

# Note on a property of the Banach spaces

Nuno C. Freire and Maria Fernanda Veiga

**Abstract.** We show that we may consider a partial ordering  $\leq$  in an infinite dimensional Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , which we obtain through any normed Hamel base of the space, such that  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \leq)$  is a Banach lattice.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 46B20, 46B30.

**Keywords:** Order, norm, lattice.

## 1. Introduction

Why trying to see, concerning a Banach space  $X$ , whether there exists or not a partial ordering in  $X$  that is compatible with the topology? The particular geometric properties of Banach lattices and, the contrast concerning the continuity properties of the coordinate linear functionals associated either to a Schauder basis or to a Hamel base in a Banach space ([2], Chapter 4 and [3]), we decided to consider these matters altogether. We prove in Theorem 3.1 that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  being an infinite dimensional real Banach space and the normed vectors  $x_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ) determining a Hamel base  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $X$ , we may consider a partial order  $\leq_{\mathcal{H}}$  in  $X$  such that the triple  $(X, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \leq_{\mathcal{H}})$  is a Banach lattice where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  is an equivalent norm to  $\|\cdot\|$  in  $X$ . In the Preliminaries, paragraph 2., we briefly set the notations. We consider real Banach spaces  $X$  and we say that a linear isomorphism which is a homeomorphism between two topological vector spaces is a linear homeomorphism ([4], II.1, p. 53 in a definition). Also in [4], we can find the algebraic Hamel base of a vector space  $X$  not reducing to  $\{0\}$  namely (p. 42),  $\mathcal{H} = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is Hamel base of  $X$  if  $\mathcal{H}$  is an infinite linearly independent set which spans  $X$ , as we consider in paragraph 2.

## 2. Preliminaries

In what follows we consider a real Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . Recall that  $(X, \leq)$  is a Riesz space through a partial order  $\leq$  in  $X$  if and only if  $\leq$  is compatible with the linear structure that is,  $x+z \leq y+z$  whenever  $x \leq y$ ,  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have that  $\alpha x \geq 0$  for each  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$  where  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha$  is a scalar and, further, there exist  $x \vee y =$

$\sup \{x, y\}, x \wedge y = \inf \{x, y\}$  for each  $x, y \in X$ . We write  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \leq)$  meaning that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space,  $(X, \leq)$  is a Riesz space and  $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$  whenever  $|x| \leq |y|$  so that  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \leq)$  (or just  $X$ ) is a Banach lattice. Here, we put  $|x| = x \vee (-x)$ . We write  $x^+ = x \vee 0, x^- = x \wedge 0$ . We see easily that  $x^- = (-x) \vee 0 = -(x \wedge 0)$ . More generally,  $x \wedge y = -((-x) \vee (-y))$ . We have that  $x = x^+ - x^-, |x| = x^+ + x^-$ . Notice that  $x \vee y = x + y - ((-x) \vee (-y)) = (x^+ - x^-) + (y^+ - y^-) - ((-x) \vee (-y)) + y - y = (x^+ + y^+ - x^- - y^-) - ((y - x) \vee 0) - y$  ([2], Theorem 1.1.1. i), ii), p. 3) hence for  $\leq$  a partial order compatible with the linear structure of  $X, X$  is a Riesz space provided that  $x^+$  exists for each  $x$  in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** (Following [4]) For  $\mathcal{A}$  a nonempty set of indices, we say that the family  $(\lambda_\alpha)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{A}}$  is summable,  $\sum_{\mathcal{A}} \lambda_\alpha = s$  if it holds that  $|\sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_\alpha - s| \leq \varepsilon$  for each finite superset  $A$  of some set  $A_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})$ , the class of all nonempty finite subsets of  $\mathcal{A}, \varepsilon > 0$  a priori given. The family  $(\lambda_\alpha)$  is said to be absolutely summable if  $(|\lambda_\alpha|)$  is a summable family.

**Notation 2.2.** We let  $l_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{A}) = \{(\lambda_\alpha) \in \mathbf{R}^{\mathcal{A}} : \lambda_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \notin A \text{ and some } A \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})\}$ .

**Notation 2.3.** We write  $l_1(\mathcal{A})$  for the Banach space determined by the absolutely summable families  $(\lambda_\alpha)$  equipped with the norm  $\|(\lambda_\alpha)\|_1 = \sum_{\mathcal{A}} |\lambda_\alpha|$ .

**Remark 2.4.** The space  $l_1(\mathcal{A})$  is a Banach lattice when equipped with the partial ordering  $(\lambda_\alpha) \leq (\mu_\alpha)$  if and only if  $\lambda_\alpha \leq \mu_\alpha (\alpha \in \mathcal{A})$ .  $l_1(\mathcal{A})$  is the completion of  $((l_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|_1)$ .

*Proof.* This follows from [4]. The partial ordering is extended the obvious way.  $\square$

Letting  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be a normed Hamel base of  $X, \|x_\alpha\| = 1, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , putting  $\sum_A s_\alpha x_\alpha \prec_{\mathcal{H}} \sum_A t_\alpha x_\alpha$  if and only if  $s_\alpha \leq t_\alpha (\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , the finite sms are understood), we have that  $(X, \prec_{\mathcal{H}})$  is a Riesz space. Notice that the linear operator  $T(\lambda_\alpha) = \sum \lambda_\alpha x_\alpha$  on  $l_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathcal{A})$  to  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \prec_{\mathcal{H}})$  is injective, continuous of norm 1. We may consider the linear homeomorphism  $(\tilde{T}/K) : (l_1(\mathcal{A})/K, \|\cdot\| : l_1(\mathcal{A})/K) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|), \tilde{T}$  for the linear extension to  $l_1(\mathcal{A})$  of  $T$ , where  $K = Ker(\tilde{T})$ .

### 3. The results

Following [1],  $(X, \|\cdot\|, \leq)$  being a Banach lattice we say that a subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  has the solid property if  $x \in Y$  whenever  $|x| \leq |y|$  and  $y \in Y$ .  $Y$  being closed, we then may consider the partial ordering  $[x] \preceq [y]$  in the quotient  $X/Y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$  where  $P = \cup\{\pi(x) : x \geq 0\}, \pi(x) = [x], \pi$  for the canonical map. Clearly that  $\preceq$  is compatible with the linear structure. Also  $[x]^+ = [x^+], (X/Y, \preceq)$  is a Riesz space such that  $[x] \vee [y] = [x \vee y], [x] \wedge [y] = [x \wedge y]$  and  $\|[x]\| = |[x]|$  ([1], 14G, p. 13). We have that  $[0] \preceq [x]$  if and only if for each  $v \in [x]$  there is some  $w \in [0], w \leq x$  hence also  $[x] \preceq [y]$  if and only if for each  $v \in [y]$ , there is some  $w \in [x]$  such that  $w \leq v$ . It follows that  $\|[x]\| \leq \|[y]\|$  implies that for each  $v \in [y]$  there exists  $w \in [x], |w| \leq |v|$  hence  $\|[x] : X/Y\| \leq \|[y] : X/Y\|$  and  $(Y/X, \preceq)$  is a Banach lattice. We see easily

that  $K = Ker(\tilde{T})$  as above in the Preliminaries is a closed subspace of  $l_1(A)$  having the solid property, hence  $(l_1(\mathcal{A})/K, \leq)$  is a Banach lattice where we keep denoting the ordering in the quotient by the same symbol  $\leq$ .

Clearly that  $\theta : (E, \|\cdot\|_E, \leq_E) \rightarrow (F, \|\cdot\|_F)$  being a linear homeomorphism between Banach spaces such that  $E$  is a Banach lattice, putting  $\theta(a) \leq_\theta \theta(b)$  if and only if  $a \leq_E b$  in  $E$  we obtain that  $(F, \leq_\theta)$  is a Riesz space. We have that  $\theta(a \vee b) = \theta(a) \vee \theta(b)$  and, more generally,  $\theta$  preserves the lattice operations. Further, if we put  $\|\theta(a)\|_\theta = \|a\|_E$  for  $\theta(a) \in F$  we have that  $(F, \|\cdot\|_\theta)$  is a Banach space and it follows from the open mapping theorem that the norms  $\|\cdot\|_F, \|\cdot\|_\theta$  are equivalent in  $F$ . Also for  $|\theta(a)| \leq_\theta |\theta(b)|$  we find that  $|a| \leq_E |b|$  hence  $\|a\|_E \leq \|b\|_E$ ,  $\|\theta(a)\|_\theta \leq \|\theta(b)\|_\theta$ , we obtain that  $(F, \|\cdot\|_\theta, \leq_\theta)$  is a Banach lattice.

Denoting  $\theta = \tilde{T}/K : (l_1(\mathcal{A})/K, \|\cdot\|_E) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|)$  in the above sense (we have that each  $x \in X$  is a unique image  $\theta[(\lambda_\alpha(x))], (\lambda_\alpha(x)) \in l_1(\mathcal{A})$ ) we have

**Theorem 3.1.** *The elements  $\theta[(\lambda_\alpha(x))]$  determine the Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\theta)$  where the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\theta$  is equivalent to the original norm of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from above. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *Given an infinite dimensional real Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and a normed Hamel base  $\mathcal{H} = \{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of  $X$ , there exist an equivalent norm  $\|\cdot\|_\mathcal{H}$  in  $X$  and a partial ordering  $\leq_\mathcal{H}$  in  $X$  associated to  $\mathcal{H}$  such that the triple  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\mathcal{H}, \leq_\mathcal{H})$  is a Banach lattice.*

*Proof.* This follows from above theorem where we denote  $\|\cdot\|_\mathcal{H} = \|\cdot\|_\theta, \leq_\mathcal{H} = \leq_\theta$  following the above definition. □

**Acknowledgement** This work was developed in CIMA-UE with financial support from FCT (Programa TOCTI-FEDER)

## References

- [1] Fremlin, D.H., *Topological Riesz Spaces and Measure Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1974.
- [2] Megginson, R.E., *An Introduction to Banach Space*, Theory Graduate Texts in Mathematics 183, 1998.
- [3] Meyer-Nieberg, P., *Banach Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [4] Pietsch, A., *Eigenvalues and s-numbers*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 13, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [5] Taylor, A.E., Lay, D.C., *Introduction to functional analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, 1979.

Nuno C. Freire  
DMat, CIMA-UE Universidade de Évora  
Col. Verney, R. Romão Ramalho, 59  
7000 Évora, Portugal  
AND Mailing Address Apartado 288 EC Estoril  
276-904 Estoril, Portugal  
e-mail: [freirenuno2003@iol.pt](mailto:freirenuno2003@iol.pt)

Maria Fernanda Veiga  
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia Universidade Nova de Lisboa  
Quinta da Torre, 2829-516 Monte de Caparica, Portugal