence of data.

In summary, the genetic programming paradigm breeds grammars to solve problems by executing the following three steps:

- 1. Generate an initial population of random compositions of grammars.
- 2. Iteratively perform the following substeps until termination criterion has been satisfied.

- (a) Execute each grammar in the population and assign it a fitness value
- (b) Create a new population of grammars by applying the following two primary operations:
  - (i) Copy existing grammars to new population
  - (ii) Create new grammars by genetically recombining randomly chosen parts of two existing grammars.
- 3. The best grammar that appeared in any generation (i.e. the best-so-far individual) is designated as the result of genetic programming.

# 4. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

4.1. Fuzzy Rules for Diagnosis. The following fuzzy rules are deduced and used for diagnostic purpose:

1.	IF Frontal _ lobe _ activity Parietal _ lobe _ activity Temporal _ lobe _ activity Occipital _ lobe _ activity	= H AND = N AND = N AND = N AND THEN Diagnosis = Stroke.
2.	IF Frontal _ lobe _ activity Parietal _ lobe _ activity Temporal _ lobe _ activity Occipital _ lobe _ activity	= L AND = L AND = L AND = N AND THEN Diagnosis = Trauma_Induced_ADD.
3.	IF Frontal _ lobe _ activity Parietal _ lobe _ activity Temporal _ lobe _ activity Occipital _ lobe _ activity Gyrus activity	= H AND = N AND = H AND = N AND = H THEN Diagnosis = Ring_of_Fire_ADD.
4.	$ \begin{array}{l} \text{IF Frontal\_lobe\_activity} = L \\ \text{Parietal\_lobe\_activity} = N \\ \text{Temporal\_lobe\_activity} = L \\ \text{Occipital\_lobe\_activity} = N \end{array} \end{array} $	AND AND AND THEN Diagnosis = Marijuana_Abuse.
5.	IF Frontal _ lobe _ activity Parietal _ lobe _ activity Temporal _ lobe _ activity	= H AND $= H AND$ $= H AND$

	Occipital _ lobe _ activity	= H AND THEN Diagnosis = Heroin_Abuse.
6.	IF Frontal _ lobe _ activity Parietal _ lobe _ activity Temporal _ lobe _ activity Occipital _ lobe _ activity hol_Abuse.	= L AND = L AND = L AND = L AND THEN Diagnosis = Alco-
7.	IF Frontal _ lobe _ activity Parietal _ lobe _ activity Temporal _ lobe _ activity Occipital _ lobe _ activity nia.	= L AND = L AND = N AND = L AND THEN Diagnosis = Schizophre-
8.	IF Frontal _ lobe _ activity Parietal _ lobe _ activity Temporal _ lobe _ activity Occipital _ lobe _ activity	= N AND = N AND = H AND = N AND THEN Diagnosis = Anxiety.

4.2. **Grammars for Diagnosis.** The following grammars were evolved using Genetic Programming:

1. SYMPTOM  $\rightarrow$  STROKE STROKE  $\rightarrow$  FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY  $\rightarrow$  H PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY  $\rightarrow$  N TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY  $\rightarrow$  N

2. SYMPTOM  $\rightarrow$  TRAUMA\_INDUCED\_ADD TRAUMA\_INDUCED\_ADD  $\rightarrow$  FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY

FRONTAL_LOBE_ACTIVITY	$\rightarrow L$
PARITAL_LOBE_ACTIVITY	$\rightarrow \mathrm{L}$

OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY  $\rightarrow N$ 

3. SYMPTOM  $\rightarrow$  RING\_OF\_FIRE\_ADD RING\_OF\_FIRE\_ADD  $\rightarrow$  FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY

4. SYMPTOM  $\rightarrow$  MARIJUANA\_ABUSE MARIJUANA\_ABUSE  $\rightarrow$  FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY

5. SYMPTOM  $\rightarrow$  HEROIN\_ABUSE HEROIN\_ABUSE  $\rightarrow$  FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY

## and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY

7. SYMPTOM  $\rightarrow$  SCHIZOPHRENIA SCHIZOPHRENIA  $\rightarrow$  FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY

8. SYMPTOM  $\rightarrow$  ANXIETY ANXIETY  $\rightarrow$  FRONTAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and PARITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and TEMPORAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY and OCCIPITAL\_LOBE\_ACTIVITY

### 4.3. Test Cases.

### 4.3.1. Test case 1.

- 1. Inputs SPECT image in Fig 7(a)
- 2. Processing
  - (a) Converting the 3D image into gray scale 2D image Fig 7 (b)
  - (b) Determining the brains orientation  $\rightarrow$  Result: Top-Down
  - (c) According to given view and orientation, extract the appropriate lobes of the brain

Result: Extracted lobes in fig 7 (c), (d), (e)

(d) Get the time signature for each lobe

AMR A. BADR



FIGURE 7. (a) SPECT image (View Type: Surface). (b) Gray Scale Image. (c) Occipital lobe. (d) Parietal lobe (e) Frontal lobe

Result for Occipital lobe:

#### Result for Parietal lobe:

 $\begin{array}{c} 130000\ 0\ 11398\ 21342\ 6811\ 22759\ 14532\ 19795\ 15426\ 24249\ 12567\\ 26529\ 12643\ 26270\ 17750\ 20624\ 20820\ 21350\ 19881\ 19640\ 22214\\ 22081\ 21409\ 21695\ 18515\ 24196\ 24141\ 18772\ 22918\ 20247\ 24311\\ 22484\ 22349\ 23206\ 24939\ 21533\ 25598\ 22042\ 26207\ 24252\ 21279\\ 25309\ 27040\ 21616\ 26374\ 24257\ 22876\ 27154\ 61187\ 23650\ 24696\\ 20439\ 23147\ 20789\ 21632\ 23095\ 22984\ 22115\ 23057\ 22514\ 21124\\ 21429\ 23608\ 23769\ 22153\ 23133\ 24627\ 23510\ 22108\ 23333\ 23341\\ 24636\ 23338\ 22436\ 26678\ 23127\ 22314\ 23732\ 24311\ 24486\ 24017\\ 24280\ 24082\ 24234\ 23143\ 23242\ 24736\ 24755\ 22467\ 24881\ 24527\\ 24928\ 26135\ 22689\ 24459\ 25034\ 42342\ 24864\ 24090\ 23546\\ \hline Result\ for\ Frontal\ lobe: \end{array}$ 

- (e) Compare time signatures' values of existing data to determine their abnormality level
  <u>Result:</u>
  Occipital : Low
  Parietal : Low
  Frontal : Low
- (f) Apply the rules that identify the disorders. *Result:* Schizophrenia
- 3. The result of the test case Success in detecting the abnormalities then the disorder accordingly
- 4. Parse Tree of test case 1 As shown in Fig (8)



FIGURE 8. Parse Tree of Test Case 1

4.3.2. Test case 2.

1. Inputs

SPECT image 1 in Fig 9(a) SPECT image 2 in Fig 9(b)

2. Processing

- (a) Converting the 3D image into gray scale 2D image Fig 9 (c), (d)
- (b) Determining the brain's orientation
  - Result: For the first image fig 9 (c): Side For the second image fig 9 (d): Top-Down

Result: Extracted lobes in Fig 9 (e), (f), (g), (h)

### For the first image 9(a)

According to given view and orientation, extract the appropriate lobes of the brain.

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}$ 

FIGURE 9. (a) first input image. (b) Second Input Image. (c) Grayscale image for first input image. (d) Grayscale image for second input image. (e) Temporal lobe for first image. (f) Parietal lobe for first image. (g) Frontal lobe for first image. (h) Occipital Lobe for first image. (i) Frontal lobe for second image. (j) Parietal Lobe for second image. (k) Occipital Lobe for second image.

(c) Compare time signatures' values of existing data to determine their abnormality level
 <u>Result:</u>
 Temporal :Low
 Occipital :Normal

Parietal :Normal Frontal :Low

For the second image 9(b)

According to given view and orientation, extract the appropriate lobes of the brain. Result: Extracted lobes in fig 9 (i), (j), (k)

- (d) Compare time signatures' values of existing data to determine their abnormality level
  <u>Result:</u>
  Occipital: Normal
  Parietal : Low
  Frontal : Low
- (e) Apply the rules that identify the disorders. Result: Trauma Induced ADD

# 3. The result of the test case Success in detecting the abnormalities then the disorder accordingly

4. Parse Tree of test case 2 As shown in Fig (10)



FIGURE 10. Parse Tree of Test Case 2

- 1. Inputs SPECT image in Fig 11 (a)
- 2. Processing
  - (a) Converting the 3D image into gray scale 2D image Fig 11 (b)
  - (b) Determining the brains orientation  $\rightarrow$  Result: Underside

<sup>4.3.3.</sup> Test case 3.

(c) According to given view and orientation, extract the appropriate lobes of the brain

Result: Extracted lobes in fig 11 (c), (d), (e)



FIGURE 11. (a) SPECT Image (View Type: Active). (b)Grayscale Image. (c)Frontal lobe. (d)Temporal lobe. (e)Occipital lobe

- (d) Compare time signatures' values of existing data to determine their abnormality level <u>Result:</u> Temporal : High Frontal : Normal Occipital : Normal
- (e) Apply the rules that identify the disorders. Result: Anxiety
- 3. The result of the test case

Success in detecting the abnormalities then the disorder accordingly

4. Parse Tree of test case 3 As shown in Fig (12)



FIGURE 12. Parse Tree of Test Case 3

#### 5. Conclusion

A classification and analysis scheme for human behaviour images is proposed. The analysis is dependent on the determination of activities in different brain lobes fuzzy-like rules and grammars were used for diagnosis. Pulse-Coupled Neural Networks were adopted to generate signatures of lobes' activities. Genetic Programming was used to evolve diagnostic grammars. The proposed scheme proved to be accurate and efficient in diagnosis human behaviour.

#### References

- Daniel G. Amen, Images of human behaviour: A Brain SPECT Atlas, Brain Imaging Division, Amen Clinics, Inc. for Behavioural Medicine, 2008.
- [2] Ayache N, Medical image analysis: A Challenge for Computer Vision Research. In: proc 14th Int Conf Pattern Recognition 2: 1255-1256, 1998.
- [3] J. Douglas Bremmer, Brain Imaging Handbook, W. W. Norton & Company, 2005.
- [4] Chabat F, Hansel DM, and Yang GZ: CT:Lung Image Classification with Correction for Perfusion Gradient. In: Proc 7th Int Conf image Processing and its Applications (IPA'99), pp. 402-407, 1999.
- [5] Doi K, Giger ML, Nishikawa RM, Hoffmann KR, and MacMahon H: Potential Usefulness of Digital Imaging in Clinical Diagnostic Radiology: Computer-aided diagnosis, J digital Imag 8: 2-7, 1995.

- [6] Katsuragawa S, Doi K, MacMahon H, Monnier-Cholly L, Ishida T, and Kobayashi T: Classification of Normal and Abnormal Lungs with Interstitial Diseases by Rule-Based Method and Artificial Neural Networks. J digital Imag 108-114, 1997.
- [7] Sunil K. Kopparapu, Uday B. Desai, *Bayesian Approach to Image Interpretation*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [8] John R. Koza, Genetic Programming: on The Programming of Computers by Means of Natural Selection, MIT Press, 1992.
- [9] John R. Koza, Genetic Programming II: Automatic Discovery of Reusable Programs, MIT Press, 1994.
- [10] Krupinski EA, Nodine CF, and Kundel HL: A Perceptually Based Method for Enhancing Pulmonary Nodules Recognition. Investigative Radiol 28: 289-294, 1993.
- [11] T. Lindblad, J. M. Kinser, Image Processing Using Pulse-Coupled Neural Networks, Springer, 2005.
- [12] Michael O'Neill, Conor Ryan, Grammatical Evolution: Evolutionary Automatic Programming in an Arbitrary Language, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 2003.
- [13] Robert Schalkoff, Pattern Recognition: Statistical, Structural and Neural Approaches, Wiley, 1992.
- [14] Ryszard Tadeusiewicz, Marek R. Ogiela, Medical Image Understanding Technology: Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing for Image Understanding, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, Springer, 2004.
- [15] Taylor P, Fox J, and Todd-Pokropek A: Computer aids for Decision-Making in Radiology in: proc IEEE Colloquium on Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, pp. 511-513, 1996.
- [16] Tu S, Shanar Y, Dawes J, Winkles J, Puerta A, and Musen N: A Problem-Solving Model for Episodic Skeletal-Plan Refinement. Knowledge Acquisition 4: 197-216, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF COMPUTERS AND INFORMATION, CAIRO UNIVERSITY, EGYPT

*E-mail address*: ruaab@rusys.eg.net