

Some fixed point theorems on cartesian product in terms of vectorial measures of noncompactness

Ioan A. Rus and Marcel-Adrian Şerban

Abstract. In this paper we study a system of operatorial equations in terms of some vectorial measures of noncompactness. The basic tools are the cartesian hull of a subset of a cartesian product and some classical fixed point principle.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: Matrix convergent to zero, cartesian hull, vectorial measure of noncompactness, operator on cartesian product, fixed point principle.

1. Introduction

Let X_i , $i = \overline{1, m}$, be some nonempty sets, $X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an operator. In this case the fixed point equation

$$x = f(x),$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ and $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ takes the following form

$$\begin{cases} x_1 = f_1(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ \vdots \\ x_m = f_m(x_1, \dots, x_m) \end{cases}$$

In this paper we shall study the above system of operatorial equation in the case when X_i , $i = \overline{1, m}$, are metric spaces. In order to do this, we introduce the cartesian hull and vectorial measure of noncompactness.

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, d) be a metric space. In this paper we shall use the following notations:

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{Y \mid Y \subset X\}$$

$$P(X) = \{Y \subset X \mid Y \text{ is nonempty}\}, P_b(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is bounded}\},$$

$$P_{cl}(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is closed}\}, P_{b,cl}(X) := P_b(X) \cap P_{cl}(X),$$

$P_{cp}(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is compact}\}$.

If X is a Banach space then $P_{cv}(X) := \{Y \in P(X) \mid Y \text{ is convex}\}$

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ is an operator. Then, we denote by $F_f := \{x \in X \mid x = f(x)\}$ the fixed point set of the operator f .

Definition 2.1. A matrix $S \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times m}$ is called a matrix convergent to zero iff $S^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Theorem 2.2. (see [2], [16], [18], [20], [23]) Let $S \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times m}$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) S is a matrix convergent to zero;
- (ii) $S^k x \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m$;
- (iii) $I_m - S$ is non-singular and

$$(I_m - S)^{-1} = I_m + S + S^2 + \dots$$

- (iv) $I_m - S$ is non-singular and $(I_m - S)^{-1}$ has nonnegative elements;
- (v) $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\det(S - \lambda I_m) = 0$ imply $|\lambda| < 1$;
- (vi) there exists at least one subordinate matrix norm such that $\|S\| < 1$.

The matrices convergent to zero were used by A. I. Perov [15] to generalize the contraction principle in the case of generalized metric spaces with the metric taking values in the positive cone of \mathbb{R}^m . For fixed point principle in such spaces see [16], [20], [22], [23].

3. Closure operators. Cartesian hull of a subset of a cartesian product

Let X be a nonempty set. By definition an operator $\eta : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a closure operator if:

- (i) $Y \subset \eta(Y)$, $\forall Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$;
- (ii) $Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, $Y \subset Z \implies \eta(Y) \subset \eta(Z)$;
- (iii) $\eta \circ \eta = \eta$.

In a real linear space X , the following operators are closure operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta & : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X), \eta(Y) := \text{linear hull of } Y; \\ \eta & : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X), \eta(Y) := \text{affine hull of } Y; \\ \eta & : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X), \eta(Y) := \text{co}Y := \text{convex hull of } Y; \end{aligned}$$

In a topological space X , the operator $\eta : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ defined by $\eta(Y) := \overline{Y}$ is a closure operator. In a linear topological space X , the operator $\eta : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ defined by $\eta(Y) := \overline{\text{co}Y} := \overline{\text{co}Y}$ is a closure operator.

The main property of a closure operator is given by:

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a nonempty set and $\eta : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ a closure operator. Let $(Y_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of subsets of X such that $\eta(Y_i) = Y_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then

$$\eta\left(\bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i\right) = \bigcap_{i \in I} Y_i.$$

In our considerations, in this paper, we need the following example of closure operator.

Let $X_i, i = \overline{1, m}$, be some nonempty sets and $X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$ their cartesian product.

Let us denote by $\pi_i, i = \overline{1, m}$, the canonical projection on X_i , i.e.,

$$\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i, (x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto x_i, i = \overline{1, m}.$$

Definition 3.2. Let $Y \subset X$ be a subset of X . By the cartesian hull of Y we understand the subset

$$caY := \pi_1(Y) \times \dots \times \pi_m(Y).$$

Remark 3.3. In the paper [11] the set caY is denoted by $[Y]$.

Lemma 3.4. The operator

$$ca : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X), Y \mapsto caY$$

is a closure operator.

Proof. We remark that:

- 1) $Y \subset caY$, for all $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$;
- 2) $Y, Z \in \mathcal{P}(X), Y \subset Z$ then $caY \subset caZ$;
- 3) $ca(caY) = caY$, for all $Y \in \mathcal{P}(X)$.

So, $ca : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is a closure operator. □

Remark 3.5. $caY = Y$ if and only if Y is a cartesian product, i.e., there exists $Y_i \subset X_i, i = \overline{1, m}$, such that $Y = \prod_{i=1}^m Y_i$.

We denote by $P_{ca}(X) := \{Y \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid Y \text{ is cartesian set} \}$.

Remark 3.6. From Lemma 3.1 and 3.4 it follows that the intersection of an arbitrary family of cartesian sets is a cartesian set.

Lemma 3.7. Let $Y \subset X$ be a nonempty cartesian product subset of X and $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ an operator. Then $f(caf(Y)) \subset caf(Y)$.

Proof. We remark that $f(Y) \subset caf(Y) \subset Y$. □

The above lemmas will be basic for our proofs.

4. Measures of noncompactness. Examples

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $\delta : P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\delta(Y) := \sup\{d(a, b) \mid a, b \in Y\}.$$

be the diameter functional on X . The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness on X is defined by $\alpha_K : P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\alpha_K(Y) := \inf \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^m Y_i, \delta(Y_i) \leq \varepsilon, m \in \mathbb{N}^* \right\}.$$

The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness on X is defined by $\alpha_H : P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\alpha_H(Y) := \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid Y \text{ can be covered by a finitely many balls of radius } \leq \varepsilon \}.$$

If we denote by α one of the functionals α_K and α_H then we have (see [1], [3], [5], [8], [19], [22], [4], ...):

Theorem 4.1. *The functional α has the following properties:*

- (i) $\alpha(A) = 0 \implies \bar{A}$ is compact;
- (ii) $\alpha(A) = \alpha(\bar{A}), \forall A \in P_b(X)$;
- (iii) $A \subset B, A, B \in P_b(X) \implies \alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)$;
- (iv) If $A_n \in P_{b,cl}(X), A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ and $\alpha(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then $A_\infty := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(A_\infty) = 0$.

In the case of a Banach space we have that

- (v) $\alpha(coA) = \alpha(A), \forall A \in P_b(X)$.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. By definition (see [19]), a functional

$$\alpha : P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

is called an abstract measure of noncompactness on X iff:

- (i) $\alpha(A) = 0 \implies \bar{A}$ is compact;
- (ii) $\alpha(A) = \alpha(\bar{A}),$ for all $A \in P_b(X)$;
- (iii) $A \subset B, A, B \in P_b(X) \implies \alpha(A) \leq \alpha(B)$;
- (iv) If $A_n \in P_{b,cl}(X), A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ and $\alpha(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then $A_\infty := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \neq \emptyset$ and $\alpha(A_\infty) = 0$.

In the case of a Banach space we add to these axioms the following:

- (v) $\alpha(coA) = \alpha(A),$ for all $A \in P_b(X)$.

We remark that the Kuratowski's measure of noncompactness, α_K , the Hausdorff's measure of noncompactness, α_H and the diameter functional, δ , are examples of measure of noncompactness in the sense of the above definition (see [3], [7], [8], [9], [12], [19], ...). For other notions of abstract measures of noncompactness see [5], [14], [19] ...

5. Vectorial measures of noncompactness on a cartesian product of some metric spaces

Let $(X_i, d_i), i = \overline{1, m}$, be some complete metric spaces and let $X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$ their cartesian product. We consider on X the cartesian product topology. By definition a subset Y of X is a bounded subset if $\pi_i(Y) \in P_b(X_i), i = \overline{1, m}$. Let α^i be a measure of noncompactness on $X_i, i = \overline{1, m}$. We consider on $P_b(X)$ the following vectorial functional

$$\alpha : P_b(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^m, \alpha(Y) := (\alpha^1(\pi_1(Y)), \dots, \alpha^m(\pi_m(Y)))^T.$$

We have:

Lemma 5.1. *The functional α has the following properties:*

- (i) $Y \in P_b(X)$, $\alpha(Y) = 0 \implies \overline{caY}$ is compact;
- (i') $\alpha(caY) = \alpha(Y)$, for all $Y \in P_b(X)$;
- (ii) $\alpha(\overline{Y}) = \alpha(Y)$, for all $Y \in P_b(X)$;
- (iii) $Y \subset Z$, $Y, Z \in P_b(X) \implies \alpha(Y) \leq \alpha(Z)$;
- (iv) $Y_n \in P_{b,cl,ca}(X)$, $Y_{n+1} \subset Y_n$, $\alpha(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ then $Y_\infty := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n \neq \emptyset$,
 $Y_\infty \in P_{b,cl,ca}(X)$ and $\alpha(Y_\infty) = 0$.
 If $(X_i, |\cdot|_i)$, $i = \overline{1, m}$, are Banach spaces then we have
- (v) $\alpha(coY) = \alpha(Y)$, for all $Y \in P_b(X)$.

Proof. The proof follows from the definition of α and from the definition of α^i . \square

If we take $\alpha^i := \alpha_K^i$, $i = \overline{1, m}$, we have, by definition, the Kuratowski vectorial measure of noncompactness and if we take $\alpha^i := \alpha_H^i$, $i = \overline{1, m}$, we have the Hausdorff vectorial measure of noncompactness.

6. Fixed point theorems in terms of vectorial measures of noncompactness

Definition 6.1. *Let $S \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times m}$ be a matrix convergent to zero and (X_i, d_i) , $i = \overline{1, m}$, complete metric spaces. Let α^i be a measure of noncompactness on X_i , $i = \overline{1, m}$, and α the corresponding vectorial measure of noncompactness on $X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$. An operator $f : X \rightarrow X$ is by definition an (α, S) -contraction iff:*

- (i) $A \in P_b(X) \implies f(A) \in P_b(X)$;
- (ii) $\alpha(f(A)) \leq S\alpha(A)$, for all $A \in P_{b,ca}(X)$ such that $f(A) \subset A$.

If the condition (ii) is satisfied for all $A \in P_{b,ca}(X)$ then f is called a strict (α, S) -contraction.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $Y \in P_{b,cl,ca}(X)$. Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an operator such that:*

- (i) f is continuous;
- (ii) f is an (α, S) -contraction.

Then, there exists $A^ \in P_{b,cl,ca}(Y)$ such that $f(A^*) \subset A^*$ and $\alpha(A^*) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $Y_1 := \overline{caf(Y)}$, $Y_2 := \overline{caf(Y_1)}$, ..., $Y_{n+1} := \overline{caf(Y_n)}$, ... It is clear that $Y_n \in P_{b,cl,ca}(Y)$, $Y_{n+1} \subset Y_n$ and $f(Y_n) \subset Y_n$. Moreover, from Lemma 5.1 and (ii) we have

$$\alpha(Y_n) = \alpha(\overline{caf(Y_{n-1})}) = \alpha(f(Y_{n-1})) \leq S\alpha(Y_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq S^n\alpha(Y),$$

therefore, $\alpha(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. From these we have that

$$Y_\infty := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n \neq \emptyset, Y_\infty \in P_{b,cl,ca}(Y), f(Y_\infty) \subset Y_\infty \text{ and } \alpha(Y_\infty) = 0.$$

So, $A^* := Y_\infty$. \square

In the case of Banach spaces, if $Y \in P_{b,cl,ca,co}(Y)$ then we have in addition that $coY_\infty = Y_\infty$. In the construction of the sequence set $(Y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ we take $Y_{n+1} := \overline{co(caf(Y_n))}$.

From Lemma 6.2 we have the following basic fixed point principle in the case of metric spaces:

Theorem 6.3. *Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = \overline{1, m}$, be some complete metric spaces and let*

$X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$ their cartesian product. Let $Y \in P_{b,cl,ca}(X)$ and $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that:

- (i) *f is continuous;*
- (ii) *f is an (α, S) -contraction;*
- (iii) *$A \in P_{b,cl,ca}(Y)$, $\alpha(A) = 0$ and $f(A) \subset A$ implies that $F_f \cap A \neq \emptyset$.*

Then

- (a) $F_f \neq \emptyset$;
- (b) $\alpha(F_f) = 0$.

Proof. (a) From Lemma 6.2, there exists $A^* \in P_{b,cl,ca}(Y)$ such that $f(A^*) \subset A^*$ and $\alpha(A^*) = 0$ and from condition (iii) it follows that $F_f \cap A^* \neq \emptyset$, i.e., $F_f \neq \emptyset$.

(b) We remark that $F_f \subset A^* = Y_\infty$ (see the proof of Lemma 6.2) and

$$0 \leq \alpha(F_f) \leq \alpha(Y_\infty) = 0.$$

□

If we take $\alpha := \delta$, the vectorial diameter functional, then from Theorem 6.3 we have:

Theorem 6.4. *Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = \overline{1, m}$, be some complete metric spaces and $X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$.*

Let $Y \in P_{b,cl,ca}(X)$ and $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that:

- (i) *f is continuous;*
- (ii) *f is an (δ, S) -contraction.*

Then $F_f = \{x^\}$.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.2, there exists $A^* \in P_{b,cl,ca}(Y)$ such that $f(A^*) \subset A^*$ and $\delta(A^*) = 0$. From $\delta(A^*) = 0$ we have that $A^* = \{x^*\}$ and $f(A^*) \subset A^*$ implies that $x^* \in F_f$. Also, from Theorem 6.3 we have that $\delta(F_f) = 0$, so $F_f = \{x^*\}$. □

In the case of Banach spaces we have:

Theorem 6.5. *Let $(X_i, |\cdot|_i)$, $i = \overline{1, m}$, be Banach spaces, $X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$ and $Y \in$*

$P_{b,cl,cv,ca}(X)$. Let $f : Y \rightarrow Y$ be such that:

- (i) *f is continuous;*
- (ii) *f is an (α, S) -contraction.*

Then

- (a) $F_f \neq \emptyset$;
- (b) $\alpha(F_f) = 0$.

Proof. Let $Y_1 := \overline{co(caf(Y))}$, $Y_2 := \overline{co(caf(Y_1))}$, ..., $Y_{n+1} := \overline{co(caf(Y_n))}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We remark that $Y_n \in P_{b,cl,cv,ca}(Y)$, $f(Y_n) \subset Y_n$, $Y_{n+1} \subset Y_n$ and

$$\alpha(Y_n) = \alpha\left(\overline{co(caf(Y_{n-1}))}\right) = \alpha(f(Y_{n-1})) \leq S\alpha(Y_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq S^n\alpha(Y),$$

therefore, $\alpha(Y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. These imply that

$$Y_\infty := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Y_n \neq \emptyset, Y_\infty \in P_{b,cl,cv,ca}(Y), f(Y_\infty) \subset Y_\infty \text{ and } \alpha(Y_\infty) = 0.$$

Since Y_∞ is a compact convex subset in the Banach space $X = \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$ (we take, for example, on X the norm $\|x\|_\infty = \max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|\}$, which generates the cartesian product topology on X), from Schauder's fixed point theorem we have that $F_f \neq \emptyset$. But $F_f \subset Y_\infty$ is a closed subset of the compact subset Y_∞ , so, F_f is a nonempty compact subset. \square

For the operator $f : \prod_{i=1}^m X_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$, in the terms of vectorial norm, we have:

Theorem 6.6. *Let $(X_i, |\cdot|_i)$, $i = \overline{1, m}$, be Banach spaces, $X := \prod_{i=1}^m X_i$, $\|x\| := (|x_1|_1, \dots, |x_m|_m)^T$, and $f : X \rightarrow X$ such that:*

- (i) *f is continuous;*
- (ii) *f is an (α, S) -contraction;*
- (iii) *there exists $T \in \mathbb{R}_+^{m \times m}$ and a vector $M \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ such that:*
 - (1) *T is a matrix convergent to zero;*
 - (2) *$\|f(x)\| \leq T\|x\| + M$, for all $x \in X$.*

Then

- (a) $F_f \neq \emptyset$;
- (b) $\alpha(F_f) = 0$.

Proof. Let $R = (R_1, \dots, R_m)^T \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, with $R_i > 0$, $i = \overline{1, m}$. We denote by

$$D_R := \{x \in X \mid \|x\| \leq R\}.$$

It is clear that $D_R \in P_{b,cl,ca,co}(X)$.

First we shall prove that there exists $R^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ such that

$$f(D_R) \subset D_R, \forall R \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, R \geq R^0.$$

Let $R \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $x \in D_R$, from (iii)₍₂₎ we have

$$\|f(x)\| \leq TR + M.$$

To prove that $f(D_R) \subset D_R$ it is sufficient to have an R such that

$$TR + M \leq R \Leftrightarrow M \leq (I_m - T)R \Leftrightarrow (I_m - T)^{-1}M \leq R.$$

So, we can take $R^0 := (I_m - T)^{-1}M$. We remark that

$$f|_{D_R} : D_R \rightarrow D_R, \forall R \geq R^0,$$

satisfies the conditions from the Theorem 6.5 with $Y = D_R$. \square

Remark 6.7. The above results generalize some results given in [7], [16], [17], [21], [24].

Remark 6.8. For the vector-valued norm versus scalar norms see [16], [17], [20].

Remark 6.9. For the condition (iii) in the scalar case see [3], [8], [9], [10], [12], [13].

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS – UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0094.

References

- [1] Akhmerov, R.R., Kamenskii, M.I., Potapov, A.S., Rodkina, A.E., Sadovskii, B.N., *Measures of Noncompactness and Condensing Operators*, Birkhäuser, 1992.
- [2] Allaire, G., Kaber, S.M., *Numerical Linear Algebra*, Springer, 2008.
- [3] Appell, J., De Pascale, E., Vignoli, A., *Nonlinear Spectral Theory*, Walter de Gruyter, 2004.
- [4] Ayerbe Toledano, J.M., Dominguez Benavides, T., López Acedo, G., *Measures of Noncompactness in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Birkhäuser, 1997.
- [5] Banas, J., Goebel, K., *Measures of Noncompactness in Banach Spaces*, M. Dekker, 1980.
- [6] Brown, R.F., *Retraction mapping principle in Nielsen fixed point theory*, Pacific J. Math, **115**(1984), 227-297.
- [7] Czerwik, S., *Fixed point theorems and special solutions of functional equations*, Uniwersytet Slaski, Katowice, 1980.
- [8] Deimling, K., *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, 1985.
- [9] Furi, M., Martelli, M., Vignoli, A., *Contributions to the spectral theory for nonlinear operators in Banach spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **118**(1978), 229-294.
- [10] Isac, G., *New results about some nonlinear operators*, Fixed Point Theory, **9**(2008), 139-157.
- [11] Jaulin, L., *Reliable minimax parameter estimation*, Reliab. Comput., **7**(2001), No. 3, 231-246.
- [12] Kim, I.S., *Fixed points, eigenvalues and surjectivity*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **45**(2008), No. 1, 151-161.
- [13] Mawhin, J., *The solvability of some operators equations with a quasibounded nonlinearity in normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **45**(1974), 455-467.
- [14] Pasicki, L., *On the measure of noncompactness*, Comment. Math., **21**(1979), 203-205.
- [15] Perov, A.I., *On the Cauchy problem for a system of ordinary differential equations*, Približen. Metod Res. Dif. Urav., Kiev, 1964, (in Russian).
- [16] Precup, R., *The role of convergent to zero matrices in the study of semilinear operator systems*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **49**(2009), No. 3-4, 703–708.
- [17] Precup, R., *Componentwise compression-expansion conditions for systems of nonlinear operator equations and applications*. Mathematical models in engineering, biology and medicine, 284–293, AIP Conf. Proc., 1124, Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2009.

- [18] Robert, F., *Matrices nonnégatives et normes vectorielles*, Univ. Sc. et Médicale de Grenoble, 1973/1974.
- [19] Rus, I.A., *Fixed Point Structure Theory*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2006.
- [20] Rus, I.A., *Principles and Applications of the Fixed Point Theory*, (Romanian), Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979.
- [21] Rus, I.A., *On the fixed points of the mappings defined on a cartesian product (III)*, (in Romanian), *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.*, **24**(1979), 55-56.
- [22] Rus, I.A., Petruşel, A., Petruşel, G., *Fixed Point Theory*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2008.
- [23] Şerban, M.A., *Fixed point theorems on cartesian product*, *Fixed Point Theory*, **9**(2008), No. 1, 331-350.
- [24] Şerban, M.A., *Fixed Point Theory for Operators on Cartesian Product*, (in Romanian), Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2002.

Ioan A. Rus
Babeş-Bolyai University
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
1, Kogălniceanu Street,
400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
e-mail: iarus@math.ubbcluj.ro

Marcel-Adrian Şerban
Babeş-Bolyai University
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences
1, Kogălniceanu Street,
400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania
e-mail: mserban@math.ubbcluj.ro