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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish equiform differential geometry of curves in 4dimensional Galilean space $\mathbb{G}_{4}$. We obtain the angle between the equiform Frenet vectors and their derivatives in $\mathbb{G}_{4}$. Also, we characterize generalized helices with respect to their equiform curvatures.
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## 1. Introduction

Differential geometry of the Galilean space $\mathbb{G}_{3}$ has been largely developed in O. Röschel's paper [12]. The Frenet formulas of a curve in 4-dimensional Galilean space $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ are given by [13]. The helices in $\mathbb{G}_{3}$ are characterized by [8]. The equiform differential geometry of isotropic spaces and Galilean-pseudo Galilean spaces are represented by $[9,4,5]$. In this paper, we construct equiform differential geometry of curves in $\mathbb{G}_{4}$.

The Galilean space is three dimensional complex projective space, $\mathbb{P}_{3}$, in which absolute figure $\left\{w, f, I_{1}, I_{2}\right\}$ consist of a real plane $w$ (absolute plane), a real line $f \subset w$ (absolute line) and two complex conjugate points, $I_{1}, I_{2} \in f$ (absolute points) [7].

The equiform geometry of Cayley - Klein space is defined by requesting that similarity group of the space preserves angles between planes and lines, respectively. Cayley-Klein geometries are studied for many years. However, they recently have become interesting again since their importance for other fields, like soliton theory [11], have been rediscovered. The positive aspect of this paper is the equiform Frenet formulas and equiform curvatures of $\mathbb{G}_{3}$ to generalize these of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Four-dimensional Galilean geometry can be described as the study of properties of four-dimensional space with coordinates that are invariant under general Galilean transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime}= & (\cos \beta \cos \alpha-\cos \gamma \sin \beta \sin \alpha) x+(\sin \beta \cos \alpha-\cos \gamma \sin \beta \sin \alpha) y \\
& +(\sin \gamma \sin \alpha) z+\left(v \cos \delta_{1}\right) t+a, \\
y^{\prime}= & -(\cos \beta \sin \alpha+\cos \gamma \sin \beta \cos \alpha) x+(-\sin \beta \sin \alpha-\cos \gamma \cos \beta \cos \alpha) y \\
& +(\sin \gamma \cos \alpha) z+\left(v \cos \delta_{2}\right) t+b, \\
z^{\prime}= & (\sin \gamma \sin \beta) x-(\sin \gamma \cos \beta) y+(\cos \gamma) z+\left(v \cos \delta_{3}\right) t+c, \\
t^{\prime}= & t+d,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\cos ^{2} \delta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \delta_{2}+\cos ^{2} \delta_{3}=1$.
Given two vectors $\vec{\alpha}$ and $\vec{\beta}$ with the coordinates $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)$, respectively, then the Galilean scalar product $g$ between the vectors is defined as follows

$$
g(\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta})= \begin{cases}\alpha_{1} \beta_{1}, & \text { if } \alpha_{1} \neq 0 \text { or } \beta_{1} \neq 0  \tag{2.1}\\ \alpha_{2} \beta_{2}+\alpha_{3} \beta_{3}+\alpha_{4} \beta_{4}, & \text { if } \alpha_{1}=0 \text { and } \beta_{1}=0\end{cases}
$$

For the vectors $\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\beta}, \vec{\gamma}$ with the coordinates $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right)$, $\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)$, $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}, \gamma_{4}\right)$, the cross product of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ given by

$$
\vec{\alpha} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \vec{\beta} \times_{\mathbb{G}} \vec{\gamma}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \vec{e}_{2} & \vec{e}_{3} & \vec{e}_{4}  \tag{2.2}\\
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} \\
\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{3} & \beta_{4} \\
\gamma_{1} & \gamma_{2} & \gamma_{3} & \gamma_{4}
\end{array}\right|
$$

where $\vec{e}_{i}$ are the standard basis vectors.
Let $C: I \subset \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{4}$ be a curve, parametrized by the invariant parameter $s=x$, is given in the coordinate form

$$
C(s)=\left(s, c_{1}(s), c_{2}(s), c_{3}(s)\right),
$$

the Frenet vector fields of the curve $C$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{1}=\left(1, \dot{c}_{1}, \dot{c}_{2}, \dot{c}_{3}\right) \\
& V_{2}=\frac{1}{k_{1}}\left(0, \ddot{c}_{1}, \ddot{c}_{2}, \ddot{c}_{3}\right) \\
& V_{3}=\frac{1}{k_{2}}\left(0, \frac{d\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}} \ddot{c}_{1}\right)}{d s}, \frac{d\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}} \ddot{c}_{2}\right)}{d s}, \frac{d\left(\frac{1}{k_{1}} \ddot{c}_{3}\right)}{d s}\right),  \tag{2.3}\\
& V_{4}=V_{1} \times_{\mathbb{G}} V_{2} \times_{\mathbb{G}} V_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are the first, second and third curvature functions, respectively, defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{1} & =\left(\left(\ddot{c}_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\ddot{c}_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\ddot{c}_{3}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
k_{2} & =\left[g\left(\dot{V}_{2}, \dot{V}_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{2.4}\\
k_{3} & =g\left(\dot{V}_{3}, V_{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where the derivative with respect to $s$ denote by a dot. Thus, the Frenet equations of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ given by as follows ([13])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_{1} & =k_{1} V_{2} \\
\dot{V}_{2} & =k_{2} V_{3} \\
\dot{V}_{3} & =-k_{2} V_{2}+k_{3} V_{4} \\
\dot{V}_{4} & =-k_{3} V_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Frenet formulas in equiform geometry of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$

Let $C: I \subset \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{4}$ be a curve parametrized by arclength $s$. The equiform parameter of the curve $C(s)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\int \frac{d s}{\rho} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho=\frac{1}{k_{1}}$ is radius of curvature of the curve. Considering the equation (3.1), it is written that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d s}{d \sigma}=\rho \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $h$ is a homothety with the center in the origin and the coefficient $\lambda$. If we take $\tilde{C}=h(C)$, then it can easily be seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{s}=\lambda s \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{\rho}=\lambda \rho, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{s}$ is the arc-length parameter of $\tilde{C}$ and $\tilde{\rho}$ the radius of curvature of this curve. Hence $\sigma$ is an equiform invariant parameter of $C$.
Remark 3.1. Denote by $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ the curvature functions of the curve $C$. Then, the curvatures $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are not invariants of the homothety group, because from (2.4), it follows that

$$
\tilde{k}_{1}=\frac{1}{\lambda} k_{1}, \tilde{k}_{2}=\frac{1}{\lambda} k_{2}, \tilde{k}_{3}=\frac{1}{\lambda} k_{3} .
$$

Now, if we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{1}=\frac{d C}{d \sigma} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then using (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{1}=\rho V_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, we define the vectors $\mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{3}, \mathbb{V}_{4}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{2}=\rho V_{2}, \quad \mathbb{V}_{3}=\rho V_{3}, \quad \mathbb{V}_{4}=\rho V_{4} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{1}, \mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{3}, \mathbb{V}_{4}\right\}$ is an equiform invariant tetrahedron of the curve $C$.
Now, we will find the derivatives of these vectors with respect to $\sigma$ using by (3.2), (3.4) and (3.6). For this purpose, it can be written that

$$
\mathbb{V}_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{d}{d \sigma}\left(\mathbb{V}_{1}\right)=\dot{\rho} \mathbb{V}_{1}+\mathbb{V}_{2}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime} & =\frac{d \mathbb{V}_{2}}{d \sigma}=\dot{\rho} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}} \mathbb{V}_{3}, \\
\mathbb{V}_{3}^{\prime} & =\frac{d \mathbb{V}_{3}}{d \sigma}=-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\dot{\rho} \mathbb{V}_{3}+\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}} \mathbb{V}_{4}, \\
\mathbb{V}_{4}^{\prime} & =\frac{d \mathbb{V}_{4}}{d \sigma}=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}} \mathbb{V}_{3}+\dot{\rho} \mathbb{V}_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the derivatives of the vectors $\mathbb{V}_{1}, \mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{3}, \mathbb{V}_{4}$ with respect to $\sigma$ are denoted by a dash ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ).
Definition 3.2. The function $\mathbb{K}_{i}: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}(i=1,2,3)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}_{1}=\dot{\rho}, \mathbb{K}_{2}=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}, \mathbb{K}_{3}=\frac{k_{3}}{k_{1}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called $i . t h$ equiform curvature of the curve $C$. It is easy to prove that $\mathbb{K}_{i}$ is differential invariant of the group of equiform transformations.

Thus the formulas analogous to famous the Frenet formulas in the equiform geometry of the Galilean 4 -space $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ have the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{V}_{1}^{\prime} & =\mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{1}+\mathbb{V}_{2}, \\
\mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime} & =\mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\mathbb{K}_{2} \mathbb{V}_{3}, \\
\mathbb{V}_{3}^{\prime} & =-\mathbb{K}_{2} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{3}+\mathbb{K}_{3} \mathbb{V}_{4},  \tag{3.8}\\
\mathbb{V}_{4}^{\prime} & =-\mathbb{K}_{3} \mathbb{V}_{3}+\mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{4},
\end{align*}
$$

where the functions $\mathbb{K}_{1}, \mathbb{K}_{2}, \mathbb{K}_{3}$ is the equiform curvatures of this curve.
These formulas can be written in matrix form as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{V}_{1}^{\prime} \\
\mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime} \\
\mathbb{V}_{3}^{\prime} \\
\mathbb{V}_{4}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbb{K}_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbb{K}_{1} & \mathbb{K}_{2} & 0 \\
0 & -\mathbb{K}_{2} & \mathbb{K}_{1} & \mathbb{K}_{3} \\
0 & 0 & -\mathbb{K}_{3} & \mathbb{K}_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{V}_{1} \\
\mathbb{V}_{2} \\
\mathbb{V}_{3} \\
\mathbb{V}_{4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Because of the equiform Frenet formulas (3.8), the below equalities regarding equiform curvatures can be given

$$
\mathbb{K}_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\rho_{2}^{2}} g\left(\mathbb{V}_{j}^{\prime}, \mathbb{V}_{j}\right), \quad(j=1,2,3,4), \quad \text { for } i=1  \tag{3.9}\\
\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} g\left(\mathbb{V}_{i}^{\prime}, \mathbb{V}_{i+1}\right)=-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} g\left(\mathbb{V}_{i}, \mathbb{V}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right), \quad \text { for } i=2,3,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\rho=\frac{1}{k_{1}}$ is radius of curvature of $C$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $C: I \subset \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{4}$ be a curve parametrized by arclength $s$, $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{1}, \mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{3}, \mathbb{V}_{4}\right\}$ be the equiform invariant tetrahedron and the function $\mathbb{K}_{i}: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $(i=1,2,3)$ be $i . t h$ equiform curvature of the curve $C$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq 4$, the angle between the vectors $\mathbb{V}_{i}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{i}^{\prime}$ is given as follows

$$
\measuredangle\left(\mathbb{V}_{i}, \mathbb{V}_{i}^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}\rho \sqrt{\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}-2 \mathbb{K}_{1}+2} & \text { for } i=1,  \tag{3.10}\\ \arccos \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}_{1}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathbb{K}_{2}^{2}}}\right), & \text { for } i=2, \\ \arccos \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}_{1}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathbb{K}_{2}^{2}+\mathbb{K}_{3}^{2}}}\right), & \text { for } i=3, \\ \arccos \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}_{1}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathbb{K}_{3}^{2}}}\right), & \text { for } i=4\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For $i=1$, let $\theta_{1}$ be the angle between the vectors $\mathbb{V}_{1}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{1}^{\prime}$. Since these vectors are non-isotropic, it is obtained as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{1} & =\left[g\left(\mathbb{V}_{1}-\mathbb{V}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbb{V}_{1}-\mathbb{V}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\rho \sqrt{\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}-2 \mathbb{K}_{1}+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i=2$, denote by $\theta_{2}$, the angle between the vectors $\mathbb{V}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime}$. The vectors $\mathbb{V}_{2}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime}$ are isotropic and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos \theta_{2} & =\frac{g\left(\mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime}\right)}{\left[g\left(\mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{2}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[g\left(\mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime}, \mathbb{V}_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\
& =\frac{\mathbb{K}_{1}}{\sqrt{\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}+\mathbb{K}_{2}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The others are obtained in a similar way.

## 4. The characterizations of the curves

The equiform curvatures $\mathbb{K}_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ in $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ have important geometric interpretation. For example,
(i) The equiform curvatures of a curve have following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}_{2}=\text { const., } \mathbb{K}_{3}=\text { const., } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if the curve is generalized helix. Here, we do not set condition on $\mathbb{K}_{1}$.
(ii) If (4.1) holds and $\mathbb{K}_{1}$ is identically zero, then the curve is a $W$-curve.

Now, we present a few characterizations regarding a curve in $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ with respect to the its equiform curvatures.
Theorem 4.1. Let $C$ be a curve in $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ with the equiform invariant tetrahedron $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{1}, \mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{3}, \mathbb{V}_{4}\right\}$ and with equiform curvatures $\mathbb{K}_{1} \neq 0$. Then $C$ has $\mathbb{K}_{2} \equiv 0$ if and only if $C$ lies fully in a 2 -dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$.
Theorem 4.2. Let $C$ be a curve in $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ with the equiform invariant tetrahedron $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{1}, \mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{3}, \mathbb{V}_{4}\right\}$ and with equiform curvatures $\mathbb{K}_{1}, \mathbb{K}_{2} \neq 0$. Then $C$ has $\mathbb{K}_{3} \equiv 0$ if and only if $C$ lies fully in a hyperplane of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$.

Proof. If $C$ has $\mathbb{K}_{3} \equiv 0$, then from (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{\prime}= & \mathbb{V}_{1} \\
C^{\prime \prime}= & \mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{1}+\mathbb{V}_{2} \\
C^{\prime \prime \prime}= & \left(\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{1}+\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}\right) \mathbb{V}_{1}+2 \mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\mathbb{K}_{2} \mathbb{V}_{3} \\
C^{(4)}= & \left(\frac{d\left(\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{1}+\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}\right)}{d \sigma}+\left(\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{1}+\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}\right) \mathbb{K}_{1}\right) \mathbb{V}_{1} \\
& +\left(\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{1}+3 \mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}+2 \rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{1}-\mathbb{K}_{2}^{2}\right) \mathbb{V}_{2} \\
& +\left(3 \mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{K}_{2}+\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{2}\right) \mathbb{V}_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by using Mclauren expansion for $C$, given by

$$
C(\sigma)=C(0)+C^{\prime}(0) \sigma+C^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2!}+C^{\prime \prime \prime}(0) \frac{\sigma^{3}}{3!}+\ldots
$$

we obtain that $C$ lies fully in a hyperplane of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ by spanned

$$
\left\{C^{\prime}(0), C^{\prime \prime}(0), C^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\right\}
$$

Conversely, we suppose that $C$ lies fully in a hyperplane $\Gamma$ of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$. Then, there exist the points $p, q \in \mathbb{G}_{4}$ such that $C$ satisfies the equation of $\Gamma$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(C(\sigma)-p, q)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q \in \Gamma^{\perp}$. Differentiating (4.2) with respect to $\sigma$, we can write

$$
g\left(C^{\prime}, q\right)=g\left(C^{\prime \prime}, q\right)=g\left(C^{\prime \prime \prime}, q\right)=0
$$

Since

$$
C^{\prime}=\mathbb{V}_{1} \text { and } C^{\prime \prime}=\mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{1}+\mathbb{V}_{2}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\mathbb{V}_{1}, q\right)=g\left(\mathbb{V}_{2}, q\right)=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\mathbb{V}_{3}, q\right)=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, differentiating (4.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =g\left(-\mathbb{K}_{2} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{3}+\mathbb{K}_{3} \mathbb{V}_{4}, q\right) \\
0 & =\mathbb{K}_{3} g\left(\mathbb{V}_{4}, q\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\mathbb{V}_{4}$ is the only vector perpendicular to $\left\{\mathbb{V}_{1}, \mathbb{V}_{2}, \mathbb{V}_{3}\right\}$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{K}_{3}=0
$$

this completes the proof.
Last, we give a characterization for a generalized helix in $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ with respect to the curvatures in equiform geometry.
Theorem 4.3. Let $C$ be a curve with equiform invariant vector $\mathbb{V}_{3}$ in the equiform geometry of $\mathbb{G}_{4}$ is a generalized helix if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{3}^{\prime \prime}+\varphi_{1} \mathbb{V}_{3}=\varphi_{2} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\varphi_{3} \mathbb{V}_{4} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{1}=\mathbb{K}_{2}^{2}+\mathbb{K}_{3}^{2}-\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}-\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{1}, \varphi_{2}=-2 \mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{K}_{2}$ and $\varphi_{3}=2 \mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{K}_{3}$.
Proof. Suppose that the curve $C$ is a generalized helix. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}_{2}=\text { const. and } \mathbb{K}_{3}=\text { const } . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8) and (4.6), it is easy to prove that the equation (4.5) is satisfied.
Conversely, we assume that the equation (4.5) holds. Then from (3.8) , it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}_{3}^{\prime}=-\mathbb{K}_{2} \mathbb{V}_{2}+\mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{V}_{3}+\mathbb{K}_{3} \mathbb{V}_{4} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and differentiating (4.7) with respect to $\sigma$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}_{3}^{\prime \prime}= & \left(-\rho \dot{K}_{2}-2 \mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{K}_{2}\right) \mathbb{V}_{2} \\
& +\left(\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{1}+\mathbb{K}_{1}^{2}-\mathbb{K}_{2}^{2}-\mathbb{K}_{3}^{2}\right) \mathbb{V}_{3} \\
& +\left(\rho \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{3}+2 \mathbb{K}_{1} \mathbb{K}_{3}\right) \mathbb{V}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

so, we obtain

$$
\dot{\mathbb{K}}_{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{\mathbb{K}}_{3}=0
$$

which completes the proof.
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