SYLLABUS

1. Information regarding the programme			
1.1 Higher education	Babeş Bolyai University		
institution			
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science		
1.3 Department	Department of Computer Science		
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science		
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor		
1.6 Study programme /	Computer Science		
Qualification			

1. Information regarding the programme

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discip	oline (en)	Parallel and Distributed Programming				
(ro)			Programare Paralelă și Distribuită			
2.2 Course coordinator	r	Lect. PhD. Radu Lupşa				
2.3 Seminar coordinator		Lect. PhD. Radu Lupşa				
2.4. Year of study 3	2.5 Semester	5	2.6. Type of evaluation	E	2.7 Type of discipline	Compulsory
2.8 Code of the discipline1	MLE5077					

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

oratory 1/2/1
oratory 56
hours
15
10
21
10
10
-

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum		Programming Fundamentals, Object Oriented Programming, Data Structures and Algorithms, Operating Systems
4.2. competencies	●	Programming abilities

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	Lecture room with videoprojector
5.2. for the seminar /lab	Laboratory with workstations
activities	

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	The student should prove that he has a good level of knowledge understanding of the field and he is capable of showing the knowledge and can use them in problem solving using parallel and distributing programming.
Transversal competencies	 CT1 Application of organized and efficient work rules, of responsible attitudes towards the didactic and scientific domain, for the creative exploitation of their own potential according to the principles and rules of professional ethics CT3 Use of effective methods and techniques of learning, information, research and development of the capacity to exploit knowledge, to adapt to the requirements of a dynamic society and communication in Romanian language and in a foreign language.

7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	 Aquire the main concepts of concurrent, parallel and distributed programming; Basics of communication between processes and threads, on the same machine or on distinct machines; Knowing basic techniques of parallel programming; Knowing and using parallel application design patterns Knowing and using the existing frameworks for developing parallel and distributed applications
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	 Parallel architectures and parallel programming systems Know how to use parallel programming techniques in problem solving Know how to evaluate the performance increase obtained by parallelization Ability to work independent or in a team in order to solve problems in a parallel and/or distributed context

8. Content		
8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. General introduction:	Exposition, concepts,	
necessity to use parallelism	examples, case study.	
• concurrent vs. parallel vs. distributed computing		
levels of parallelism		
2. Parallel architectures:	Exposition, concepts,	
Pipeline	examples, case study.	

Vectorial machines	
Grid and cluster computers	
Supercomputers	
3. :	Exposition, concepts,
 Processes vs threads 	examples, case study.
Managing the processes/threads	
4. Concurrency concepts:	Exposition, concepts,
• race conditions, critical sections,	examples, case study.
mutual exclusion, deadlock	
• synchronizations: monitors,	
semaphores	
5. Models of parallelism:	Exposition, concepts,
• Implicit vs. explicit parallelism	examples, case study.
data parallelism	
• message-passing	
 shared memory 	
6. Parallel programming in shared memory: C	Exposition, concepts,
pthreads, C++ threads, Java threads, OpenMP	examples, case study.
7. Performance evaluation for parallel programs:	Exposition, concepts,
PRAM (Parallel Random Access Machine).	examples, case study.
Efficiency, cost, scalability.	
8. Parallel programming patterns:	Exposition, concepts,
• master-slaves	examples, case study.
• task farm / work pool	
 divide et impera 	
 pipeline 	
9. Message passing parallel programs. MPI	Exposition, concepts,
y. Message passing parallel programs. Mil I	examples, case study.
10. Phases in a parallel program: PCAM (Partition,	Exposition, concepts,
Communication, Aggregation, Mapping):	examples, case study.
 task decomposition 	
 domain (geometrical) decomposition 	
 granularity 	
degree of parallelism	
 task dependency 	
11. Parallel programs construction techniques:	Exposition, concepts,
 divide et impera 	examples, case study.
-	examples, case study.
 binary tree requiring double healt 	
recursive double-back	Expectition concents
12. Data parallel programming	Exposition, concepts,
12 CDCDU (Conorol Drococcing on the Crarbins)	examples, case study.
13. GPGPU (General Processing on the Graphical Processing Unit): OpenCL_CUDA	Exposition, concepts,
Processing Unit): OpenCL, CUDA	examples, case study.
14. Distributed file systems	Exposition, concepts,
Diblicgrophy	examples, case study.

Bibliography

http://www.cs.ubbcluj.ro/~rlupsa/edu/pdp/

1. Ian Foster. Designing and Building Parallel Programs, Addison-Wesley 1995.

2. Michael McCool, Arch Robinson, James Reinders, Structured Parallel Programming: Patterns for Efficient

Computation," Morgan Kaufmann,, 2012.

3. Berna L. Massingill, Timothy G. Mattson, and Beverly A. Sanders, Addison A Pattern Language for Parallel

Programming. Wesley Software Patterns Series, 2004.

4. Grama, A. Gupta, G. Karypis, V. Kumar. Introduction to Parallel Computing, Addison Wesley, 2003.
5. D. Grigoras. Calculul Paralel. De la sisteme la programarea aplicatiilor. Computer Libris Agora, 2000.
6. V. Niculescu. Calcul Paralel. Proiectare si dezvoltare formala a programelor paralele. Presa Univ. Clujana, 2006.

7. D.B. Skillicorn, D. Talia. Models and Languages for Parallel Computation. ACM Computer Surveys, 30(2) pg.123-136,

June 1998.

8. B. Wilkinson, M. Allen, Parallel Programming Techniques and Applications Using Networked Workstations and Parallel

Computers, Prentice Hall, 2002

9. E.F. Van de Velde. Concurrent Scientific Computing. Spring-Verlag, New-York Inc. 1994. 10. Boian F.M. Ferdean C.M., Boian R.F., Dragos R.C. Programare concurenta pe platforme Unix, Windows, Java. Ed.

Albastra, grupul Microinformatica, Cluj, 2002 .

11. ***, OpenMP Tutorials

12. ***, MPI Tutorials

13. ***, CUDA Tutorials

8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
S1 Threads vs processes		
S2 Concurrent programming		
S3 OpenMP		
S4 Parallel design patterns		
S5-S6 MPI		
S7 CUDA/OpenCL		
L1 Threads vs processes		
L2-L5 Concurrent programming C++, Java, C#		
L6-L7 OpenMP		
L8-L10 MPI		
L11-L14 CUDA/OpenCL		

Bibliography

1. Eckel, B., Thinking in Java, 4th Edition, New York: Prentice Hall, 2006.

2. Larman, C.: Applying UML and Design Patterns: An Introduction to OO Analysis and Design, Berlin: Prentice Hall,

2004.

3. Fowler, M., Patterns of Enterprise Application Architecture, Addison-Wesley, 2002.

4. E. Gamma, R. Helm, R. Johnson, J. Vlissides, Design Patterns – Elements of Reusable Object Oriented Software, Ed.

Addison Wesley, 1994.

5. Walls, Craig, Spring in Action, Third Edition, Ed. O'Reilley, 2011.

6. Kent Beck, Test Driven Development: By Example, Ed. Addison-Wesley Professional, 2002.

7. ***, http://download.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/

8. ***, http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/aa288436%28v=vs.71%29.aspx

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- The course follows ACM and IEEE recommendations for computer science studies
- The course is part of the curricula in all major universities, both local and abroad
- The software companies consider the course content important for acquiring advanced programming abilities.

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)		
10.4 Course	Knowing basic concepts	written exam	40%		
	Applying theoretical knowledge in problem solving	project	30%		
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	Applying theoretical knowledge in problem solving	evaluation of lab assignments	30%		
10.6 Minimum performance standards					
 At least 4.5 out of 10 for the written exam At least 4.5 out of 10 the average 					

 Date
 Signature of course coordinator
 Signature of seminar coordinator

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

.....

.....