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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we introduce and investigate some new subclasses of analytic functions associated with a family of generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator. Such results as subordination and superordination properties, inclusion relationships, integral-preserving properties and convolution properties are proved. Several sandwich-type results are also derived.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$
\mathbb{U}:=\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text { and } \quad|z|<1\} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U})$ be the linear space of all analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$. For a positive integer number $n$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, we let

$$
\mathcal{H}[a, n]:=\left\{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{U}): \quad \mathfrak{f}(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots\right\} .
$$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, where $f$ is given by (1.1) and $g$ is defined by

$$
g(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}
$$

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) $f * g$ of the functions $f$ and $g$ is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z):=z^{p}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} b_{k} z^{k}=:(g * f)(z)
$$

For two functions $f$ and $g$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f$ is subordinate to $g$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}),
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $\omega$, which is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$
\omega(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|\omega(z)|<1 \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

such that

$$
f(z)=g(\omega(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Indeed, it is known that

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

Furthermore, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then we have the following equivalence:

$$
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})
$$

In the following we recall a general Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ defined by (cf., e.g., [18, p. 121 et sep.])

$$
\Phi(z, s, a):=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k}}{(k+a)^{s}}
$$

$$
\left(a \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-} ; s \in \mathbb{C} \text { when }|z|<1 ; \Re(s)>1 \text { when }|z|=1\right)
$$

where, as usual,

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-}:=\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{N} \quad(\mathbb{Z}:=\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\} ; \quad \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,3, \ldots\})
$$

Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ can be found in the recent investigations by (for example) Choi and Srivastava [3], Ferreira and López [5], Garg et al. [6], Lin et al. [7], Luo and Srivastava [10], Wen and Liu [19], Wen and Yang [20] and others.

Recently, Srivastava and Attiya [17] (see also Rǎducanu and Srivastava [14], Liu [9], Prajapat and Goyal [13]) introduced and investigated the linear operator:

$$
\mathcal{J}_{s, b}(f): \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}
$$

defined, in terms of the Hadamard product (or convolution), by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{s, b} f(z):=G_{s, b}(z) * f(z) \quad\left(z \in \mathbb{U} ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-} ; s \in \mathbb{C} ; f \in \mathcal{A}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for convenience,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{s, b}(z):=(1+b)^{s}\left[\Phi(z, s, b)-b^{-s}\right] \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to observe from (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$
\mathcal{J}_{s, b} f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+b}{k+b}\right)^{s} a_{k} z^{k} .
$$

Motivated essentially by the above-mentioned Srivastava-Attiya operator, Al-Shaqsi and Darus [1] (see also Darus and Al-Shaqsi [4]) introduced and investigated the following integral operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+b}{k+b}\right)^{s} \frac{\lambda!(k+\mu-2)!}{(\mu-2)!(k+\lambda-1)!} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (and throughout this paper unless otherwise mentioned) the parameters $s, b, \lambda$ and $\mu$ are constrained as follows:

$$
s \in \mathbb{C} ; \quad b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{0}^{-} \quad \lambda>-1 \text { and } \mu>0
$$

We note that $\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{1,2}$ is the Srivastava-Attiya operator, $\mathcal{J}_{0, b}^{\lambda, \mu}$ is the wellknown Choi-Saigo- Srivastava operator (see [2]).

It is easily verified from (1.4) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
z\left(\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=\mu \mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)-(\mu-1) \mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z),  \tag{1.5}\\
z\left(\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=(\lambda+1) \mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)-\lambda \mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z), \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f\right)^{\prime}(z)=(b+1) \mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)-b \mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of the subordination between analytic functions and the operator $\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}$, we now introduce the following subclasses of analytic functions.
Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \phi \in \mathcal{P}) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}(\alpha ; \phi)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \phi \in \mathcal{P}) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.3. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }_{b}}(\alpha ; \phi)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; \alpha \in \mathbb{C} ; \phi \in \mathcal{P}) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present paper, we aim at proving some subordination and superordination properties, inclusion relationships, integral-preserving properties and convolution properties associated with the operator $\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}$. Several sandwich-type results involving this operator are also derived.

## 2. Preliminary results

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. ([11]) Let the function $\Omega$ be analytic and convex (univalent) in $\mathbb{U}$ with $\Omega(0)=1$. Suppose also that the function $\Theta$ given by

$$
\Theta(z)=1+c_{n} z^{n}+c_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots
$$

is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(z)+\frac{z \Theta^{\prime}(z)}{\zeta} \prec \Omega(z) \quad(\Re(\zeta)>0 ; \zeta \neq 0 ; \quad z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\Theta(z) \prec \chi(z)=\frac{\zeta}{n} z^{-\frac{\varsigma}{n}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{\varsigma}{n}-1} h(t) d t \prec \Omega(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}),
$$

and $\chi$ is the best dominant of (2.1).
Denote by $Q$ the set of all functions $f$ that are analytic and injective on $\overline{\mathbb{U}}-E(f)$, where

$$
E(f)=\left\{\varepsilon \in \partial \mathbb{U}: \lim _{z \rightarrow \varepsilon} f(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and such that $f^{\prime}(\varepsilon) \neq 0$ for $\varepsilon \in \partial \mathbb{U}-E(f)$.
Lemma 2.2. ([12]) Let $q$ be convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$. Further assume that $\Re(\bar{\kappa})>0$. If

$$
p \in \mathcal{H}[q(0), 1] \cap Q
$$

and $p+\kappa z p^{\prime}$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then

$$
q(z)+\kappa z q^{\prime}(z) \prec p(z)+\kappa z p^{\prime}(z)
$$

implies $q \prec p$, and $q$ is the best subdominant.
Lemma 2.3. ([15]) Let $q$ be a convex univalent function in $\mathbb{U}$ and let $\sigma, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$ with

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z q^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{\sigma}{\eta}\right)\right\} .
$$

If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ and

$$
\sigma p(z)+\eta z p^{\prime}(z) \prec \sigma q(z)+\eta z q^{\prime}(z)
$$

then $p \prec q$, and $q$ is the best dominant.
Lemma 2.4. ([16]) Let the function $\Upsilon$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$
\Upsilon(0)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \Re(\Upsilon(z))>\frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Then, for any function $\Psi$ analytic in $\mathbb{U},(\Upsilon * \Psi)(\mathbb{U})$ is contained in the convex hull of $\Psi(\mathbb{U})$.

## 3. Properties of the function class $\mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$

We begin by proving our first subordination property given by Theorem 3.1 below.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}(\alpha ; \phi)$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\mu}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{\mu}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{\mu}{\alpha}-1} \phi(t) d t \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$ and suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z):=\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $h$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. Combining (1.5), (1.8) and (3.2), we easily find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z h^{\prime}(z)=(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, an application of Lemma 2.1 for $n=1$ to (3.3) yields the assertion of Theorem 3.1.

By virtue of Theorem 3.1, we easily get the following inclusion relationship.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi) \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}(0 ; \phi)$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1} \geqq 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}\left(\alpha_{2} ; \phi\right) \subset \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}\left(\alpha_{1} ; \phi\right)$.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}\left(\alpha_{2} ; \phi\right)$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\alpha_{2}\right) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}}{z}+\alpha_{2} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu+1}}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
0 \leqq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}<1
$$

and the function $\phi$ is convex and univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, we deduce from (3.1) and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) & \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha_{1} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z} \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\left[\left(1-\alpha_{1}\right) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha_{1} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu+1} f(z)}{z}\right]+\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}\right) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \\
& \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}\left(\alpha_{1} ; \phi\right)$. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is evidently completed.

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }_{b}}(\alpha ; \phi)$. If the integral operator $F$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z):=\frac{\nu+1}{z^{\nu}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\nu-1} f(t) d t \quad(z \in \mathbb{U} ; \nu>-1) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} F(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}(\alpha ; \phi)$. Suppose also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z):=\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} F(z)}{z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.5), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} F\right)^{\prime}(z)+\nu \mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} F(z)=(\nu+1) \mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.1), (3.7) and (3.8), we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)+\frac{1}{\nu+1} z G^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1 and (3.9), we conclude that the assertion (3.6) of Theorem 3.4 holds.

Theorem 3.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\Re\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)>\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
(f * g)(z) \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi) .
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\eta ; \phi)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\Re\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)>\frac{1}{2}$. Suppose also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(z):=(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\prime}}(f * g)(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}}(f * g)(z)}{z}=H(z) * \frac{g(z)}{z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the function $\phi$ is convex and univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, by virtue of (3.10), (3.11) and Lemma 2.2, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(f * g)(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}}(f * g)(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the assertion of Theorem 3.5 holds.
Theorem 3.6. Let $q_{1}$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Suppose also that $q_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left(1+\frac{z q_{1}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q_{1}^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{1}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z q_{1}^{\prime}(z), \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{1}^{\prime}(z),
$$

and $q_{1}$ is the best dominant.
Proof. Let the function $h$ be defined by (3.2). We know that (3.3) holds. Combining (3.3) and (3.14), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z h^{\prime}(z) \prec q_{1}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z q_{1}^{\prime}(z) . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.3 and (3.15), we readily get the assertion of Theorem 3.6.
If $f$ is subordinate to $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is superordinate to $f$. We now derive the following superordination result for the class $\mathcal{F}_{s, b}^{\lambda, ~}{ }^{\mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $q_{2}$ be convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Also let

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}\left[q_{2}(0), 1\right] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z}
$$

be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. If

$$
q_{2}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z q_{2}^{\prime}(z) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\lambda}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z},
$$

then

$$
q_{2}(z) \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z},
$$

and $q_{2}$ is the best subdominant.
Proof. Let the function $h$ be defined by (3.2). Then

$$
q_{2}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z q_{2}^{\prime}(z) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,}{ }^{\mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z}=h(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z h^{\prime}(z) .
$$

An application of Lemma 2.4 yields the desired assertion of Theorem 3.7.
Combining the above results of subordination and superordination, we easily get the following "sandwich-type result".

Theorem 3.8. Let $q_{3}$ be convex univalent and $q_{4}$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Suppose also that $q_{4}$ satisfies

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z q_{4}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q_{4}^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{\mu}{\alpha}\right)\right\} .
$$

If

$$
0 \neq \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}\left[q_{3}(0), 1\right] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z}
$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, also

$$
q_{3}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z q_{3}^{\prime}(z) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu+1}} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{4}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\mu} z q_{4}^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
q_{3}(z) \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{4}(z),
$$

and $q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.

## 4. Properties of the function classes $\mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}(\alpha ; \phi)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$

By means of (1.6) and (1.7), and by similarly applying the methods used in the proofs of Theorems 3.1-3.8, respectively, we easily get the following properties for the function classes $\mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }_{b}}(\alpha ; \phi)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }_{b}}(\alpha ; \phi)$. Here we choose to omit the details involved.

Corollary 4.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Then

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\lambda+1}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{\lambda+1}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{\lambda+1}{\alpha}-1} \phi(t) d t \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Corollary 4.2. Let $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1} \geqq 0$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}\left(\alpha_{2} ; \phi\right) \subset \mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}\left(\alpha_{1} ; \phi\right)$.
Corollary 4.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }_{b}}(\alpha ; \phi)$. If the integral operator $F$ is defined by (3.5), then

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\mu}} F(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Corollary 4.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}(\alpha ; \phi)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\Re\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)>\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
(f * g)(z) \in \mathcal{G}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)
$$

Corollary 4.5. Let $q_{5}$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Suppose also that $q_{5}$ satisfies

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z q_{5}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q_{5}^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{\alpha}\right)\right\}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\lambda}} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{5}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\lambda+1} z q_{5}^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{5}^{\prime}(z),
$$

and $q_{5}$ is the best dominant.
Corollary 4.6. Let $q_{6}$ be convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Also let

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}\left[q_{6}(0), 1\right] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}
$$

be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. If

$$
q_{6}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\lambda+1} z q_{6}^{\prime}(z) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}
$$

then

$$
q_{6}(z) \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z},
$$

and $q_{6}$ is the best subdominant.
Corollary 4.7. Let $q_{7}$ be convex univalent and $q_{8}$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Suppose also that $q_{8}$ satisfies

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z q_{8}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q_{8}^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{\lambda+1}{\alpha}\right)\right\} .
$$

If

$$
0 \neq \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}\left[q_{7}(0), 1\right] \cap Q,
$$

and

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}
$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, also
$q_{7}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\lambda+1} z q_{7}^{\prime}(z) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1,{ }^{\prime}} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{8}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{\lambda+1} z q_{8}^{\prime}(z)$,
then

$$
q_{7}(z) \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda+1, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{8}(z)
$$

and $q_{7}$ and $q_{8}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.
Corollary 4.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Then

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{b+1}{\alpha} z^{-\frac{b+1}{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\frac{b+1}{\alpha}-1} \phi(t) d t \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Corollary 4.9. Let $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1} \geqq 0$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }_{b}}\left(\alpha_{2} ; \phi\right) \subset \mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}}\left(\alpha_{1} ; \phi\right)$.

Corollary 4.10. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda, ~}{ }_{b}^{\mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$. If the integral operator $F$ is defined by (3.5), then

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda,{ }_{2}} F(z)}{z} \prec \phi(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) .
$$

Corollary 4.11. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\Re\left(\frac{g(z)}{z}\right)>\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
(f * g)(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu}(\alpha ; \phi)
$$

Corollary 4.12. Let $q_{9}$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$ and $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Suppose also that $q_{9}$ satisfies

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z q_{9}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q_{9}^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{b+1}{\alpha}\right)\right\}
$$

If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the subordination

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{9}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{b+1} z q_{9}^{\prime}(z)
$$

then

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{9}^{\prime}(z),
$$

and $q_{9}$ is the best dominant.
Corollary 4.13. Let $q_{10}$ be convex univalent in $\mathbb{U}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Also let

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}\left[q_{10}(0), 1\right] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}
$$

be univalent in $\mathbb{U}$. If

$$
q_{10}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{b+1} z q_{10}^{\prime}(z) \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,{ }_{b}}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\prime}} f(z)}{z}
$$

then

$$
q_{10}(z) \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,{ }_{b}}^{\lambda,} f(z)}{z}
$$

and $q_{10}$ is the best subdominant.
Corollary 4.14. Let $q_{11}$ be convex univalent and $q_{12}$ be univalent in $\mathbb{U}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(\alpha)>0$. Suppose also that $q_{12}$ satisfies

$$
\Re\left(1+\frac{z q_{12}^{\prime \prime}(z)}{q_{12}^{\prime}(z)}\right)>\max \left\{0,-\Re\left(\frac{b+1}{\alpha}\right)\right\}
$$

If

$$
0 \neq \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,{ }_{b}}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \in \mathcal{H}\left[q_{11}(0), 1\right] \cap Q
$$

and

$$
(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z}
$$

is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, also

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{11}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{b+1} z q_{11}^{\prime}(z) & \prec(1-\alpha) \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1, b}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z}+\alpha \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s, b}^{\lambda,{ }^{\mu}} f(z)}{z} \\
& \prec q_{12}(z)+\frac{\alpha}{b+1} z q_{12}^{\prime}(z),
\end{aligned}
$$

then

$$
q_{11}(z) \prec \frac{\mathcal{J}_{s+1,{ }_{b}}^{\lambda, \mu} f(z)}{z} \prec q_{12}(z),
$$

and $q_{11}$ and $q_{12}$ are, respectively, the best subordinant and the best dominant.
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