#### **SYLLABUS**

## 1. Information regarding the programme

| 1.1 Higher education institution | Babes-Bolyai University          |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.2 Faculty                      | Mathematics and Computer Science |
| 1.3 Department                   | Computer Science                 |
| 1.4 Field of study               | Computer Science                 |
| 1.5 Study cycle                  | Master                           |
| 1.6 Study programme /            | Component based programming      |
| Qualification                    |                                  |

### 2. Information regarding the discipline

| 2.1 Name of the discipline     Design of interactive software systems |   |              |   |              |   |             |          |
|---|---|--------------|---|--------------|---|-------------|----------|
| 2.2 Course coordinatorPh. D. Lecturer Adriana-Mihaela Guran           |   |              |   |              |   |             |          |
| 2.3 Seminar coordinatorPh. D. Lecturer Adriana-Mihaela                |   |              |   | aela Guran   |   |             |          |
| 2.4. Year of  | 1 | 2.5 Semester | 2 | 2.6. Type of | E | 2.7 Type of | Optional |
| study   |   |              |   | evaluation   |   | discipline  |          |

### 3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

| 3.1 Hours per wee                      | k                         | 4      | Of which: 3    | .2 course   | 2     | 3.3 seminar/laboratory | 2  |
|--|---------------------------|--------|----------------|-------------|-------|------------------------|----|
| 3.4 Total hours in                     | the curriculum            | 56     | Of which: 3    | .5 course   | 28    | 3.6                    | 28 |
|  |                           |        |                |             |       | seminar/laboratory     |    |
| Time allotment:                        | Time allotment:           |        |                |             |       |                        |    |
| Learning using ma                      | anual, course support,    | bibli  | ography, cou   | rse notes   |       |                        | 40 |
| Additional docum                       | entation (in libraries, o | on ele | ectronic platf | orms, field | docum | nentation)             | 45 |
| Preparation for ser                    | minars/labs, homewor      | k, pa  | pers, portfoli | os and essa | ys    |                        | 24 |
| Tutorship 8                            |                           |        |                |             |       |                        | 8  |
| Evaluations 2                          |                           |        |                |             |       |                        | 2  |
| Other activities:                      |                           |        |                |             |       |                        |    |
| 3.7 Total individual study hours   119 |                           |        |                |             |       |                        |    |
| 3.8 Total hours 175                    |                           |        |                |             |       |                        |    |
| per semester                           |                           |        |                |             |       |                        |    |
| 3.9 Number of 7                        |                           |        |                |             |       |                        |    |
| ECTS credits                           |                           |        |                |             |       |                        |    |

### 4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

| 4.1. curriculum   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| 4.2. competencies |  |

## **5. Conditions** (if necessary)

| 5.1. for the course       | A room with Internet access and presentation devices |
|---------------------------|--|
| 5.2. for the seminar /lab | A room with computers and Internet access            |
| activities                |  |

# 6. Specific competencies acquired

| Professional competencies | <ul> <li>Proficient use of verification, validation, and evaluation criteria and methods to his/her own software solutions, ability to formulate value judgements and to justify/explain constructive decisions</li> <li>Use advanced skills to develop and conduct complex software projects, of practical and/or research nature, using a wide range of quantitative and qualitative methods</li> <li>Advanced communication skills within different professional environments, appropriate use of computer science vocabulary, good English knowledge</li> <li>Demonstrate advanced modeling skills for economic, industrial, scientific phenomena and processes, by using fundamental mathematical, statistical, and computer science knowledge</li> </ul> |
|---------------------------|--|
| Transversal competencies  | <ul> <li>Assimilation of mathematical concepts and formal models to understand, verify and validate software systems;</li> <li>Organization of software production processses</li> <li>Team work capabilities; able to fulfill different roles</li> <li>Professional communication skills; concise and precise description, both oral and written, of professional results,</li> <li>Antepreneurial skills;</li> </ul>   |

### 7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

| <u> </u>                      | (outcome of the acquired competencies)                                    |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 7.1 General objective of the  | • To understand and approach problems of modeling nature from             |  |  |  |
| discipline                    | other sciences  |  |  |  |
|                               | • To design/develop usable systems  |  |  |  |
|                               | • To be able to evaluate the usability of a system and to be able to find |  |  |  |
|                               | improvement methods regarding the usability of a system                   |  |  |  |
|                               | • To be aware of accessibility issues in systems design                   |  |  |  |
|                               | • To be able to develop multiplatform applications                        |  |  |  |
| 7.2 Specific objective of the | At the end of the semester students must be able to:                      |  |  |  |
| discipline                    |   |  |  |  |
|                               | • understand the human capacities in interaction with software            |  |  |  |
|                               | systems   |  |  |  |
|                               | • achieve knowledge of psychological aspects of                           |  |  |  |
|                               | human-computer interaction  |  |  |  |
|                               | numan-computer interaction  |  |  |  |
|                               | • understand the importance of real users in the development of           |  |  |  |
|                               | interactive systems   |  |  |  |
|                               |   |  |  |  |
|                               | • indentify the best communication methods with the clients               |  |  |  |
|                               | • use knowledge and models form sociological sciences in                  |  |  |  |
|                               |   |  |  |  |

| order to improve the communications with clients          |
|---|
| • be able to apply user centered design                   |
| • achieve usability related notions                       |
| • be able to apply automatic usability evaluation methods |
| • design and develop groupware systems                    |
| • apply interaction design patterns                       |
| • use interface abstract description languages            |
| develop plastic user interfaces                           |
| • develop adaptive and adaptative user interfaces         |

### 8. Content

| 8.1 Cours                | e  | Teaching methods  | Remarks |
|--------------------------|--|---|---------|
| 1. Intera<br>•<br>•<br>• | ction Design<br>What is ID?<br>HCI and ID<br>UCD and ID<br>Professions in ID   | Presentation,<br>discussions, case<br>studies, problem<br>solving |         |
| 2. Basics                | of Human-Computer Interaction <ul> <li>Human factor in HCI</li> <li>Input/output channels</li> <li>Capacities, limitations</li> <li>Computer</li> <li>Output devices</li> <li>Virtual reality and 3D devices</li> <li>Non-conventional interaction devices</li> <li>Usability</li> <li>Errors</li> </ul> | Presentation,<br>discussions, case<br>studies, problem<br>solving |         |
| 3. Intera                | <ul> <li>ction Design Process</li> <li>Interaction design lifecycle models <ul> <li>Needs and requirements</li> <li>Interaction models</li> <li>Interaction style</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | idem  |         |
| 4.                       | <ul> <li>Task Analysis</li> <li>Fundamentals of task analysis</li> <li>Task analysis methods: HTA, GTA</li> <li>Task analysis tools:EUTERPE, CTTE</li> <li>The Bridge Method</li> </ul>  | idem  |         |

| 5. Interdisciplinary approaches in Usability               | idem |
|--|------|
| Engineering – a focus on user needs analysis               |      |
| <ul> <li>Qualitative vs quantitative approaches</li> </ul> |      |
|  |      |
| A framework for early usability                            |      |
| integration in the development of                          |      |
| interactive softweare systems                              | : J  |
| 6. Conceptual Models in Interaction Design                 | idem |
| Conceptual models  |      |
| Metaphors  |      |
| Interaction modes  |      |
|  |      |
| 7. Prototyping vs. Pretotyping                             | idem |
| <ul> <li>prototyping</li> </ul>                            |      |
|  |      |
| pretotyping     case studies                               |      |
| case studies   |      |
| 8. Graphic elements in user interfaces                     | idem |
| 8. Graphic elements in user interfaces                     | laem |
| Basic graphic elements in UIs                              |      |
| Criteria and recommendations for graphic                   |      |
| elements   |      |
| <ul> <li>Focus, flow and layout in UI design</li> </ul>    |      |
|  |      |
| 9. Usability engineering & User experience                 | idem |
|  |      |
| What is usability?   |      |
| Designing for usability                                    |      |
| Usability engineering lifecycle                            |      |
| Usability metrics  |      |
| Measuring user experience                                  |      |
|  |      |
| 10. Web Usability& Mobile Usability                        | idem |
| Designing for web  |      |
| Designing for mobile                                       |      |
| 11. Designing for accessibility                            | idem |
|  |      |
| Disabilities   |      |
| Accessibility  |      |
| Accessibility APIs   |      |
| Accessibility evaluation                                   |      |
| 12. Designing Gestural Interfaces                          | idem |
| Gesture definition   |      |
| Gesture definition     Gesture in everyday life            |      |
| Designing interactive gestures                             |      |
|  |      |

| Interface conventions   |      |  |
|---|------|--|
| 13. Designing Social Interfaces   | idem |  |
| <ul> <li>History of computer mediated interaction</li> <li>Social network vs. social media</li> </ul> |      |  |
| 14. User Interface Testing  | idem |  |
| GUI Testing   |      |  |
| Model-based testing   |      |  |
| Web applications testing  |      |  |

Bibliography:

1. Alan Dix, Janet Finlay, Gregory D Abowd, Russell Beale - Human-Computer Interaction,

Prentice Hall, third edition, 2004

- 2. Donald A. Norman Emotional Design Why we love (or hate) everiday things, 2004
- 3. Martijn van Welie Task-based User Interface Design, 2001
- 4. Donald A Norman The design of everyday things, basic Books, 1988
- 5. Fabio Paterno Model-based design and evaluation of interactive applications, Springer, 1999
- 6. Jennifer Tidwell Designing Interfaces: Patterns for Effective Interaction Design, O@Reilly, 2005
- 7. Jacob Nielsen Usability Engineering, Academic Press, 1993
- 8. Marc Hassenzahl- Experience Design: Technology For All The Right Reason, Morgan & Claypool, 2010
- 9. Alberto Savoya Pretotyping IT, 2011

10.Tom Tullis, William Albert – Measuring the User Experience: Collecting, Analyzing, and Presenting Usability Metrics. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 2008

| 8.2 Seminar / laboratory                                  | Teaching methods | Remarks |
|---|------------------|---------|
| Students will have to choose a project subject refering a |                  |         |
| medium size application that will be developed using a    |                  |         |
| user centered approach (week 4). The project willl be     |                  |         |
| developed in teams of 3-5 members                         |                  |         |
|   |                  |         |
|   |                  |         |
| Project design and development :                          |                  |         |
| 1. Users identification                                   |                  |         |
| 2. Justify the need for the proposed product              |                  |         |
| 3. Functionalities identification (Week                   |                  |         |
| 4-Week 6)   |                  |         |
| 4. Building the conceptual model                          |                  |         |
| 5. Creating protoypes                                     |                  |         |

| 6. | Evaluating prototypes (Week 8)   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 7. | Applying the required changes to the prototype   |  |
| 8. | Usability testing (Week 11)  |  |
| 9. | Applying changes to the developed<br>product in order to be used by people<br>with disabilities/ designing an<br>non-conventional interaction method to<br>the product (Week 14) |  |

# **9.** Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

• Students will be able to design interaction based on a user centred approach and to evaluate the quality of their prototypes, enhancing the quality of the developed products. Students will optionally present a technical report on a subject in the domain of Interaction Design evaluated to at most 1 point from the final grade.

#### **10. Evaluation**

| Type of activity               | 10.1 Evaluation criteria  | 10.2 Evaluation methods   | 10.3 Share in the grade (%) |
|--------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| 10.4 Course                    |   |   |                             |
| 10.5 Seminar/lab<br>activities | Project – students will<br>be graded based on the<br>quality of their projects<br>and based on the<br>quality of their<br>technical reports (the<br>project will represent<br>90% of the final grade<br>and the technical report<br>will represent 10% of<br>the final grade).<br>Technical report<br>presentation is optional. | <ul> <li>Grading of the project will be<br/>done for every stage in<br/>project development, the<br/>final grade will be computed<br/>based on the following<br/>criteria:</li> <li>Use of appropriate<br/>methods to identify user<br/>needs (25%)</li> <li>Use of design principles<br/>(30%)</li> <li>Usability of the<br/>application (measured<br/>using a method from the<br/>literature) (30%)</li> <li>Accessibility (15%)</li> <li>Grading for the technical report</li> </ul> | 100%                        |

|   | <ul> <li>will be done based on the<br/>following criteria:</li> <li>State of the art in the<br/>approached subject</li> <li>Identification of new<br/>problems/solutions to be<br/>studied</li> <li>Quality of references</li> <li>Oral presentation</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 10.6 Minimum performance standards  |   |  |  |  |
| Students have to deliver a working software product that satisfies the client requirements. |   |  |  |  |

| Date          | Signature of course coordinator | Signature of seminar coordinator |
|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 17.04.2018    | Ph. D. Lecturer Adriana (       | Guran Ph. D. Lecturer            |
| Adriana Guran |                                 |                                  |

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

.....

Ph. D. Prof. Anca Andreica