

On certain subclasses of analytic functions

Imran Faisal, Zahid Shareef and Maslina Darus

Abstract. In the present paper, we introduce and study certain new subclasses of analytic functions in the open unit disk U . Some inclusion relationships and integral preserving properties have also discussed in particular with reference to a new integral operator.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45.

Keywords: Analytic functions, starlike functions, inclusion relationship.

1. Introduction

Let A be the class of functions of the form $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ which are analytic and normalized in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Next we define some well known subclasses such as starlike, convex, close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions of A , denoted by $S^*(\xi)$, $C(\xi)$, $K(\rho, \xi)$ and $K^*(\rho, \xi)$ respectively as follow(cf.[1]-[3]):

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(\xi) &= \left\{ f \in A : \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \xi, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}, 0 \leq \xi < 1. \\ C(\xi) &= \left\{ f \in A : \Re \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \xi, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}, 0 \leq \xi < 1. \\ K(\rho, \xi) &= \left\{ f \in A : \exists g(z) \in S^*(\xi) \wedge \Re \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > \rho, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}, 0 \leq \rho < 1. \\ K^*(\rho, \xi) &= \left\{ f \in A : \exists g(z) \in C(\xi) \wedge \Re \left(\frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right) > \rho, z \in \mathbb{U} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$f(z) \in C(\xi) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S^*(\xi) \wedge f(z) \in K^*(\rho, \xi) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in K(\rho, \xi).$$

For $f \in A$ and $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$, we define a new differential operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^0(\beta, \gamma)f(z) &= f(z) \\ (\gamma + \beta + 1)\Theta^1(\beta, \gamma)f(z) &= \beta f(z) + (\gamma + 1)(zf'(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z) &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + k(\gamma + 1)}{\gamma + \beta + 1} \right)^n a_k z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

This operator is closely related to the following operators:

1. $\Theta^n(\lambda, 0)f(z) = \Theta^n(\lambda)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+\lambda}{1+\lambda} \right)^n a_k z^k$, (see [4, 5]);
2. $\Theta^n(1, 0)f(z) = \Theta^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+1}{2} \right)^n a_k z^k$, (see[6]);
3. $\Theta^n(0, 0)f(z) = \Theta^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k)^n a_k z^k$, (see[7]).

$$(1.1) \Rightarrow (\gamma + 1)z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z))' = (\gamma + 1 + \beta)\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f(z) - \beta\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z).$$

Now for linear operator $\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)$ we define the following subclasses of A :

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) &= \{f \in A : \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f \in S^*(\xi)\}; \\ C_n(\xi, \beta, \gamma) &= \{f \in A : \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f \in C(\xi)\}; \\ K_n(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma) &= \{f \in A : \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f \in K(\rho, \xi)\}; \\ K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma) &= \{f \in A : \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f \in K^*(\rho, \xi)\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Inclusion relationships

Lemma 2.1. [8, 9] *Let $\varphi(\mu, v)$ be a complex function such that $\varphi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $D \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, and let $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$. Suppose that $\varphi(\mu, v)$ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $\varphi(\mu, v)$ is continuous in D ;
2. $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\Re\varphi(1, 0) > 0$;
3. $\Re\varphi(i\mu_2, v_1) \leq 0$ for all $(i\mu_2, v_1) \in D$ such that $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \mu_2^2)$.

Let $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ be analytic in \mathbb{U} , such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ for all $z \in \mathbb{U}$. If $\Re\{\varphi(h(z), zh'(z))\} > 0 (z \in \mathbb{U})$, then $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f \in A$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\beta, \gamma \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$S_{n+1}^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq S_{n-1}^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma).$$

Proof. Let $f \in S_{n+1}^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$, and suppose that

$$\frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z)} = \xi + (1 - \xi)h(z).$$

Since

$$\left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\gamma + 1}\right) \frac{\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f(z)}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z)} = \xi + (1 - \xi)h(z) + \frac{\beta}{\gamma + 1},$$

therefore

$$\frac{z(\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f(z))'}{\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f(z)} - \xi = (1 - \xi)h(z) + \frac{(\gamma + 1)(1 - \xi)zh'(z)}{\beta + (\gamma + 1)\xi + (1 - \xi)h(z)}.$$

Taking $h(z) = \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $zh'(z) = v = v_1 + iv_2$, we define $\varphi(\mu, v)$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\mu, v) &= (1 - \xi)\mu + \frac{(\gamma + 1)(1 - \xi)v}{\beta + (\gamma + 1)\xi + (1 - \xi)\mu}. \\ \Rightarrow \Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, v_1)\} &= \frac{[1 + (\gamma + 1)\xi](\gamma + 1)(1 - \xi)v_1}{(1 + (\gamma + 1)\xi)^2 + (1 - \xi)^2\mu_2^2}, \\ \Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, v_1)\} &\leq -\frac{[1 + (\gamma + 1)\xi](\gamma + 1)(1 - \xi)(1 + \mu_2^2)}{(1 + (\gamma + 1)\xi)^2 + (1 - \xi)^2\mu_2^2} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\varphi(\mu, v)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Hence $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0 (z \in \mathbb{U})$, implies $f \in S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in A$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\beta, \gamma \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then

$$C_{n+1}(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq C_n(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq C_{n-1}(\xi, \beta, \gamma).$$

Proof. Let $f \in C_{n+1}(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow \Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f \in C(\xi) \Leftrightarrow z(\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f)' \in S^*(\xi) \Rightarrow \Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)(zf') \in S^*(\xi) \Rightarrow zf' \in S_{n+1}^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow zf' \in S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)(zf') \in S^*(\xi) \Rightarrow z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f)' \in S^*(\xi) \Leftrightarrow \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f \in C(\xi) \Rightarrow f \in C_n(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$. \square

Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in A$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $\beta, \gamma \geq 0, 0 \leq \rho < 1, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then

$$K_{n+1}(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq K_n(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq K_{n-1}(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma).$$

Proof. Let $f \in K_{n+1}(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$ and suppose that

$$\left(\frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z)}\right) = \rho + (1 - \rho)h(z), z \in \mathbb{U}.$$

Using (1.1) we have

$$\frac{z(\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f(z))'}{\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)g(z)} = \frac{\frac{(\gamma+1)z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f'(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z)} + \frac{\beta z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)zf'(z))}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z)}}{\frac{(\gamma+1)z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z)} + \beta}.$$

Since $\frac{(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)zf'(z))}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z)} = \rho + (1 - \rho)h(z)$ and $g(z) \in S_{n+1}^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$. Therefore

$$\frac{z(\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)f(z))'}{\Theta^{n+1}(\beta, \gamma)g(z)} - \rho = (1 - \rho)h(z) + \frac{(\gamma + 1)(1 - \rho)zh'(z)}{(\gamma + 1)(\xi + (1 - \xi)H(z)) + \beta}.$$

Taking $h(z) = \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $zh'(z) = v = v_1 + iv_2$, we define $\varphi(\mu, v)$ by

$$\varphi(\mu, v) = (1 - \rho)\mu + \frac{(\gamma + 1)(1 - \rho)v}{(\gamma + 1)(\xi + (1 - \xi)H(z)) + \beta}.$$

This implies

$$\Re\{\varphi(i\mu_2, v_1)\} = -\frac{(\gamma+1)(1+\mu_2^2)(1-\rho)[\beta+\xi(\gamma+1)+(\gamma+1)(1-\xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]}{[\beta+\xi(\gamma+1)+(\gamma+1)(1-\xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]^2 + [(\gamma+1)(1-\xi)h_2(x_1, y_1)]^2} < 0.$$

Hence, the function $\varphi(\mu, v)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.1. Implies $\Re\{h(z)\} > 0 (z \in \mathbb{U})$ gives $f \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$. \square

Similarly we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f \in A$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$, $\beta, \gamma \geq 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then*

$$K_{n+1}^*(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma) \subseteq K_{n-1}^*(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma).$$

3. Integral operator

For $c > -1$ and $f \in A$, the integral operator $L_c(f) : A \rightarrow A$ is defined by

$$L_c(f) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt. \quad (3.1)$$

The operator $L_c(f)$ was introduced by Bernardi [10].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $c > -1$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$. If $f \in S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$, then $L_c(f) \in S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Proof. By using (3.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z)} &= (c+1) \frac{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z)}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z)} - c. \\ \text{Let } \frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z)} &= \xi + (1-\xi)h(z), \quad h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots \\ \frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z)} - \xi &= (1-\xi)h(z) + \frac{(1-\xi)zh'(z)}{\xi + (1-\xi)h(z) + c}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\varphi(\mu, v) = (1-\xi)\mu + \frac{(1-\xi)v}{\xi + c + (1-\xi)\mu}, \quad (\text{same as Theorem 2.2}),$$

and

$$\Re[\varphi(i\mu_2, v_1)] = \frac{(\xi+c)(1-\xi)v_1}{[\xi+c]^2 + [(1-\xi)\mu_2]^2} \leq \frac{-(\xi+c)(1-\xi)(1+\mu_2^2)}{2[\xi+c]^2 + 2[(1-\xi)\mu_2]^2} < 0.$$

After using Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.1., we have $L_c(f) \in S_n^*(\xi, \lambda, \alpha, \beta, \mu)$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let $c > -1$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$. If $f(z) \in C_n(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$, then $L_c(f) \in C_n(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Proof. Proof is same as that of Theorem 2.3. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $c > -1$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, $0 \leq \rho < 1$. If $f(z) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$, then $L_c(f) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Proof. Since $f \in K_n(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow \Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f \in K(\rho, \xi)$. Let

$$\frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c f(z))''}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c g(z)} = \rho + (1-\rho).$$

Using (3.1) we have

$$\left(\frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z)}\right) = \frac{\frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c(zf'(z))')}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_cg(z)} + c \frac{(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c(zf'(z)))}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_cg(z)}}{\frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c(g(z))')}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_cg(z)} + c}.$$

Since $g(z) \in S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma) \Rightarrow L_c(g(z)) \in S_n^*(\xi, \beta, \gamma)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_c(g(z))')}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)L_cg(z)} &= \xi + (1 - \xi)H(z), \Re(H(z)) > 0. \\ \Rightarrow \left(\frac{z(\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)f(z))'}{\Theta^n(\beta, \gamma)g(z)}\right) - \rho &= (1 - \rho)h(z) + \frac{(1 - \rho)zh'(z)}{\xi + (1 - \xi)H(z) + c}. \end{aligned}$$

Using method of Theorem 2.3, we get

$$\varphi(\mu, v) = (1 - \rho)\mu + \frac{(1 - \rho)v}{\xi + (1 - \xi)H(z) + c}.$$

Taking $h(z) = \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$ and $zh'(z) = v = v_1 + iv_2$, we define the function $\varphi(\mu, v)$ by:

$$\Re[\varphi(i\mu_2, v_1)] = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1 + \mu_2^2)(1 - \rho)[(\xi + c) + (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]}{[(\xi + c) + (1 - \xi)h_1(x_1, y_1)]^2 + [(1 - \xi)h_2(x_2, y_2)]^2} < 0.$$

Hence, by using Lemma 2.1. we have $L_c(f) \in K_n(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$. □

Similarly we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $c > -1$, $0 \leq \xi < 1$, and $0 \leq \rho < 1$. If $f(z) \in K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$, then $L_c(f) \in K_n^*(\rho, \xi, \beta, \gamma)$.*

Acknowledgement. The work is supported by LRGS/TD/2011/UKM/ICT/03/02 and GUP-2012-023.

References

- [1] Robertson, M.S., *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. Math., **37**(1936), 374-408.
- [2] Noor, K.I., *On quasi-convex functions and related topics*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **10**(1987), 241-258.
- [3] Libera, R.J., *Some radius of convexity problems*, Duke Math. J., **31**(1964), 143-158.
- [4] Cho, N.E., Kim, T.H., *Multiplier transformations and strongly close to convex functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **40**(2003), 399-410.
- [5] Cho, N.E., Srivastava, H.M., *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations*, Math. Comput. Modeling, **37**(2003), 39-49.
- [6] Uralegaddi, B.A., Somanatha, C., *Certain classes of univalent functions*, In: *current topics in analytic functions theory*, eds., H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa, World Scientific Company, Singapore, 1992, 371-374.
- [7] Salagean, G.S., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, Springer-Verlag, Heideberg, 1983, 362-372.

- [8] Miller, S.S., *Differential inequalities and Caratheodory function*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **8**(1975), 79-81.
- [9] Miller, S.S., Mocanu, P.T., *Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **65**(1978), 289-305.
- [10] Bernardi, S.D., *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135**(1969), 429-446.

Imran Faisal

Department of Mathematics

COMSATS Institute of Information Technology Attock Campus, Pakistan

e-mail: faisalmath@gmail.com

Zahid Shareef

School of Mathematical Sciences

University Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

e-mail: zahidmath@yahoo.com

Maslina Darus

School of Mathematical Sciences

University Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

e-mail: maslina@ukm.my