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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ denote the class of normalized functions $f$, analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E}$ which satisfy the condition $$
\Re\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right]>\beta, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$ where $\frac{f(z) f^{\prime}(z)}{z} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}, \phi$ is starlike and $\alpha, \beta$ are pre-assigned real numbers. In 1977, Chichra, P. N. [1] introduced and studied the class $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}=\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(0)$. He proved the members of class $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ are close-to-convex for $\alpha \geq 0$. We here prove that functions in class $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ are close-to-convex for $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right) \leq \beta<1, \alpha \geq 0$ and the result is sharp in the sense that the constant $\beta$ cannot be replaced by a real number smaller than $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)$. We claim that our result improves the result of Chichra, P. N. [1].
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of functions $f$, analytic in $\mathbb{E}=\{z:|z|<1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Let $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ denote the classes of starlike and convex functions respectively analytically defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{S}^{*}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{K}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}: \Re\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}\right\}
$$

This is well-known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \in \mathcal{K} \Leftrightarrow z f^{\prime}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close to convex if there is a real number $\alpha,-\pi / 2<$ $\alpha<\pi / 2$ and a convex function $g$ (not necessarily normalized) such that

$$
\Re\left(e^{i \alpha} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

In view of the relation (1.1), the above definition takes the following form in case $g$ is normalized. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be close to convex if there is a real number $\alpha,-\pi / 2<\alpha<\pi / 2$, and a starlike function $\phi$ such that

$$
\Re\left(e^{i \alpha} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

It is well-known that every close-to-convex function is univalent. In 1934/35, Noshiro [3] and Warchawski [4] obtained a simple but elegant criterion for univalence of analytic functions. They proved that if an analytic function $f$ satisfies $\Re f^{\prime}(z)>0$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{E}$, then $f$ is close-to-convex and hence univalent in $\mathbb{E}$.
Let $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ denote the class of normalized analytic functions $f$ which satisfy the condition

$$
\Re\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right]>\beta, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

where $\frac{f(z) f^{\prime}(z)}{z} \neq 0, z \in \mathbb{E}, \phi$ is starlike and $\alpha, \beta$ are pre-assigned real numbers. The class $\underset{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}}{z}=\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(0)$ was introduced and studied by Chichra, P. N. [1] in 1977. He called the members of class $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ as $\alpha$ - close-to-convex functions. Infact, he proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Then $f(z)$ is close-to-convex in $\mathbb{E}$.
In the present paper, we establish the result that functions in $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ are close-to-convex for $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right) \leq \beta<1, \alpha \geq 0$. Our result is the best possible in the sense that the constant $\beta$ cannot be replaced by a real number smaller than $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)$. We also claim that our result improves the result of Chichra, P. N. [1]. To prove our main result, we shall use the following lemma of Miller [2].

Lemma 1.2. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{C}$ is the complex plane) and let $\phi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex function. For $u=u_{1}+i u_{2}, v=v_{1}+i v_{2}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right.$ are reals), let $\phi$ satisfy the following conditions:
(i) $\phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{D}$
(ii) $(1,0) \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\Re[\phi(1,0)]>0$ and
(iii) $\Re\left[\phi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right)\right] \leq 0$ for all $\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $v_{1} \leq-\left(1+u_{2}^{2}\right) / 2$.

Let $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be regular in the open unit disk $\mathbb{E}$, such that $\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right) \in \mathbb{D}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$. If

$$
\Re\left[\phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right)\right]>0, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

then $\Re p(z)>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$.

## 2. Main result

Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be real numbers such that $\alpha \geq 0$ and

$$
-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right) \leq \beta<1
$$

for a starlike function $\phi$. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right]>\beta, z \in \mathbb{E} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)>0$ in $\mathbb{E}$ and hence $f$ is close-to-convex and hence univalent in $\mathbb{E}$. The result is sharp in the sense that the constant $\beta$ on the right hand side of (2.1) cannot be replaced by a real number smaller than $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)$.

Proof. Let $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots$ be analytic in $\mathbb{E}$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{E}$, we write

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}=p(z)
$$

Then,

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}=p(z)+\alpha z p^{\prime}(z) \frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi(z)}
$$

Therefore, condition (2.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\beta} p(z)+\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} z p^{\prime}(z) \frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right)>0, z \in \mathbb{E} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathbb{D}=\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, define $\Phi(u, v): \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as under:

$$
\Phi(u, v)=\frac{1}{1-\beta} u+\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} v \frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}, z \in \mathbb{E}
$$

Then $\Phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{D},(1,0) \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\Re(\Phi(1,0))=1>0$. Further, in view of (2.2), we get, $\Re\left[\Phi\left(p(z), z p^{\prime}(z)\right)\right]>0, z \in \mathbb{E}$. Let $u=u_{1}+i u_{2}, v=v_{1}+i v_{2}$ where $u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are all real numbers. Then, for $\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{D}$, with $v_{1} \leq-\frac{1+u_{2}^{2}}{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Re \Phi\left(i u_{2}, v_{1}\right) & =\Re\left(\frac{1}{1-\beta} u_{2} i+\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} v_{1} \frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}-\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right) \\
& \leq-\left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \frac{1+u_{2}^{2}}{2} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right] \\
& \leq-\left[\frac{\alpha}{2(1-\beta)} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)+\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\right] \\
& \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (2.2) and Lemma 1.2, proof now follows.
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To show that the constant $\beta$ on the right hand side of (2.1) cannot be replaced by a real number smaller than $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)$, we consider the function $f(z)=z e^{z} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\phi(z)=z \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$. Using Mathematica 9.0, we plot, in Figure 2.1, the image of the unit disk under the operator $(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}$ taking $\alpha=2$. From this figure, we notice that minimum real part of $(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}$ is smaller
than -1 (the calculated value of $-\frac{\alpha}{2} \Re\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{z \phi^{\prime}(z)}\right)$ for $\alpha=2$ and $\phi(z)=z$ ). In Figure 2.2 , we plot the image of unit disk under the operator $\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}$. It is obvious that $\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right) \ngtr 0$ for all z in $\mathbb{E}$. For example, the point $z=-\frac{1}{2}+i \frac{\pi}{4}$ is an interior point of $\mathbb{E}$, but at this point $\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)=-\frac{\pi-2}{4 \sqrt{2 e}}=-0.1224 \cdots<0$. This justifies our claim.

Remark 2.2. We claim that our result improves the result of Chichra, P. N. [1]. In fact, when we take $f(z)=-z-2 \log (1-z) \in \mathcal{A}, \phi(z)=z$ and $\alpha=2$ in Theorem 2.1, we notice that at $z=-1$,

$$
\Re\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}+\alpha \frac{\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\phi^{\prime}(z)}\right]=-1
$$

Thus the function $f$ does not satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1.1 due to Chichra, P. N. [1] i.e. $f \notin \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}$ although $\Re\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\phi(z)}\right)=\Re\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)>0$ in $\mathbb{E}$. Hence the result of Chichra, P. N. [1] fails to conclude the close-to-convexity in this case whereas Theorem 2.1 concludes the same.
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