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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a Banach lattice. In this paper we give conditions in which an increasing linear operator, $A: X \rightarrow X$ is weakly Picard operator (see I.A. Rus, Picard operators and applications, Sc. Math. Japonicae, 58(2003), No. 1, 191-219). To do this we introduce the notion of "invariant linear partition of $X$ with respect to $A "$ and we use contraction principle and Maia's fixed point theorem. Some applications are also given.
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## 1. Introduction

There are many techniques to study the iterates of a linear and of increasing linear operators:
(1) for linear operators on a Banach space see: [16], [22], [23], [25], ...
(2) for linear increasing operators on an ordered Banach space see: [4], [8], [11], [12], [21], [23], [38], ...
(3) for some classes of positive linear operators see: [1]-[6], [9], [13]-[15], [17]-[20], [27], [30], [33], [35], ...
In the paper [36] we studied the problem in terms of the following notions:
Definition 1.1. Let $X$ be a nonempty set and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator with $F_{A} \neq \emptyset$, where $F_{A}:=\{x \in X \mid A(x)=x\}$. By definition, a partition of $X, X=\bigcup_{x^{*} \in F_{A}} X_{x^{*}}$, is a fixed point partition of $X$ with respect to $A$ iff:
(i) $A\left(X_{x^{*}}\right) \subset X_{x^{*}}, \forall x^{*} \in F_{A}$;
(ii) $F_{A} \cap X_{x^{*}}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}, \forall x^{*} \in F_{A}$.

Definition 1.2. Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R})$ be a linear space and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator with $F_{A} \backslash\{\theta\} \neq \emptyset$. By definition, a fixed point partition, $X=\bigcup_{x^{*} \in F_{A}} X_{x^{*}}$ is a linear fixed point partition of $X$ with respect to $A$ iff:

$$
X_{x^{*}}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}+X_{\theta}, \forall x^{*} \in F_{A} .
$$

If there exists a norm on $X_{\theta},\|\cdot\|: X_{\theta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, and $\|A(x)\| \leq l\|x\|$, for all $x \in X_{\theta}$ with some $l>0$, then $d_{\|\cdot\|}: X_{x^{*}} \times X_{x^{*}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}, d_{\|\cdot\|}(x, y):=\|x-y\|$ is a metric on $X_{x^{*}}$ and the restriction of $A$ to $X_{x^{*}},\left.A\right|_{X_{x^{*}}}$, is a Lipschitz operator with constant $l$. If $l<1$, in this case we can use the following variant of contraction principle:

Weak contraction principle. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator. We suppose that:
(i) $F_{A} \neq \emptyset$;
(ii) A is a l-contraction.

Then:
(a) $F_{A}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$;
(b) $A^{n}(x) \rightarrow x^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X$, i.e., $A$ is a Picard operator;
(c) $d\left(x, x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} d(x, A(x)), \forall x \in X$.

In this paper we do not suppose that $F_{A} \backslash\{\theta\} \neq \emptyset$. So, we introduce the following notion:

Definition 1.3. Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R}, \rightarrow)$ be a linear L-space (see [36]) and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator. By definition, a partition of $X, X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$, is an invariant linear partition (ILP) of $X$ with respect to $A$ iff:
(i) there exists $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda$ such that $X_{\lambda_{0}}$ is a linear subspace of $X$ and

$$
X /_{X_{\lambda_{0}}}=\left\{X_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

(ii) $A\left(X_{\lambda}\right) \subset X_{\lambda}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$;
(iii) $X_{\lambda}=\bar{X}_{\lambda}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$.

We also need the following fixed point result (see [28], [37], [24], ...):
Maia's fixed point theorem. Let $X$ be a nonempty set, $d$ and $\rho$ be two metrics on $X$ and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be an operator. We suppose that:
(i) there exists $c>0$ such that $d(x, y) \leq c \rho(x, y), \forall x, y \in X$;
(ii) $(X, d)$ is a complete metric space;
(iii) $A:(X, d) \rightarrow(X, d)$ is continuous;
(iv) $A:(X, \rho) \rightarrow(X, \rho)$ is an l-contraction.

Then:
(a) $F_{A}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$;
(b) $A:(X, d) \rightarrow(X, d)$ is Picard operator;
(c) $A:(X, \rho) \rightarrow(X, \rho)$ is Picard operator;
(d) $\rho\left(x, x^{*}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-l} \rho(x, A(x)), \forall x \in X$.

The aim of this paper is to study the iterates of a linear operator and of an increasing linear operator on a Banach lattice in terms of an invariant partition of the space and using contraction principle and Maia's fixed point theorem.

## 2. Invariant linear partitions

In what follows we shall give some generic examples of $I L P$ of the space.
Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R},\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space, $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator and $(\Lambda,+, \mathbb{R}, \tau)$ be a linear topological space and $\Phi: X \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a continuous linear and surjective operator. We suppose that $\Phi$ is an invariant operator of $A$ (see [10], [4], [36], [26], ...), i.e., $\Phi(A(x))=\Phi(x), \forall x \in X$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let

$$
X_{\lambda}:=\{x \in X \mid \Phi(x)=\lambda\} .
$$

We remark that, $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$, is an $I L P$ of $X$ with respect to $A$. In this case, $\lambda_{0}=\theta_{\Lambda}$.

Here are some examples:
Example 2.1. Let $\mathbb{B}$ be a Banach space, $K \in C\left([0,1]^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ and $A: C([0,1], \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow$ $C([0,1], \mathbb{B})$ be defined by

$$
A(x)(t):=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} K(t, s) x(s) d s, \forall t \in[0,1] .
$$

Let $\Lambda:=\mathbb{B}$ and $\Phi: C([0,1], \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$, be defined by, $\Phi(x)=x(0)$. It is clear that $\Phi$ is invariant for $A$ and, $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$, is an $I L P$ of $(C[0,1], \mathbb{B})$ with respect to $A$. In this case $\lambda_{0}=\theta_{\mathbb{B}}$.

Example 2.2. Let $A: C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1]$ be a continuous linear operator such that $A(x)(0)=x(0)$ and $A(x)(1)=x(1)$ (i.e., 0 and 1 are interpolation points of $A$ (see [34] and the references therein)). Let $\Lambda:=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\Phi: C[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, \Phi(x)=(x(0), x(1))$. Then $\Phi$ is invariant for $A, \lambda_{0}=(0,0)$ and $C[0,1]=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} X_{\lambda}$ is an $I L P$ of $C[0,1]$ with respect to $A$.

Another generic example is the following:
Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R}, \rightarrow)$ be a linear $L$-space and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator. Let us consider the quotient space $X / \overline{(1-A)(X)}=\left\{X_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}$, with $X_{\lambda_{0}}:=\overline{(1-A)(X)}$. From a remark by Jachymski (see Lemma 1 in [22]), $A\left(X_{\lambda}\right) \subset X_{\lambda}$. From the definition of quotient space it follows that, $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$ is an ILP of $X$ with respect to $A$.

Remark 2.3. Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R}, \rightarrow)$ be a linear $L$-space and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator. Let $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$ be an ILP of $X$. Then $Y=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \overline{A\left(X_{\lambda}\right)}$ is an $I L P$ of $Y$ with respect to the operator $\left.A\right|_{Y}: Y \rightarrow Y$. We remark that, $F_{A}=\left.F_{A}\right|_{Y}$.

## 3. Main results

Our abstract results are the following:
Theorem 3.1. Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R},\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator. Let $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$ be an ILP of $X$ with respect to $A$, with $X_{\lambda_{0}}$ a linear subspace of $X$. We suppose that there exists $l \in] 0,1[$ such that

$$
\|A(x)\| \leq l\|x\|, \forall x \in X_{\lambda_{0}}
$$

Then:
(a) $F_{A} \cap X_{\lambda}=\left\{x_{\lambda}^{*}\right\}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$;
(b) $A^{n}(x) \rightarrow x_{\lambda}^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda$, i.e., $A$ is weakly Picard operator ( $W P O$ ) on $X$ and $A^{\infty}(x)=x_{\lambda}^{*}, \forall x \in X_{\lambda}$;
(c) $\left\|x-A^{\infty}(x)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-l}\|x-A(x)\|, \forall x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X_{\lambda}$. Then, $x-y \in X_{\lambda_{0}}$ and

$$
\|A(x)-A(y)\|=\|A(x-y)\| \leq l\|x-y\|
$$

From the contraction principle we have that $F_{A} \cap X_{\lambda}=\left\{x_{\lambda}^{*}\right\}$ and $A: X_{\lambda} \rightarrow X_{\lambda}$ is Picard operator. We also have that:

$$
\left\|x-x_{\lambda}^{*}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1-l}\|x-A(x)\|, \quad \forall x \in X_{\lambda} .
$$

From the definition of $A^{\infty}$ it follows $(c)$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R},\|\cdot\|, \leq)$ be a Banach lattice and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be an increasing linear operator. We suppose that:
(i) $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$ is an ILP of $X$ with respect to $A$, with $X_{\lambda_{0}}$ a linear subspace of $X$;
(ii) there exists an order unit element $u \in X$ for $X_{\lambda_{0}}$, such that

$$
A(u) \leq l u, \text { with some } 0<l<1
$$

Then:
(a) $A$ is WPO with respect to $\xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|}$;
(b) $X_{\lambda} \cap F_{A}=\left\{x_{\lambda}^{*}\right\}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$;
(c) $A^{\infty}(x)=x_{\lambda}^{*}, \forall x \in X_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda$;
(d) $A$ is WPO with respect to $\xrightarrow{d_{\|\cdot\| u}}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{u}$ is the Minkowski norm on $X_{\lambda_{0}}$ with respect to $u$, i.e., $\left\|A^{n}(x)-A^{\infty}(x)\right\|_{u} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$;
(e) $\left\|x-A^{\infty}(x)\right\|_{u} \leq \frac{1}{1-l}\|x-A(x)\|_{u}, \forall x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X_{\lambda_{0}}$. Since $u$ is order unit for $X_{\lambda_{0}}$, there exists $M(x)>0$ such that

$$
|x| \leq M(x) u
$$

From the definition of Minkowski's norm, $\|\cdot\|_{u}: X_{\lambda_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x| \leq\|x\|_{u} u, \forall x \in X_{\lambda_{0}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X$ is a Banach lattice we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\| \leq\|u\|\|x\|_{u}, \forall x \in X_{\lambda_{0}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $A$ is increasing linear operator. From (3.1) we have

$$
|A(x)| \leq A(|x|) \leq\|x\|_{u} A(u) \leq l\|x\|_{u} u
$$

From this relations it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A(x)\|_{u} \leq l\|x\|_{u}, \forall x \in X_{\lambda_{0}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $x, y \in X_{\lambda}$. Then, $x-y \in X_{\lambda_{0}}$ and from (3.3) we have

$$
\|A(x)-A(y)\|_{u} \leq l\|x-y\|_{u}
$$

On $X_{\lambda}$ we have two metrics, $d_{\|\cdot\|}(x, y):=\|x-y\|$ and $d_{\|\cdot\|_{u}}(x, y):=\|x-y\|_{u}$. So, by the above considerations, $\left(X_{\lambda}, d_{\|\cdot\|}, d_{\|\cdot\|_{u}}\right)$ and $\left.A\right|_{X_{\lambda}}: X_{\lambda} \rightarrow X_{\lambda}$ satisfy the conditions of Maia's fixed point theorem. From this theorem we have, $(a)-(e)$.

## 4. Applications

In what follows we present some applications of the above abstract results.
Example 4.1. Let $h>0, b>0$ and $p, q \in C[0, b]$. We consider the following functional differential equation (see [32])

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)=p(t) x(t)+q(t) x(t-h), \forall t \in[0, b] . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a solution of (4.1) we understand a function $x \in C[-h, b] \cap C^{1}[0, b]$ which satisfies (4.1). The equation (4.1) is equivalent with the following fixed point equation

$$
x(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(t), \text { if } t \in[-h, 0]  \tag{4.2}\\
x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} p(s) x(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} q(s) x(s-h) d s, t \in[0, b]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $x \in C[-h, b]$.
Let $A: C[-h, b] \rightarrow C[-h, b]$ be defined by, $A(x)(t)=$ the second part of (4.2). Let $\Lambda:=C[-h, 0]$ and $\Phi: C[-h, b] \rightarrow C[-h, 0]$ be defined by, $\Phi(x)=\left.x\right|_{[-h, 0]}$.

We observe that, $\Phi(A(x))=\Phi(x), \forall x \in C[-h, b]$. So, $C[-h, b]=\bigcup_{\lambda \in C[-h, b]} X_{\lambda}$ is an $I L P$ of $C[-h, b]$ and $\lambda_{0}$ is the constant function $0 \in C[-h, 0]$, i.e.,

$$
X_{0}=\left\{x \in C[-h, b]|x|_{[-h, 0]}=0\right\}
$$

It is clear that there exists $\tau>0$ such that $\left.A\right|_{X_{0}}: X_{0} \rightarrow X_{0}$ is a contraction with respect to Bielecki norm $\|\cdot\|_{\tau}$, where

$$
\|x\|_{\tau}:=\max _{t \in[-h, b]}|x(t)| e^{-\tau t}
$$

Let us denote by, $\|\cdot\|$, the max norm on $C[-h, b]$. From Theorem 3.1 we have
Theorem 4.2. In the above considerations we have that:
(a) the operator $A$ is WPO with respect to $\xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\|\cdot\|}$, i.e., the solution set of (4.1) is $A^{\infty}(C[-h, b]) ;$
(b) $F_{A} \cap X_{\lambda}=\left\{x_{\lambda}^{*}\right\}, \lambda \in C[-h, 0]$, i.e., $x_{\lambda}^{*}$ is a unique solution of (4.1) which satisfies the condition $\left.x\right|_{[-h, 0]}=\lambda$;
(c) the operator $\left.A\right|_{X_{\lambda}}: X_{\lambda} \rightarrow X_{\lambda}$ is $P O, \forall \lambda \in C[-h, 0]$.

Remark 4.3. If in addition we suppose that $p \geq 0, q \geq 0$, then the operator $A$ is increasing. From the abstract Gronwall lemma (see [31]) we have that if $x \in C[-h, b] \cap$ $C^{1}[0, b]$ satisfies the inequality

$$
x^{\prime}(t) \leq p(t) x(t)+q(t) x(t-h), \forall t \in[0, b],
$$

then, $x(t) \leq A^{\infty}(x)(t), \forall t \in[-h, b]$.
Example 4.4. Let $(X,+, \mathbb{R},\|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space and $A: X \rightarrow X$ be a linear and continuous operator. We suppose that $A$ is $l$-graphic contraction, i.e.,

$$
\left\|A(x)-A^{2}(x)\right\| \leq l\|x-A(x)\|, \forall x \in X
$$

This implies that

Let us denote, $X_{\lambda_{0}}:=\overline{\left(1_{X}-A\right)(X)}$. We consider the quotient space,

$$
X /_{X_{\lambda_{0}}}=\left\{X_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

We remark that, $X=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_{\lambda}$ is ILP of $X$ with respect to $A$. From Theorem 3.1 we have

Theorem 4.5. In the above considerations we have:
(a) $\left.A\right|_{X_{\lambda}}: X_{\lambda} \rightarrow X_{\lambda}$ is a l-contraction, $\forall \lambda \in \Lambda$;
(b) $F_{A} \cap X_{\lambda}=\left\{x_{\lambda}^{*}\right\}, \lambda \in \Lambda$;
(c) the attraction domain of $x_{\lambda}^{*},(A D)_{A}\left(x_{\lambda}^{*}\right)=X_{\lambda}, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$.

Example 4.6. Let $\varphi_{0}, \varphi, \psi_{k} \in C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}_{+}\right), k=\overline{1, m}$ and $0=a_{0}<a_{1}<\ldots<a_{m}=1$. We suppose that the set $\left\{\varphi_{0}, \varphi \cdot \psi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi \cdot \psi_{m}\right\}$ is linearly independent. In addition we suppose that $\varphi_{0}\left(a_{0}\right)=1$ and $\varphi\left(a_{0}\right)=0$. Then the following operator

$$
A: C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1], A(f)=f\left(a_{0}\right) \varphi_{0}+\varphi \sum_{k=1}^{m} f\left(a_{k}\right) \psi_{k}
$$

is increasing and linear, with $A(f)\left(a_{0}\right)=f\left(a_{0}\right)$, for all $f \in C[0,1]$. Let

$$
X_{\lambda}:=\left\{f \in C[0,1] \mid f\left(a_{0}\right)=\lambda\right\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

It is clear that, $C[0,1]=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} X_{\lambda}$ is an ILP of $C[0,1]$ with respect to $A$. From the
Theorem 3.2 we have
Theorem 4.7. In addition to the above conditions we suppose that, $A(\varphi) \leq l \varphi$, with $0<l<1$. Then:
(a) the operator $A$ is WPO;
(b) $X_{\lambda} \cap F_{A}=\left\{f_{\lambda}^{*}\right\}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$;
(c) $A^{\infty}(f)=f_{\lambda}^{*}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We remark that $\varphi$ is an order unit for $A\left(X_{0}\right)$.
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