

The variation of curves length reported to cone metric

Sorin Noaghi

Abstract. On a Lorentz manifold (M, g) we consider a timelike, parallel and unitary vector field Z . We define the Z -length of a curve and we obtain their first and second variation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 53B30, 53C50.

Keywords: Lorentz manifold, field of tangent cones, first and second variation.

1. Introduction

In 1988 Dan I. Papuc has started the study of differential manifold endowed with a field of tangent cones. This mathematical structure includes also the Lorentz manifold (M, g) with the cone of future directed, timelike vector fields. The future-directed cone is defined by normalized vector field Z . So, we have in each point $p \in M$ the structure $(T_p M, K_p)$ where $K_p = \{v \in T_p M \mid g(v, v) \leq 0, g(v, Z_p) < 0\}$. This implies a Krein space where the following order relation is defined:

$$v \leq w \text{ if and only if } v - w \in K_p$$

Moreover, this order relation involves the definition of a norm [3], [4] named Z -norm through:

$$|v|_{Z_p} = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0 \mid -\lambda Z_p \leq v \leq \lambda Z_p\}$$

The expression of the Z - norm is by [5]:

$$|v|_{Z_p} = |g(v, Z_p)| + \sqrt{g(v, v) + [g(v, Z_p)]^2}$$

For a smooth curve $\lambda : [a, b] \rightarrow M$, we define its Z - length the value:

$$\begin{aligned} L_Z(\lambda) &= \int_a^b |\lambda'(t)|_{Z_p} dt \\ &= \int_a^b \left\{ |g(\lambda'(t), Z_{\lambda(t)})| + \sqrt{g(\lambda'(t), \lambda'(t)) + g^2(\lambda'(t), Z_{\lambda(t)})} \right\} dt \end{aligned}$$

We state that the curve $\lambda : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is Z -global in $x_0 = \lambda(t_0)$ if $\lambda'(t_0)$ and $Z_{\lambda(t)}$ are collinear.

For the calculus of the first variation we need to consider some restrictive hypotheses:

A) The future-directed, normalized, timelike vector field Z is parallel, this meaning

$$\nabla_X Z = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

B) The curve $\lambda, \lambda : [a, b] \rightarrow M$ is not Z -global in any of its points.

For this hypothesis we make the following remarks:

Remark 1.1. The necessary condition for B) hypothesis involves $h(\lambda'(t), \lambda'(t)) \neq 0$ where $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y) + g(X, Z)g(Y, Z)$.

We have $h(X, X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{Gram}\{X, Z\} = \begin{vmatrix} g(X, X) & g(X, Z) \\ g(Z, X) & g(Z, Z) \end{vmatrix} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \{X, Z\}$ are collinear.

Remark 1.2. If $\phi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [t_p, t_g] \rightarrow M$ is a piecewise smooth variation of a timelike, future directed curve λ which is not Z -global, then it exists $\delta > 0$ with the property that $\phi(u, \cdot) : [t_p, t_g] \rightarrow M$ is timelike, future directed and not Z -global for every $|u| < \delta$.

Beem [2] (page 253) proved the previous statement for a geodesic segment λ . Without any difficulty, we can give up on the restriction of geodesic segment, considering λ a piecewise smooth timelike future directed curve. It still remains to demonstrate that $\phi(u, \cdot) : [t_p, t_g] \rightarrow M$ is not Z -global for $|u| < \delta$.

Firstly, the smooth differentiation of ϕ involves the fact that it exists $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon$ as $\phi : [-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1] \times [t_p, t_g] \rightarrow M$ is differentiable on compact. Consequently, we can extend to an open set which contains $[-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1] \times [t_p, t_g]$. Because λ is timelike and not Z -global, we have $\phi'(u, t_p^+)$, $\phi'(u, t_q^-)$ timelike vectors which are not collinear with $Z_{\phi(u, t_p^+)}$, respectively $Z_{\phi(u, t_q^-)}$ for $\forall |u| < \delta_1$. We assume that for any $\delta > 0$, $\phi(u_0, \cdot) : [t_p, t_g] \rightarrow M$ is not Z -global, for $\forall |u_0| < \delta_1$. So it exists a sequence $u_n \rightarrow 0$ for which $\phi'(u_n, t_n)$ is collinear with $Z_{\phi(u_n, t_n)}$. Hence $(u_n, t_n) \in [-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1] \times [t_p, t_g]$, which is compact, it results that we have an accumulation point $(0, t)$. So, $\phi'(0, t)$ is collinear with $Z_{\phi(0, t)}$, or $\lambda'(t)$ is collinear with $Z_{\lambda(t)}$, that involves λ being Z -global in $x = \lambda(t)$, affirmation excluded by the hypothesis.

Secondly, we consider the case where $\phi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [t_p, t_g] \rightarrow M$ is a piecewise smooth variation of piecewise smooth timelike, future directed and not Z -global curve λ . There is a partition $t_p = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = t_q$ so that $\phi|_{(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [t_{i-1}, t_i]}$ is a smooth timelike not Z -global future directed variation of $\lambda|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$, $\forall i = \overline{1, k}$. According to the above results, we have $\delta_i, i = \overline{1, k}$ so that $\phi(u, \cdot) : [t_{i-1}, t_i] \rightarrow M$ is not Z -global and $\phi'(u, t_{i-1}^+), \phi'(u, t_i^-)$ are not collinear with $Z_{\phi(u, t_{i-1}^+)}$, respectively $Z_{\phi(u, t_i^-)}$ for $|u| < \delta_i$. Considering $\delta = \min_{i=\overline{1, k}} \delta_i$, we obtain that $\phi(u, \cdot) : [t_p, t_g] \rightarrow M$ is not a Z -global curve for $|u| < \delta$.

C) We assume that $\lambda : [t_p, t_q] \rightarrow M$ is a timelike future directed curve, h -unitary parametrized, this means $h(\lambda', \lambda') = g(\lambda', \lambda') + g(\lambda', Z)^2 = 1$.

Remark 1.3. Obviously, the h -unitary condition implies that the λ curve is not Z -global as stated in Remark 1.1.

Considering $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M$, $\mathcal{A} := (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [t_p, t_q]$ a proper smooth causal variation of λ , the curves $\phi(u, \cdot) : [t_p, t_q] \rightarrow M$, $|u| < \varepsilon$ are not Z -global in any of their points. So:

1. $\Phi(0, t) = \lambda(t)$, $\forall t \in [t_p, t_q]$
2. $\Phi(u, t_p) = p$, $\Phi(u, t_q) = q$
3. $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^3(\mathcal{A})$
4. $g(V, V) < 0$, $g(V, Z) < 0$, $g(V, Z)^2 + g(V, V) \neq 0$ where $V = \phi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)$

We note the variation vector field by $X = \phi_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)$ where $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\}$ is a base in $T_{(u,t)}\mathcal{A}$. We note $L_Z(u) = L_Z(\phi(u, \cdot))$. Considering (A) and (B) hypotheses to be valid, we have to demonstrate:

Lemma 1.4. *The first variation of Z - length of λ is:*

$$\frac{d}{du}L_Z(0) = - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(\lambda', \lambda')}} h(\lambda'', P_{(\lambda')^\perp}^h X)|_{(0,t)} dt$$

where $P_{(Y)^\perp}^h X = X - \frac{h(X, Y)}{h(Y, Y)} Y$ is the X projection, respecting the bilinear form h on Y^\perp .

If, additionally, we assume that λ is a geodesic segment, and all three A, B, C hypotheses are being satisfied, we prove:

Lemma 1.5. *The second variation of Z - length of λ is:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{du^2}L_Z(0) &= - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} h(R(X, \lambda')\lambda' + N'', N)|_{(0,t)} dt + \\ &+ \{h(\nabla_X X, \lambda') - g(\nabla_X X, Z) + h(N', N)\}|_{(0,t)}|_{t_p}^{t_q} \end{aligned}$$

where $N = X - h(X, V)V$.

2. The first variation

We make the following remark:

$h : TM \times TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bilinear metric, positive form, semidefined, degenerated, with its signature $(n - 1, 0, 1)$ because:

$$\begin{aligned} X(h(Y_1, Y_2)) &= X[g(Y_1, Y_2) + g(Y_1, Z)g(Y_2, Z)] = \\ &= g(\nabla_X Y_1, Y_2) + g(Y_1, \nabla_X Y_2) + \\ &+ [g(\nabla_X Y_1, Z) + g(Y_1, \nabla_X Z)]g(Y_2, Z) + \\ &+ g(Y_1, Z)[g(\nabla_X Y_2, Z) + g(Y_2, \nabla_X Z)] \\ &= g(\nabla_X Y_1, Y_2) + g(Y_1, \nabla_X Y_2) + g(\nabla_X Y_1, Z)g(Y_2, Z) + \\ &+ g(Y_1, Z)g(\nabla_X Y_2, Z) = h(\nabla_X Y_1, Y_2) + h(Y_1, \nabla_X Y_2) \end{aligned}$$

where we used the A) hypothesis about Z , namely $\nabla_X Z = 0$.

We have for the Z - length of curve $\phi(u,) : [t_p, t_q] \rightarrow M$ the expression:

$$L_Z(u) = \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ -g(V, Z) + \sqrt{h(V, V)} \right\} dt$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du} L_Z(u) &= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} [g(V, Z)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \sqrt{h(V, V)} \right\} dt = \\ &= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ -g(\nabla_X V, Z) - g(V, \nabla_X Z) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} h(\nabla_X V, V) \right\} dt \end{aligned}$$

Since $[X, V] = 0$, then $\nabla_X V = \nabla_V X$ and so:

$$\frac{d}{du} L_Z(u) = \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ -g(\nabla_X V, Z) + \frac{h(\nabla_V X, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} \right\} dt \quad (2.1)$$

We calculate:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [g(X, Z)] = g(\nabla_V X, Z) + g(X, \nabla_V Z) = g(\nabla_V X, Z) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{h(X, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} \right] = \\ &= \frac{[h(\nabla_V X, V) + h(X, \nabla_V V)] \sqrt{h(V, V)} - h(X, V) \frac{h(\nabla_V V, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}}}{h(V, V)} \\ &= \frac{h(\nabla_V X, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} + \frac{h(X, \nabla_V V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} - \frac{h(X, V) h(\nabla_V V, V)}{[\sqrt{h(V, V)}]^3} = \\ &= \frac{h(\nabla_V X, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} \left[h(\nabla_V V, X) - \frac{h(X, V)}{h(V, V)} h(\nabla_V V, V) \right] = \\ &= \frac{h(\nabla_V X, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} h \left(\nabla_V V, X - \frac{h(X, V)}{h(V, V)} V \right) \quad (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing the results from (2.2) and (2.3) in (2.1), we obtain the following:

$$\frac{d}{du} L_Z(0) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [g(X, Z)] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[\frac{h(X, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} \right] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} h(\nabla_V V, P_{V^\perp}^h X) \right\} \Big|_{(0,t)} dt \\
 &= - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} h(\nabla_V V, P_{V^\perp}^h X) \right\} \Big|_{(0,t)} dt + \\
 &+ \left\{ \frac{h(X, V)}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} - g(X, Z) \right\} \Big|_{(0,t)} \Big|_{t_p}^{t_q} \\
 &= - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(V, V)}} h(\nabla_V V, P_{V^\perp}^h X) \right\} \Big|_{(0,t)} dt \\
 &= - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{h(\lambda', \lambda')}} h(\lambda'', P_{(\lambda')^\perp}^h X) \right\} \Big|_{(0,t)} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

We have used the hypothesis of proper variation, namely: $X(t_p^+) = X(t_q^-) = 0$

Remark 2.1. If λ is a geodesic segment, then $\frac{d}{du} L_Z(0) = 0$ because $\nabla_V V|_{(0,t)} = \frac{D\lambda'}{\partial t} = 0$. In consequence, the geodesic segments, that are not Z -global, are stationary points for the Z - length functional.

Remark 2.2. Let it be $\lambda : [t_p, t_q] \rightarrow M$ a piecewise smooth timelike and future directed curve, h -unitary parametrized which is not Z - global. Noting with $L_Z^i(u)$ the Z -length of the uniparametric variation of the curve $\lambda|_{[t_{i-1}, t_i]}$, $i = \overline{1, k}$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dL_Z^i(0)}{du} &= - \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} h(\lambda'', P_{(\lambda')^\perp}^h X) \Big|_{(0,t)} dt + \\
 &+ \{h(\lambda', X) - g(X, Z)\} \Big|_{(0,t)} \Big|_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i}
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dL_Z}{du}(0) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{dL_Z^i(0)}{du} = - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} h(\lambda'', P_{(\lambda')^\perp}^h X) \Big|_{(0,t)} dt - \\
 &- \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} h(X(t_i), \Delta_{t_i}(\lambda'))
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{t_i}(\lambda') = \lambda'(t_i^+) - \lambda'(t_i^-)$, $\forall i = \overline{1, k-1}$ and we have taken into account the fact that the variation is proper, so: $X(\lambda(t_p)) = X(\lambda(t_q)) = 0$.

Remark 2.3. Considering H a spatial hypersurface and assuming that $\lambda : [t_p, t_q] \rightarrow M$ is a timelike future directed geodesical segment, not Z -global with $\lambda(t_p) = p \in H$ and

$\lambda(t_p) = q \notin H$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{dL_Z(0)}{du} = g(X_p, Z_p) - g(X_p, \lambda'(t_p)) - g(X_p, Z_p)g(\lambda'(t_p), Z_p) = \\ &= -g(X_p, \lambda'(t_p)) + g(\lambda'(t_p), Z_p)Z_p - Z_p \end{aligned}$$

It results that $\lambda'(t_p) + [g(\lambda'(t_p), Z_p) - 1]Z_p$ has to be g orthogonal on H .

If $\lambda : [t_p, t_q] \rightarrow M$ is a geodesic segment, an affine parametrization of λ so that $g(\lambda'(t_p), Z_p) = 1$ can be found. In this case, the above condition becomes: $\lambda'(t_p)$ is g orthogonal on H .

3. The second variation

We calculate the second variation of the Z -length of the geodesical curve λ , h -unitary parametrized. Starting with the formula (2.1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{du} [h(\nabla_V X, V)] &= h(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, V) + h(\nabla_V X, \nabla_X V) \\ \frac{d}{du} [h(V, V)] &= 2h(\nabla_X V, V) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I &\stackrel{def}{=} \frac{d}{du} \left\{ -g(\nabla_V X, Z) + h(V, V)^{-\frac{1}{2}} h(\nabla_V X, V) \right\} \quad (3.1) \\ &= -g(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, Z) - h(V, V)^{-\frac{3}{2}} h(\nabla_X V, V) h(\nabla_V X, V) + \\ &\quad + h(V, V)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [h(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, V) + h(\nabla_V X, \nabla_X V)] \\ &= -g(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, Z) - h(V, V)^{-\frac{3}{2}} [h(\nabla_V X, V)]^2 + \\ &\quad + h(V, V)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [h(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, V) + h(\nabla_V X, \nabla_X V)] \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$N \stackrel{def}{=} X - h(X, V)V \quad (3.2)$$

the h -normal on V vector field.

Next we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_V [h(X, V)V]|_{(0,t)} &= \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} [h(X, V)] \right\} V + h(X, V) \nabla_V V|_{(0,t)} \quad (3.3) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} [h(X, V)] \right\} V \end{aligned}$$

$$h(\nabla_V N, V)|_{(0,t)} = \frac{d}{dt} \{h(N, V)\} - h(N, \nabla_V V)|_{(0,t)} = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(\nabla_V N, \nabla_V [h(X, V)V]|_{(0,t)}) &= h \left(\nabla_V N, \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} [h(X, V)] \right\} V \right) \quad (3.5) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} [h(X, V)] h(\nabla_V N, V)|_{(0,t)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& h(\nabla_V X, \nabla_X V)|_{(0,t)} = h(\nabla_V X, \nabla_V X)|_{(0,t)} \\
& \stackrel{(3.2)}{=} h(\nabla_V \{N + h(X, V)V\}, \nabla_V \{N + h(X, V)V\})|_{(0,t)} \\
& \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} h\left(\nabla_V N + \frac{d}{dt} \{h(X, V)\}V, \nabla_V N + \frac{d}{dt} \{h(X, V)\}V\right)|_{(0,t)} \\
& = h(\nabla_V N, \nabla_V N) + 2\frac{d}{dt} \{h(X, V)\}h(\nabla_V N, V) + \\
& + \left[\frac{d}{dt} \{h(X, V)\}\right]^2 h(V, V)|_{(0,t)} \\
& \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} h(\nabla_V N, \nabla_V N) + \left[\frac{d}{dt} \{h(X, V)\}\right]^2 |_{(0,t)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& h(\nabla_V N, V)|_{(0,t)} = h(\nabla_V \{N + h(X, V)V\}, V)|_{(0,t)} = h(\nabla_V N, V) + \\
& + h(\nabla_V h(X, V)V, V) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} h(\nabla_V N, V) + \frac{d}{dt} \{h(X, V)\}|_{(0,t)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Replacing these results in (3.1) we have:

$$I|_{(0,t)} = -g(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, Z) + h(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, V) + h(\nabla_V N, \nabla_V N)|_{(0,t)}$$

We get:

$$\frac{d^2 L_Z(0)}{du^2} = \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \{-g(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, Z) + h(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, V) + h(\nabla_V N, \nabla_V N)\}|_{(0,t)} dt \tag{3.8}$$

and for this equality, we calculate:

$$\nabla_X \nabla_V X = \nabla_V \nabla_X X - R(V, X)X \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\nabla_V \nabla_X X, Z)|_{(0,t)} &= \frac{d}{dt} \{g(\nabla_X X, Z)\} - g(\nabla_X X, \nabla_V Z)|_{(0,t)} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \{g(\nabla_X X, Z)\}|_{(0,t)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-g(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, Z)|_{(0,t)} &= g(R(V, X)X - \nabla_V \nabla_X X, Z)|_{(0,t)} \\
&= g(R(V, X)X, Z) - \frac{d}{dt} \{g(\nabla_X X, Z)\}|_{(0,t)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& h(\nabla_X \nabla_V X, V)|_{(0,t)} = h(\nabla_V \nabla_X X - R(V, X)X, V)|_{(0,t)} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \{h(\nabla_X X, V)\} - h(\nabla_X X, \nabla_V V) - h(R(V, X)X, V)|_{(0,t)} \\
&= -h(R(V, X)X, V) + \frac{d}{dt} \{h(\nabla_X X, V)\}|_{(0,t)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$h(\nabla_V N, \nabla_V V) = \frac{d}{dt} \{h(N, \nabla_V N)\} - h(N, \nabla_V \nabla_V N) \tag{3.13}$$

Replacing the results from (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13), (3.8) becomes:

$$\frac{d^2 L_Z(0)}{du^2} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ g(R(V, X)X, Z) - \frac{d}{dt} \{g(\nabla_X X, Z)\} - h(R(V, X)X, V) \right\} |_{(0,t)} dt + \quad (3.14) \\
&+ \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \left\{ -h(N, \nabla_V \nabla_V N) + \frac{d}{dt} \{h(\nabla_X X, V)\} + \frac{d}{dt} \{h(N, \nabla_V N)\} \right\} |_{(0,t)} dt \\
&= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \{g(R(V, X)X, Z) - h(R(V, X)X, V) - h(N, \nabla_V \nabla_V N)\} |_{(0,t)} dt + \\
&+ \{-g(\nabla_X X, Z) + h(\nabla_X X, V) + h(N, \nabla_V N)\} |_{(0,t)} \Big|_{t_p}^{t_q}
\end{aligned}$$

For the (3.14) expressions we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
g(R(V, X)X, Z) &= -g(R(V, X)Z, X) = \quad (3.15) \\
&= -g(\nabla_V \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_V Z, X) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
h(R(V, X)X, V) &= g(R(V, X)X, V) + g(R(V, X)X, Z)g(V, Z) \quad (3.16) \\
&= g(R(V, X)X, V) = g(R(X, V)V, X) = g(R(X, V)V, N + h(X, V)V) \\
&= g(R(X, V)V, N) + g(R(X, V)V, V)h(X, V) = g(R(X, V)V, N)
\end{aligned}$$

$$g(R(X, V)V, Z) = -g(R(X, V)Z, V) = 0 \quad (3.17)$$

With the results from (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17) replaced in (3.14) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d^2 L_Z(0)}{du^2} = \\
&= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \{-g(R(X, V)V, N) - h(N, \nabla_V \nabla_V N)\} |_{(0,t)} dt + \\
&+ \{\{h(\nabla_X X, V) + h(N, \nabla_V N) - g(\nabla_X X, Z)\} |_{(0,t)}\} \Big|_{t_p}^{t_q} \\
&= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \{-g(R(X, V)V, N) - g(R(X, V)V, Z)g(N, Z) - h(N, \nabla_V \nabla_V N)\} |_{(0,t)} dt + \\
&+ \{\{h(\nabla_X X, V) + h(N, \nabla_V N) - g(\nabla_X X, Z)\} |_{(0,t)}\} \Big|_{t_p}^{t_q} \\
&= \int_{t_p}^{t_q} \{-h(R(X, V)V, N) - h(N, \nabla_V \nabla_V N)\} |_{(0,t)} dt + \\
&+ \{\{h(\nabla_X X, V) + h(N, \nabla_V N) - g(\nabla_X X, Z)\} |_{(0,t)}\} \Big|_{t_p}^{t_q}
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion the second variation formula is the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^2 L_Z(0)}{du^2} &= - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} h(R(X, \lambda')\lambda' + N'', N) |_{(0,t)} dt + \\
&+ \{h(\nabla_X X, \lambda') + h(N', N) - g(\nabla_X X, Z)\} |_{(0,t)} \Big|_{t_p}^{t_q}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. In the hypothesis according to which $\phi : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [t_p, t_q] \rightarrow M$ is a proper variation of λ , we have that: $X(t_p^+) = X(t_q^-) = 0$ and therefore $N(t_p^+) = N(t_q^-) = 0$. This simplifies the second variation formula:

$$\frac{d^2 L_Z(0)}{du^2} = - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} h(R(X, \lambda')\lambda' + N'', N)|_{(0,t)} dt + \\ + \{h(\nabla_X X, \lambda') - g(\nabla_X X, Z)\}|_{(0,t)} \Big|_{t_p}^{t_q}$$

Remark 3.2. In the hypothesis stating that ϕ is a canonical proper variation of λ , (meaning that $\phi(u, t) = \exp_{\lambda(t)} uY(t)$, with $Y(t)$ a vector field along λ , that respects:

$$Y(t_p) = Y(t_q) = 0, g(Y(t), \lambda'(t)) = 0, \forall t \in [t_p, t_q])$$

we have that the curves: $u \mapsto \phi(u, t_0)$ are geodesics, namely

$$\nabla_X X = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X = \phi_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right) \Big|_{(0,t)} = Y(t).$$

This implies the following expression for the second variation:

$$\frac{d^2 L_Z(0)}{du^2} = - \int_{t_p}^{t_q} h(R(Y, \lambda')\lambda' + N'', N)|_{(0,t)} dt.$$

References

- [1] Andrica, D., *Critical Point Theory and Some Applications*, University Press, Cluj-Napoca 2005.
- [2] Beem, J., Ehrlich, P.E., *Global Lorentzian Geometry*, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York and Basel, 1981.
- [3] Papuc, D.I., *A New Geometry of a Lorentzian Manifold*, Publicationes Math, Debrecen Tomus, **52**(1998), no. 2, 145-158.
- [4] Papuc, D.I., *The geometry of a Vector Bundle Endowed with a Cone Field*, Gen. Math., **5**(1997), 313-323.
- [5] Noaghi, S.D., *The Geometrical Interpretation of Temporal Cone Norm in Almost Minkowski Manifold*, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, **8**(2003), no. 2, 37-42.

Sorin Noaghi
 University of Petroșani
 Faculty of Sciences
 20, University Street
 Petroșani, Romania
 e-mail: snoaghi@yahoo.com