#### **SYLLABUS**

1. Information regarding the programme			
1.1 Higher education	Babeş Bolyai University		
institution			
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science		
1.3 Department	Department of Computer Science		
1.4 Field of study	Computer Science		
1.5 Study cycle	Bachelor		
1.6 Study programme / Qualification	Computer Science		

#### **1. Information regarding the programme**

## 2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline (en)		Pragmatic issues in programming					
(ro)			(Aspecte pragmatice în programare)				
2.2 Course coordin	ator		Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa				
2.3 Seminar coordinator		Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa					
2.4. Year of study	3	2.5 Semester	5	2.6. Type of	С	2.7 Type of	Optional
				evaluation		discipline	
2.8 Code of the		MLE5056					
discipline							

#### 3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	4	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	1L +
				seminar/laboratory	1 <b>P</b>
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	56	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6	28
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course suppor	t, bib	liography, course notes	5		10
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					10
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					20
Tutorship					2
Evaluations 2					2
Other activities:					
3.7 Total individual study hours   44					
3.8 Total hours per semester		100			
3.9 Number of ECTS credits		4			

## 4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	•	Advanced programming methods
4.2. competencies	•	Average skills in programming.

# **5. Conditions** (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	•
5.2. for the seminar /lab	• Laboratory with computers; high level programming language
activities	environment (C++, Java, .NET, python)

#### 6. Specific competencies acquired

nal	C2.1 Identificarea de metodologii adecvate de dezvoltare a sistemelor software
essio oeten	C2.3 Utilizarea metodologiilor, mecanismelor de specificare ?i a mediilor de dezvoltare
Prof	
	CT1 Aplicarea regulilor de munca organizata si eficienta, a unor atitudini responsabile fata
	de domeniul didactic-stiintific, pentru valorificarea creativa a propriului potential, cu
	respectarea principiilor si a normelor de etica profesionala
al ties	CT3 Utilizarea unor metode si tehnici eficiente de învatare, informare, cercetare si
enc	dezvoltare a capacitatilor de valorificare a cunostintelor, de adaptare la cerintele unei
nsv pet	societati dinamice ?i de comunicare în limba româna ?i într-o limba de circula?ie
Tra	interna?ionala

# 7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	<ul> <li>General improvement of programming efficiency.</li> <li>Approach programming from a practical point of view.</li> </ul>
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	<ul> <li>Improve programming efficiency by using a disciplined approach;</li> <li>Be aware of the time-consuming tasks while programming and the tools and methods to avoid them.</li> </ul>

### 8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Development speed, long-term versus short-	Interactive exposure	
term speed. Complexity as the main asymptotic	Explanation	
slow-down factor. The role of a disciplined,	Conversation	
systematic approach.	Didactical	
	demonstration	
2. Programming discipline: Tracking changes and	Interactive exposure	
(automated) testing: goals, issues, best	Explanation	
practices.	Conversation	
	Didactical	
	demonstration	
3. Programming discipline: One Responsibility	Interactive exposure	
Rule principle, Don't Repeat Yourself	Explanation	

principle, Coupling and cohesion. Refactoring.	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
4. Programming discipline: code documentation.	Interactive exposure
Pre/post conditions, border cases, well-chosen	Explanation
identifiers, tools.	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
5. Programming discipline: Undefined behaviour,	Interactive exposure
implementation defined behaviour, premature	Explanation
optimization, good optimization.	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
6. Programming discipline: defensive	Interactive exposure
programming. assert() on pre/post conditions	Explanation
and invariants. Input data validation. Fail fast	Conversation
principle.	Didactical
	demonstration
7. Programming discipline: Input data validation,	Interactive exposure
efficient diagnosing of errors, secure code.	Explanation
	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
8. Testing and debugging techniques: IDE	Interactive exposure
debugger, assert(), core dumps, regression	Explanation
tests, logging and log filtering.	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
9. Patterns and techniques: Classes: value	Interactive exposure
semantic vs. object semantic. Immutable	Explanation
classes.	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
10. Patterns and techniques: Constructors,	Interactive exposure
destructors, resources and invariants. RAII.	Explanation
	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
11. Patterns and techniques: exceptions. Exception	Interactive exposure
safety levels.	Explanation
	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
12. Patterns and techniques: multi-threading	Interactive exposure
patterns.	Explanation
	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration
13. Source control tools and best practices	Interactive exposure
	Explanation
	Conversation

	Didactical
	demonstration
14. Continous integration tools and best practices	Interactive exposure
	Explanation
	Conversation
	Didactical
	demonstration

Bibliography

1. Michael Howard and David LeBlanc: *Writing Secure Code*, MicrosoftPress, 2003.

- 2. Herb Sutter, Andrei Alexandrescu: C++ Coding Standards: 101 Rules, Guidelines, and Best Practices. Addison-Wesley, 2010.
- 3. Martin Fowler and others: *Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code*. Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- 4. Robert C. Martin: Clean Code: A Handbook of Agile Software Craftsmanship. Prentice Hall.
- 5. Andrew Hunt, David Thomas: *The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master*. Addison-Wesley, 2000.
- 6. Marshall P. Cline, Greg Lomow, Mike Girou: C++ FAQs (2nd Edition). Addison-Wesley, 1999.

8.2 Seminar / laboratory	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Introduction, administrative issues. Code	Dialogue, debate,	
examples.	case study, guided	
	discovery	
2. Programming discipline: One Responsibility	Dialogue, debate,	
Rule principle, Don't Repeat Yourself	case study, guided	
principle, Coupling and cohesion. Refactoring.	discovery	
Code documentation. Pre/post conditions,		
border cases, well-chosen identifiers, tools.		
3. Programming discipline: Undefined behaviour,	Dialogue, debate,	
implementation defined behaviour, premature	case study, guided	
optimization, good optimization. Defensive	discovery	
programming. assert() on pre/post conditions		
and invariants. Input data validation. Fail fast		
principle.		
4. Programming discipline: Input data validation,	Dialogue, debate,	
efficient diagnosing of errors, secure code.	case study, guided	
lesting and debugging techniques: IDE	discovery	
debugger, assert(), core dumps, regression		
5 Detterne and techniquees Classes vielue	Diala ana dahata	
5. Patterns and techniques: Classes: value	Dialogue, debale,	
elesses. Constructors, destructors, resources	diagonary	
and invariants, PAIL	discovery	
6 Patterns and techniques: exceptions Exception	Dialogue debate	
safety levels Multi-threading natterns	case study guided	
salety levels. Mate including puterils.	discovery	
7 Programming discipline: Tracking changes and	Dialogue, debate	
(automated) testing.	case study. guided	
(	discovery	

Bibliography

- 7. Michael Howard and David LeBlanc: Writing Secure Code, MicrosoftPress, 2003.
- 8. Herb Sutter, Andrei Alexandrescu: C++ Coding Standards: 101 Rules, Guidelines, and Best Practices. Addison-Wesley, 2010.
- 9. Martin Fowler and others: Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code. Addison-Wesley, 1999.
- 10. Robert C. Martin: Clean Code: A Handbook of Agile Software Craftsmanship. Prentice Hall.
- 11. Andrew Hunt, David Thomas: *The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master*. Addison-Wesley, 2000.
- 12. Marshall P. Cline, Greg Lomow, Mike Girou: C++ FAQs (2nd Edition). Addison-Wesley, 1999.

# **9.** Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

• The content of the course comes from practical field experience.

#### **10. Evaluation**

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the		
			grade (%)		
10.4 Course					
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	- know the basic principles	Verifying the practical	50%		
	discussed at the course and	works.			
	know to apply them;				
	- recognize the weak spots				
	in a program;				
	- find good ways to avoid				
	the weak spots				
	- be able to show the	Verifying the project			
	understanding of the				
	principles in a mini-project				
10.6 Minimum performance standards					
At least grade 5 (fror	n a scale of 1 to 10) for the avera	age.			

Date	Signature of course coordinator	Signature of seminar coordinator

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

•••••

••••••