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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the existence of infinitely many number nonisomorphic contact structures on the torus $T^{3}$. Moreover, this structures are explicitly given by $\omega_{n}=\cos n \theta_{3} d \theta_{1}+\sin n \theta_{3} d \theta_{2},(n \in \mathbb{N})$.
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## 1. Introduction

In the acts of Colloquium of Brussels in 1958, P. Libermann [3] addressed the study of the automorphisms of the contact structures on a differentiable manifold $M$. She has proved that these automorphisms correspond bijectively to functions on this manifold. This allows to transport the Lie algebra structure on the vector space $F(M)$ of the functions on $M$. We obtain, for two given functions $f, g \in F(M)$, a Poisson bracket $[f, g]$ that depends of the contact form $\omega$. The study of the infinite dimensional Lie algebras obtained is far from being advanced. Thus, in 1973 A. Lichnerowicz [4] who hoped to distinguish the contact structures by their Lie algebras, has given a series of results that are all however of general caracter. Some works that have appeared after have emphasis on the similarities of these algebras. In 1979, R. Lutz [7] has proved the existence of infinitely many non-isomorphic contact structures on the sphere $S^{3}$. In 1989, as reported by R. Lutz [7] himself, I have opened in my thesis [1] new perspectives in the other direction by studying the sub-algebras of finite dimension of these algebras. We know that if two contact structures $\left[\omega_{1}\right]$ and [ $\omega_{2}$ ] are isomorphic then their Lie algebras (of infinite dimension of course) $A\left(\left[\omega_{1}\right]\right)$ and $A\left(\left[\omega_{2}\right]\right)$ are also isomorphic.

Given an $n$-dimensional smooth manifold $M$, and a point $p \in M$, a contact element of $M$ with contact point $p$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional linear subspace of the tangent space to $M$ at $p$. A contact contact element can be given by the zeros of a 1 -form on the tangent space to $M$ at $p$. However, if a contact element is given by the zeros of a1-form $\omega$, then it will also be given by the zeros of $\lambda \omega$ where $\lambda \neq 0$. thus
$\{\lambda \omega: \lambda \neq 0\}$ all give the same contact element. It follows that the space of all contact elemnts of $M$ can be identified with a quotient of the cotangent bundle $P T^{*} M$, where $P T^{*} M=T^{*} M / \mathcal{R}$, where, for $\omega_{i} \in T_{p}^{*} M, \omega_{1} \mathcal{R} \omega_{2}$ iff there exists $\lambda \neq 0: \omega_{1}=\lambda \omega_{2}$.

A contact structure on an odd dimensional manifold $M$, of dimension $2 k+1$, is a smooth distribution of contact elements, denoted by $\xi$, which is generic at each point. The genericity condition is that $\xi$ is non-integrable.

Assume that we have a smooth distribution of contact elements $\xi$ given locally by a differential 1-form $\alpha$; i.e. a smooth section of the cotangent bundle. The nonintegrability condition can be given explicitly as $\alpha \wedge(d \alpha)^{k} \neq 0$.

Notice that if $\xi$ is given by the differential 1-form $\alpha$, then the same distribution is given locally by $\beta=f \alpha$, where $f$ is a non-zero smooth function. If $\xi$ is co-orientable then $\alpha$ is defined globally.

If $\alpha$ is a contact form for a given contact structure, the Reeb vector field $R$ can be defined as the unique element of the kernel of $d \alpha$ such that $\alpha(R)=1$.

For more details, we can consult the references [5, 6, 8].

## 2. The main result

The main result is contained in the following theorem:
Theorem 2.1. On the torus $T^{3}$ the contact structures defined by the contact forms $\omega_{n}=\cos n \theta_{3} d \theta_{1}+\sin n \theta_{3} d \theta_{2},(n \in \mathbb{N})$ are non-isomorphic.

To establish this result, we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. Let fa $C^{\infty}$-function on the torus $T^{3}$ and $R_{n}$ the Reeb field of $\omega_{n}$ defined by

$$
R_{n}=\cos n \theta_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\sin n \theta_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{2}}
$$

If $R_{n}(f)=0$, then $f$ depends only on $\theta_{3}$.
Proof. $R_{n}(f)=0$ means that $f$ is constant along the integral curves of $R_{n}$ whose equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d \theta_{1}}{d t} & =\cos n \theta_{3} \\
\frac{d \theta_{2}}{d t} & =\sin n \theta_{3} \\
\frac{d \theta_{3}}{d t} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{1} & =t \cos n k_{3}+k_{1}, \\
\theta_{2} & =\sin n k_{3}+k_{2}, \\
\theta_{3} & =k_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ are real constants.

When $\tan k_{3}$ is irrational, the trajectories are dense on a torus $T^{2}$, so by continuity $f$ is constant on this torus. Hence, we get $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{1}}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{2}}=0$ for $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ arbitrary and $\theta_{3}$ in a dense subset of the circle. It follow that $f$ is constant with respect to $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of the theorem. It suffices to prove that the structures $\left[\omega_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\omega_{2}\right]$ are nonisomorphic.

From [1] we recall that the Poisson brackets associated to [ $\omega_{1}$ ] and $\left[\omega_{2}\right]$ are given respectively by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[f, g]_{1} } & =\left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{1}}-g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{3}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{2}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{2}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{3}}\right) \cos \theta_{3} \\
& +\left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{2}}-g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{2}}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{3}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{3}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{1}}\right) \sin \theta_{3} \\
{[f, g]_{2} } & =\left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{1}}-g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{1}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{3}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{2}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{3}}\right) \cos 2 \theta_{3} \\
& +\left(f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{2}}-g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{3}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_{3}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta_{1}}\right) \sin 2 \theta_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $\left[\omega_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\omega_{2}\right]$ are isomorphic that is $F^{*} \omega_{1}=\lambda \omega_{2}$, where $\lambda$ is a function on $T^{3}$ without zeros and $F$ be this diffeomorphism defined from $T^{3}$ into $T^{3}$ by:

$$
F\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\left(u\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right), v\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right), w\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)\right)
$$

We obtain the two equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{1}} \cos w+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{1}} \sin w & =\lambda \cos 2 \theta_{3}  \tag{2.1}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{2}} \cos w+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{2}} \sin w & =\lambda \sin 2 \theta_{3} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Phi\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\cos \theta_{3}, \Psi\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\cos \theta_{1}$ and $\Omega\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=-\sin \theta_{1}$. Thus we have $[\Phi, \Psi]_{1}=\Omega,[\Psi, \Omega]_{1}=\Phi$ and $[\Omega, \Phi]_{1}=-\Psi$.

Then $\Phi, \Psi$ and $\Omega$ generate a three dimensiononal sub-algebra of $A\left[\omega_{1}\right]$ isomorphic to $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ and consequently, we deduce that the functions $\Phi \circ F, \Psi \circ F$ and $\Omega \circ F$ generate a three dimensional sub-algebra of $A\left[\omega_{2}\right]$ isomorphic to $S L_{2}(\mathbb{R})$.
Thus, we have by analogy

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\cos w, \cos u]_{2} } & =-\sin u \\
{[\cos u,-\sin u]_{2} } & =\cos w \\
{[-\sin u, \cos w]_{2} } & =-\cos u
\end{aligned}
$$

From this equations, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{1}} \cos 2 \theta_{3}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{2}} \sin 2 \theta_{3}=-\cos w \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Phi\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\sin \theta_{3}, \Psi\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\cos \theta_{2}$ and $\Omega\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=-\sin \theta_{2}$.

We obtain similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{1}} \cos 2 \theta_{3}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{2}} \sin 2 \theta_{3}=-\sin w \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take now

$$
\Phi\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=1 \text { and } \Psi\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=-\cos \theta_{3}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial(\cos w)}{\partial \theta_{1}} \cos 2 \theta_{3}+\frac{\partial(\cos w)}{\partial \theta_{2}} \sin 2 \theta_{3}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) and lemma 2, it follows that the function $\cos w$ and consequently the function $w$ depend only on $\theta_{3}$.
Differentiating (3) and (4) with respect to $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$, we get after taking into account the form of Reeb field $R_{n}$ the four equations

$$
R_{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{1}}\right)=R_{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{2}}\right)=R_{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{1}}\right)=R_{2}\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{2}}\right)=0
$$

from those, we deduce that the functions $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{1}}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta_{2}}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{1}}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta_{2}}$ depend only on $\theta_{3}$. The diffeomorphism $F$ can now be completly caracterized in the following way :

$$
\begin{gathered}
u\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\theta_{1} \alpha_{1}\left(\theta_{3}\right)+\theta_{2} \beta_{1}\left(\theta_{3}\right)+\gamma_{1}\left(\theta_{3}\right), \\
v\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\theta_{1} \alpha_{2}\left(\theta_{3}\right)+\theta_{2} \beta_{2}\left(\theta_{3}\right)+\gamma_{2}\left(\theta_{3}\right), \\
w\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)=\gamma_{3}\left(\theta_{3}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where the functions $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}, \gamma_{j}, i=1,2$ and $j=1,2,3$ are defined on the torus $T^{3}$.
So $F$ is a diffeomorphism iff the functions $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ take only integer values and subject to the condition

$$
\alpha_{1} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2} \beta_{1}= \pm 1
$$

We return now to the equations (1) and (2),we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\alpha_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) \sin \left(w+2 \theta_{3}\right)-\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{2}\right) \sin \left(w-2 \theta_{3}\right) \\
+\left(\alpha_{2}-\beta_{1}\right) \cos \left(w-2 \theta_{3}\right)-\left(\alpha_{2}+\beta_{1}\right) \cos \left(w+2 \theta_{3}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus if $w= \pm 2 \theta_{3}, F$ is not invertible. In the contrary case, the quantities $\sin \left(w+2 \theta_{3}\right), \sin \left(w-2 \theta_{3}\right), \cos \left(w-2 \theta_{3}\right)$ and $\cos \left(w+2 \theta_{3}\right)$ are linearly independant, so $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}=0$.
In all cases this diffeomorphism do not exist and the contact structures [ $\omega_{1}$ ] and $\left[\omega_{2}\right]$ are not isomorphic.
Consequently, there are infinitely many non-isomorphic contact structues $\left[\omega_{n}\right]$ on the torus $T^{3}$ given by

$$
\omega_{n}=\cos n \theta_{3} d \theta_{1}+\sin n \theta_{3} d \theta_{2},(n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. Conclusion

The technics used in this work to find non-isomorphic contact structures can be extended to the sphere $S^{3}$ in a first steep and may be to other manifolds suitably choosen. It is also interesting to find the group of diffeomorphisms that leaves the contact structure invariante.
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