

## SYLLABUS

### 1. Information regarding the programme

1.1 Higher education institution	<b>Babeş Bolyai University</b>
1.2 Faculty	<b>Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science</b>
1.3 Department	<b>Department of Computer Science</b>
1.4 Field of study	<b>Computer Science</b>
1.5 Study cycle	<b>Bachelor</b>
1.6 Study programme / Qualification	<b>Computer Science</b>

### 2. Information regarding the discipline

2.1 Name of the discipline	<b>Pragmatic issues in programming</b>						
2.2 Course coordinator	<b>Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa</b>						
2.3 Seminar coordinator	<b>Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa</b>						
2.4. Year of study	<b>3</b>	2.5 Semester	<b>6</b>	2.6. Type of evaluation	<b>C</b>	2.7 Type of discipline	<b>Optional</b>

### 3. Total estimated time (hours/semester of didactic activities)

3.1 Hours per week	3	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3 seminar/laboratory	1 lab
3.4 Total hours in the curriculum	36	Of which: 3.5 course	24	3.6 seminar/laboratory	12
Time allotment:					hours
Learning using manual, course support, bibliography, course notes					20
Additional documentation (in libraries, on electronic platforms, field documentation)					15
Preparation for seminars/labs, homework, papers, portfolios and essays					35
Tutorship					5
Evaluations					2
Other activities: .....					-
3.7 Total individual study hours			77		
3.8 Total hours per semester			125		
3.9 Number of ECTS credits			5		

### 4. Prerequisites (if necessary)

4.1. curriculum	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Advanced programming methods</li> </ul>
4.2. competencies	Average skills in programming.
5.1. for the course	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li></li> </ul>
5.2. for the seminar /lab activities	Laboratory with computers; high level programming language environment (C++, Java, .NET, python)

### 5. Conditions (if necessary)

### 6. Specific competencies acquired

<b>Professional competencies</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enhance the software design skills.</li> <li>• Enhance the software development management skills.</li> <li>• Enhance the software testing and debugging skills.</li> </ul>
<b>Transversal competencies</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enhance the team working abilities.</li> </ul>

7.1 General objective of the discipline	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• General improvement of programming efficiency.</li> <li>• Approach programming from a practical point of view.</li> </ul>
7.2 Specific objective	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve programming efficiency by using a disciplined approach;</li> <li>• Be aware of the time-consuming tasks while programming and the tools and methods to avoid them.</li> </ul>

## 7. Objectives of the discipline (outcome of the acquired competencies)

### 8. Content

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Remarks
1. Development speed, long-term versus short-term speed. Complexity as the main asymptotic slow-down factor. The role of a disciplined, systematic approach.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
2. Programming discipline: Tracking changes and (automated) testing: goals, issues, best practices.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
3. Programming discipline: One Responsibility Rule principle, Don't Repeat Yourself principle, Coupling and cohesion. Refactoring.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
4. Programming discipline: code documentation. Pre/post conditions, border cases, well-chosen identifiers, tools.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
5. Programming discipline: Undefined behaviour, implementation defined behaviour, premature optimization, good optimization.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
6. Programming discipline: defensive programming. assert() on pre/post conditions and invariants. Input data validation. Fail fast principle.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
7. Programming discipline: Input data validation, efficient diagnosing of errors, secure code.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
8. Testing and debugging techniques: IDE debugger, assert(), core dumps, regression	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	

tests, logging and log filtering.		
9. Patterns and techniques: Classes: value semantic vs. object semantic. Immutable classes.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
10. Patterns and techniques: Constructors, destructors, resources and invariants. RAI.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
11. Patterns and techniques: exceptions. Exception safety levels.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
12. Patterns and techniques: multi-threading patterns.	Exposure: description, examples, case-study, debate	
<b>Bibliography</b>		
1. Michael Howard and David LeBlanc: <i>Writing Secure Code</i> , Microsoft Press, 2003.		
2. Herb Sutter, Andrei Alexandrescu: <i>C++ Coding Standards: 101 Rules, Guidelines, and Best Practices</i> . Addison-Wesley, 2010.		
3. Martin Fowler and others: <i>Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code</i> . Addison-Wesley, 1999.		
4. Robert C. Martin: <i>Clean Code: A Handbook of Agile Software Craftsmanship</i> . Prentice Hall.		
5. Andrew Hunt, David Thomas: <i>The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master</i> . Addison-Wesley, 2000.		
6. Marshall P. Cline, Greg Lomow, Mike Girou: <i>C++ FAQs (2nd Edition)</i> . Addison-Wesley, 1999.		
1. Introduction, administrative issues. Code examples. Programming discipline: Tracking changes and (automated) testing.	Dialogue, debate, case study, guided discovery	
2. Programming discipline: One Responsibility Rule principle, Don't Repeat Yourself principle, Coupling and cohesion. Refactoring. Code documentation. Pre/post conditions, border cases, well-chosen identifiers, tools.	Dialogue, debate, case study, guided discovery	
3. Programming discipline: Undefined behaviour, implementation defined behaviour, premature optimization, good optimization. Defensive programming. assert() on pre/post conditions and invariants. Input data validation. Fail fast principle.	Dialogue, debate, case study, guided discovery	
4. Programming discipline: Input data validation, efficient diagnosing of errors, secure code. Testing and debugging techniques: IDE debugger, assert(), core dumps, regression tests, logging and log filtering.	Dialogue, debate, case study, guided discovery	
5. Patterns and techniques: Classes: value semantic vs. object semantic. Immutable classes. Constructors, destructors, resources and invariants. RAI.	Dialogue, debate, case study, guided discovery	
6. Patterns and techniques: exceptions.	Dialogue, debate, case study,	

Exception safety levels. Multi-threading patterns.	guided discovery	
<b>Bibliography</b>		
7.	Michael Howard and David LeBlanc: <i>Writing Secure Code</i> , Microsoft Press, 2003.	
8.	Herb Sutter, Andrei Alexandrescu: <i>C++ Coding Standards: 101 Rules, Guidelines, and Best Practices</i> . Addison-Wesley, 2010.	
9.	Martin Fowler and others: <i>Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code</i> . Addison-Wesley, 1999.	
10.	Robert C. Martin: <i>Clean Code: A Handbook of Agile Software Craftsmanship</i> . Prentice Hall.	
11.	Andrew Hunt , David Thomas: <i>The Pragmatic Programmer: From Journeyman to Master</i> . Addison-Wesley, 2000.	
1.	Marshall P. Cline, Greg Lomow, Mike Girou: <i>C++ FAQs (2nd Edition)</i> . Addison-Wesley, 1999.	

**9. Corroborating the content of the discipline with the expectations of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers within the field of the program**

- The content of the course comes from practical field experience.

**10. Evaluation**

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Evaluation methods	10.3 Share in the grade (%)
10.4 Course		-	-
10.5 Seminar/lab activities	- know the basic principles discussed at the course and know to apply them; - recognize the weak spots in a program; - find good ways to avoid the weak spots	Verifying the practical works.	50%
	- be able to show the understanding of the principles in a mini-project.	Verifying the project	50%
10.6 Minimum performance standards			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least grade 5 (from a scale of 1 to 10) for the average.</li> </ul>			

Date

.....

Signature of course coordinator

Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa.....

Signature of seminar coordinator

..Lect. PhD. Radu Lupsa

Date of approval

Signature of the head of department

.....

.....