SYLLABUS

i mornauon regulang the programme				
Babes-Bolyai University, Cluj-Napoca				
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science				
Department of Computer Science				
Computer Science				
Bachelor				
Computer Science				

1. Information regarding the programme

2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline Computational Logic							
2.2 Course coordinator				Lecturer Ph.D. Lupe	a Mil	naiela	
2.3 Seminar coordinator				Lecturer Ph.D. Lupe	a Mil	naiela	
2.4. Year of	1	2.5	1	2.6. Type of	Ε	2.7 Type of	compulsory
study		Semester		evaluation		discipline	

3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	4	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3	2
				seminar/laboratory	
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	56	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6	28
				seminar/laboratory	
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course suppor	t, bit	oliography, course notes	5		20
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					10
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					26
Tutorship					8
Evaluations				30	
Other activities:					
3.7 Total individual study hours		94			· · · · ·
3.8 Total hours per semester 150					

4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

3.9 Number of ECTS credits

4.1. curriculum	
4.2. competencies	

6

5. Conditions (if necessary)

5.1. for the course	
5.2. for the seminar /lab	
activities	

6. Specific competencies acquired

Professional competencies	C 4.1 Definition of concepts and principles of computer science and mathematical theories and models
Ipete	C 4.2 Interpretation of mathematical models and computer science (formal)
com	C 4.3 Identifying appropriate models and methods to solve real problems
ional	C 4.5 Incorporation of formal models in specific applications in various fields
ofessi	C6.1 Identify basic concepts and models for computer systems.
Pro	C6.2 Identify and explain the basic architecture for the organization of systems.
	CT1. Application of organized and efficient working rules, of responsible attitudes concerning
	scientific teaching, for creative exploitation of their own potential with respect to the principles
S	and rules of professional ethics.
Transversal competencies	CT3.Use of effective methods and techniques of learning, information, research and capacity
sve	development to exploit knowledge, to adapt to a dynamic society and to communicate in
Transversal competenci	Romanian language and in a foreign language.
L D	

Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	 To introduce the logical foundations of computer science: propositional calculus and predicate calculus, theorem proving methods, Boolean algebras and Boolean functions. The connection with logic programming and logic circuits is presented. To introduce internal representations of integer and real numbers.
7.2 Specific objective of the discipline	 Understand how integer and real numbers are represented and manipulated internaly by a computer. Understand the functionality of some simple logic circuits from the hard component of a computer. Identify and apply appropriate logical (propositional/predicate) models and proof methods to solve real problems in the domain of human and mathematical reasoning.

8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
 Course 1. Numeration systems: Definitions, representation and operations (algorithms for comparison, addition, subtraction, multiplication, division) of numbers in a base b. Conversions between bases using an intermediate base for integer and rational numbers. Rapid conversions (bases 2,4,8,16). 	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	
 Course 2. Internal numbers' representation 1. Representation for unsigned integers, operations. 2. Representation for signed integers: direct code, inverse code, complementary code, operations. 3. Fixed-point and floating-point representations for real numbers. 	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies	

C	
Course 3.	Exposure: description,
 Propositional logic – syntax and semantics 1. Syntax: connectives, formulas. 2. Semantics: interpretation, model, consistent formula, inconsistent formula, tautology, logical consequence, truth table for a formula. 3. Laws (logical equivalences): DeMorgan, absorption, commutativity, associativity, distributivity, idempotency. 4. Clauses and normal forms: conjunctive normal form (CNF) and disjunctive normal form (DNF), algorithm for transformation of a formula into DNF and CNF. 	explanation, examples, discussion of case studies, debate, dialog
 Course 4. Propositional logic –formal system 1. Formal (axiomatic) system associated to propositional logic, deduction, theorem. 2. Theorem of deduction and its consequences. 3. Properties of propositional logic: coherence, noncontradiction, decidability. 	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies, proofs, dialog
 Course 5. Semantic tableaux method – a refutation proof method for propositionl logic. 1. Classes of formulas, decomposition rules, branch (open, closed), construction of a semantic tableau. 2. Theorem of soundness and completeness for the method. 	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies, dialog
 Course 6. Resolution – a refutation proof method for propositional logic Resolution as a formal system. Strategies of resolution: level saturation strategy, set-of-support strategy, deletion strategy. Refinements of resolution: Lock resolution, linear resolution. 	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies, proofs
 Course 7. Predicate (first-order) logic Syntax: connectives, quantifiers, terms, atoms, formula, clause, literal, closed formula, free formula, the formal (axiomatic) system. Semantics of predicate logic: interpretation, model, valid formula, consistent formula, inconsistent formula, logical consequence. Prenex normal form, Skolem theorem, Skolemization algorithm, clausal normal form. Properties of predicate logic: noncontradiction, coherence and semi-decidability. 	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies
 Course 8. 1. Semantic tableaux method for predicate logic – rules for quantifiers. 2. Substitutions and unifications - theory, algorithm for obtaining the most general unifier o two atoms. 	Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies, proofs

explanation, examples, discussion of case studies
Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies, dialog, debate
Exposure: description, explanation, examples
Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies
Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies
Exposure: description, explanation, examples, discussion of case studies
-

- 1. M. Ben-Ari: Mathematical Logic for Computer Science, Ed. Springer, 2001.
- 2. F.Boian, Bazele Matematice ale Calculatoarelor, Editura Presa Universitara Clujeana, 2002 library.
- 3. M. Cocan, B. Pop: Bazele matematice ale sistemelor de calcul, Editura Albastra, Cluj-Napoca, 2001 UBB library.
- 4. M.Fitting: First-order logic and Automated Theorem Proving, Ed.Springer Verlag, 1990.
- 5. M. Lupea, A. Mihis: Logici clasice și circuite logice. Teorie și exemple, ediția 3, Editura Albastra, Cluj-Napoca, 2011 UBB library.
- 6. M. Lupea, A. Mihis: A Computational Approach to Classical Logics and Circuits, Editura Risoprint, Cluj-Napoca, 2015.
- 7. M. Lupea, A. Mihis: A Computational Approach to Classical Logics and Circuits, Editura Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca, 2016.
- Mihaela Malita, Mircea Malita, Bazele Inteligentei Artificiale, Vol. I, Logici propozitionale, Ed. Tehnica, Bucuresti, 1987 – UBB library.
- 9. L.C. Paulson: Logic and Proof, Univ. Cambridge, 2000, on-line course.

10. M. Possega: Deduction Systems, Inst. of Informatics, 2002, on-line course. 11. D.Tatar: Bazele matematice ale calculatoarelor, ediția 1999 - UBB library. 8.2 Seminar / laboratory Teaching methods Remarks Attendance at seminars Seminar 1. Exercises Dialogue, case studies, 1. Operations (addition, subtraction, division, is mandatory for at examples multiplication) in different numeration bases. least 75%. Particular bases: 2,4,8,16. 2. Rapid conversions. **Seminar 2: Exercises** Dialogue, case studies, 1. Conversions between bases using the methods examples (calculus in the source base, calculus in the destination base, using an intermediate base) for integer and rational numbers. 2. Representation of unsigned integers, operations. **Seminar 3. Exercises** Dialogue, case studies, 1. Representation of signed integers: direct code, examples inverse code, complementary code, operations. 2. Representations of real numbers: fixed-point and floating-point representations. Seminar 4. Exercises: Dialogue, debate, case 1. Using the truth table, decide whether a formula is studies, examples, consistent/tautology/inconsistent or not, write students presentations all the models of a consistent formula. 2. Transform a formula into DNF and CNF equivalent forms and using these forms decide the validity or inconsistency of a formula. Seminar 5 Dialogue, debate, case Attendance at the 1. One hour - midterm exam: written paper with studies, examples, midterm exam is subjects from courses 1-2 and seminars 1-3. proofs, students mandatory. 2. Exercises presentations Apply the theorem of deduction to prove the syllogism rule, separations of premises rule, reunion of premises rule. Using the axiomatic system prove that a propositional formula is a theorem. Seminar 6. Exercises: Dialogue, debate, case 1. Build the semantic tableau of a propositional studies, examples, formula, write all its models and anti-models. students presentations 2. Check if a propositional formula is a tautology / logical consequence of a set of formulas. **Seminar 7. Exercises – resolution I:** Dialogue, debate, case 1. Using resolution check the inconsistency of a set studies, examples, of propositional clauses. students presentations 2. Check whether a propositional formula is a theorem/ deductible from a set of formulas using resolution or one of its strategies.

 Seminar 8. Exercises – resolution II: 1. Apply the refinements of resolution and combinations of strategies and refinements to solve the decisions problems in propositional logic. 2. Details regarding the implementation of lock resolution and linear resolution. 	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, students presentations
 Seminar 9. Exercises - predicate logic: Transform natural language sentences into predicate formulas. Build models and anti-models for a predicate formula. Build the prenex, Skolem and clausal normal forms for a predicate formula. 	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, students presentations
 Seminar 10. Exercises: Using the semantic tableaux method solve the decision problems in predicate logic. From a semantic tableau of a predicate formula build the models of that formula. Compute the most general unifier of two or more atoms. 	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, students presentations
 Seminar 11. Exercises: 1. Check whether a predicate formula is a theorem, is deductible from a set of formulas using resolution procedure and its refinements. 2. Modeling the common-sense reasoning and mathematical reasoning using propositional and 	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, students presentations
 Seminar 12. Exercises: 1. Build the canonical forms for a Boolean function. 2. Apply Veitch-Karnaugh diagrams method to simplify functions with 2-3-4 variables. 	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, students presentations
 Seminar 13. Exercises: 1. Apply Quine's method to simplify Boolean functions. 2. Given a Boolean function represented using a tableau containing the values of the function: simplification, implementation of the corresponding logic circuit. 	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, students presentations
 Seminar 14. Exercises: 1. Given a Boolean function (with "and", "or", "not", "nor", "nand" operations): simplification, implementation of the corresponding logic circuit. 2. Given a logic circuit (with basic and derived gates): write the corresponding boolean function, simplification of this function. 	Dialogue, debate, case studies, examples, students presentations

Bibliography

- 1. W.Bibel: Automated theorem proving, View Verlag, 1987.
- 2. Cl.BENZAKEN: Systeme formels. Introduction a la logique, ed.Masson, 1991.
- 3. J.P.DELAHAYE: Outils logiques pour l'intelligence artificielle, ed.Eyrolls, 1986.
- 4. D.Tatar: Inteligenta artificiala: demonstrare automata de teoreme si NLP, Ed. Microinformatica, 2001.
- 5. (ed) A.Thayse: From standard logic to Logic Programming, Ed. J.Wiley, vol1(1989), vol2,vol3(1990).

9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program

- The course respects the IEEE and ACM Curricula Recommendations for Computer Science studies;
- The course exists in the studying program of all major universities in Romania and abroad;
- The content of the course offers a theoretical base for the applicative direction of building automated proof systems useful in mathematics, software engineering, intelligent agents, robotics, natural language.

10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)	
10.4 Course	 know the basic principles of the domain; apply the course concepts, methods and algorithms in problem solving. 	Written paper (regular session) with subjects from courses 3-13.	60%	
	 know to perform operations and conversions in different numeration bases; know to represent integer and real numbers. 	Written paper (seminar 5 -one hour) with subjects from courses 1-2.	20%	
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	- solve at home and present during the seminars exercises from an existing benchmark of problems	Seminar activity: responses and individual presentations of solved exercises.	15%	
	 exercises: modelling reasoning using propositional logic and predicate logic or implementation of algorithms for operations and conversions in different numeration bases 	Optional homework (can increase the final mark)	10%	
10.6 Minimum performance standards				
At least grade 5 (from a scale of 1 to 10) at written papers and seminar activity.				

Date	Signature of course coordinator	Signature of seminar coordinator
22.04.2018	Lecturer Ph.D. Lupea Mihaiela	Lecturer Ph.D. Lupea Mihaiela

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

.....

Prof.Ph.D. Andreica Anca