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#### Abstract

Making use of convolution structure, we introduce a new class of pvalent functions. Among the results presented in this paper include the coefficient bounds, distortion inequalities, extreme points and integral means inequalities for this generalized class of functions are discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} . \quad(p \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and $p$-valent in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|z|<1\}$.
A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ is $\beta$-Pascu convex of order $\alpha$ if

$$
\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{(1-\beta) z f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} z\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{(1-\beta) f(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} z f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>\alpha \quad(0 \leq \beta \leq 1,0 \leq \alpha<1)
$$

In the other words $(1-\beta) f(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} z f^{\prime}(z)$ is in $f \in \mathcal{S}_{p}^{*}$ the class of p-valent starlike functions (for details [5], see also [1], [3]).

Given two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, where $f$ is given by (1.1) and $g$ is given by

$$
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \quad(p \in \mathbb{N})
$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ is defined (as usual) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k}=(g * f)(z), z \in \mathbb{U} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two functions $f$ and $g$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ such that

$$
f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, the above subordination is equivalent to

$$
f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

See also Duren [2].
On the other hand, Sălăgean [6] introduced the following operator which is popularly known as the Sălăgean derivative operator:

$$
\begin{gathered}
D^{0} f(z)=f(z) \\
D^{1} f(z)=D f(z)=z f^{\prime}(z)
\end{gathered}
$$

and, in general,

$$
D^{n} f(z)=D\left(D^{n-1} f(z)\right) \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}\right)
$$

We easily find from (1.1) that

$$
D^{n} f(z)=p^{n} z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} k^{n} a_{k} z^{k} \quad\left(f \in \mathcal{A}_{p} ; n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{T}_{p}$ the subclass of $\mathcal{A}_{p}$ consisting of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}, \quad\left(a_{k} \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are $p$-valent in $\mathbb{U}$.
For a given function $g \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k} \quad\left(b_{k}>0, p \in \mathbb{N}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we introduce here a new class $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ of functions belonging to the subclass of $\mathcal{T}_{p}$ which consists of functions $f(z)$ of the form (1.3) satisfying the following inequality:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{p} R e\left\{\frac{(1-\beta) D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+2}(f * g)(z)}{(1-\beta) D^{n}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)}\right\}>\alpha  \tag{1.5}\\
(0 \leq \alpha<1,0 \leq \beta \leq 1, n, p \in \mathbb{N})
\end{gather*}
$$

In this paper, we obtain the coefficient inequalities, distortion theorems as well as integral means inequalities for functions in the class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$.

We first prove a necessary and sufficient condition for functions to be in $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ in the following:

## 2. Coefficient inequalities

Theorem 2.1. A function $f(z)$ given by (1.3) is in $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if for $0 \leq \alpha<1,0 \leq \beta \leq 1, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k} \leq p^{n+2}(1-\alpha) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. Then, in view of (1.3) to (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\frac{1}{p} R e\left\{\frac{(1-\beta) D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+2}(f * g)(z)}{(1-\beta) D^{n}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)}\right\}}{=\frac{1}{p} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{p^{n+1}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1-\beta+\frac{\beta}{p} k\right)\right] k^{n+1} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k-p}}{p^{n}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}\left[\left(1-\beta+\frac{\beta}{p} k\right)\right] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k-p}}\right\}>\alpha \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we choose $z$ to be real and let $r \rightarrow 1^{-}$, the last inequality leads us to desired assertion (2.1) of Theorem 2.1.

Conversely, assume that (2.1) holds. For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$, let us define the function $F(z)$ by

$$
F(z)=\frac{1}{p} \frac{(1-\beta) D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+2}(f * g)(z)}{(1-\beta) D^{n}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)}-\alpha
$$

It suffices to show that

$$
\left|\frac{F(z)-1}{F(z)+1}\right|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left|\frac{\left|\frac{F(z)-1}{F(z)+1}\right|}{(1-\beta) D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+2}(f * g)(z)-p(\alpha+1)\left[(1-\beta) D^{n}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)\right]}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{-\alpha p^{n+1}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty+1}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+2}(f * g)(z)-p(\alpha-1)\left[(1-\beta) D^{n}(f * g)(z)+\frac{\beta}{p} D^{n+1}(f * g)(z)\right]}{(2-\alpha) p^{n+1}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}\left[(k-\alpha p-p)\left(1-\beta+\frac{\beta}{p} k\right)\right] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k-p}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{\alpha p^{n+2}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p-p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k}}{(2-\alpha) p^{n+2}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p+p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k}}
$$

The last expression is bounded above by 1 , if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha p^{n+2}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p-p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k} \\
\leq(2-\alpha) p^{n+2}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p+p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

which is equivalent to our condition (2.1). This completes the proof of our theorem.
Corollary 2.2. Let $f(z)$ given by (1.3). If $f \in \mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k} \leq \frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality for functions of the form

$$
f_{k}(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} z^{k}
$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$, then by making use of (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k} } & \leq \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k} \\
& \leq p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
a_{k} \leq \frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}}
$$

Clearly for

$$
f_{k}(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} z^{k}
$$

we have

$$
a_{k}=\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} .
$$

## 3. Distortion inequalities

In this section, we shall prove distortion theorems for functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$.
Theorem 3.1. Let the function $f(z)$ of the form (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. Then for $|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \geq r^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n} b_{2 p+1}} r^{2 p+1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n} b_{2 p+1}} r^{2 p+1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $b_{k} \geq b_{2 p+1}(k \geq 2 p+1)$. The result is sharp with equality for

$$
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n} b_{2 p+1}} z^{2 p+1}
$$

at $z=r$ and $z=r e^{\frac{i(2 m+1) \pi}{p+1}}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. Since $f(z) \in \mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$, we apply Theorem 2.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n} b_{2 p+1} \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k} b_{k} \leq p^{n+2}(1-\alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} \leq \frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n} b_{2 p+1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.3) and (3.3), we have
$|f(z)| \leq|z|^{p}+|z|^{2 p+1} \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} \leq r^{p}+\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n} b_{2 p+1}} r^{2 p+1}$
and
$|f(z)| \geq|z|^{p}-|z|^{2 p+1} \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} \geq r^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n} b_{2 p+1}} r^{2 p+1}$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Theorem 3.2. Let the function $f(z)$ of the form (1.3) be in the class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. Then for $|z|=r<1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq p r^{p-1}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n-1} b_{2 p+1}} r^{2 p} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq p r^{p}+\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n-1} b_{2 p+1}} r^{2 p} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $b_{k} \geq b_{2 p+1}(k \geq 2 p+1)$. The result is sharp with equality for

$$
f(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n-1} b_{2 p+1}} z^{2 p}
$$

at $z=r$ and $z=r e^{\frac{i(2 m+1) \pi}{p}}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. From Theorem 2.1 and (3.3), we have

$$
\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} k a_{k} \leq \frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{(2 p+1-\alpha p)(p+\beta p+\beta)(2 p+1)^{n-1} b_{2 p+1}}
$$

and the remaining part of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## 4. Extreme points

Theorem 4.1. Let $f_{p}(z)=z^{p}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{k}(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} z^{k} \\
\left(b_{k}>0,0 \leq \alpha<1,0 \leq \beta \leq 1, n, p \in \mathbb{N}, k=2 p+1,2 p+2, \ldots\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $f(z) \in \mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the following form:

$$
f(z)=\lambda_{p} z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} f_{k}(z)
$$

where $\lambda_{p} \geq 0, \lambda_{k} \geq 0$ and $\lambda_{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}=1$.
Proof. Suppose that

$$
f(z)=\lambda_{p} z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} f_{k}(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} \frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} z^{k}
$$

Then from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} \lambda_{k} \frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} b_{k} \\
& \quad=\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)=p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)\left(1-\lambda_{p}\right) \leq p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, in view of Theorem 2.1, we find that $f(z) \in \mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$.
Conversely, suppose that $f(z) \in \mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. Then, since

$$
a_{k} \leq \frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} \quad(p \in \mathbb{N})
$$

we may set

$$
\lambda_{k}=\frac{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}}{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)} a_{k} \quad(p \in \mathbb{N})
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{p}=1-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k}
$$

Thus, clearly, we have

$$
f(z)=\lambda_{p} z^{p}+\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} \lambda_{k} f_{k}(z)
$$

This completes the proof of theorem.
Corollary 4.2. The extreme points of the class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ are given by

$$
f_{p}(z)=z^{p}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}(z)=z^{p}-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} z^{k}, \quad(k \geq 2 p+1, p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.3. The class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ is a convex set.
Proof. Suppose that each of the functions $f_{i}(z),(i=1,2)$ given by

$$
f_{i}(z)=z^{p}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k, i} z^{k}, \quad\left(a_{k, i} \geq 0\right)
$$

is in the class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. It is sufficient to show that the function $g(z)$ defined by

$$
g(z)=\eta f_{1}(z)+(1-\eta) f_{2}(z), \quad(0 \leq \eta<1)
$$

is also in the class $\mathcal{A S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(z)=\eta\left(z^{p}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k, 1} z^{k}\right)+(1-\eta)\left(z^{p}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k, 2} z^{k}\right) \\
=z^{p}-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}\left[\eta a_{k, 1}+(1-\eta) a_{k, 2}\right] z^{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

with the aid of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n}\left[\eta a_{k, 1}+(1-\eta) a_{k, 2}\right] b_{k} \\
\quad=\eta \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k, 1} b_{k} \\
+(1-\eta) \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty}[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} a_{k, 2} b_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\leq \eta p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)+(1-\eta) p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)=p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)
$$

## 5. Integral means inequalities

In 1925, Littlewood proved the following subordination theorem.
Theorem 5.1. (Littlewood [4]) If $f$ and $g$ are analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with $f \prec g$, then for $\mu>0$ and $z=r e^{i \theta}(0<r<1)$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}|f(z)|^{\mu} d \theta \leqq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|g(z)|^{\mu} d \theta
$$

We will make use of Theorem 5.1 to prove
Theorem 5.2. Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{A} \mathcal{S}_{g}^{*}(n, p, \alpha, \beta)$ and $f_{k}(z)$ is defined by (4.1). If there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ given by

$$
w(z)^{k-p}=\frac{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}}{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p},
$$

then for $z=r e^{i \theta}$ and $0<r<1$,

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{\mu} d \theta \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f_{k}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{\mu} d \theta \quad(\mu>0)
$$

Proof. We must show that

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p}\right|^{\mu} d \theta \leq \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|1-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} z^{k-p}\right|^{\mu} d \theta
$$

By applying Littlewood's subordination theorem, it would suffice to show that

$$
1-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p} \prec 1-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}} z^{k-p} .
$$

By setting

$$
1-\sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p}=1-\frac{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)}{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}}[w(z)]^{k-p}
$$

we find that

$$
[w(z)]^{k-p}=\frac{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}}{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p}
$$

which readily yields $w(0)=0$.
Furthermore, using (2.1), we obtain

$$
|w(z)|^{k-p} \leq\left|\frac{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}}{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k-p}\right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{[(k-\alpha p)(p-\beta p+\beta k)] k^{n} b_{k}}{p^{n+2}(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=2 p+1}^{\infty} a_{k}|z|^{k-p} \leq|z|^{k-p}<1
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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