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#### Abstract

In this paper we study quadrature formulas with the higher degree of accuracy. We study the quasi-orthogonality of orthogonal polynomials and we give some results on the location of their zeros. Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 41A55, 42C05. Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials, quasi-orthogonal polynomials, zeros, quadrature formulas.


## 1. Introduction

Let $P_{n}$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ such that

$$
\int_{a}^{b} x^{k} P_{n}(x) w(x) d x=0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n-1
$$

where $w$ is a positive weight function on the finite or infinite interval $[a, b]$. $P_{n}$ is the polynomial of degree $n$ belonging to the family of orthogonal polynomials on $[a, b]$ with respect to the weight function $w$. It is well known that the zeros of $P_{n}$ are all real and distinct and lie in $(a, b)$.

Definition 1.1. Let $R_{n}$ be a polynomial of exact degree $n, n \geq r, r$ being a fixed natural number. If $R_{n}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\int_{a}^{b} x^{k} P_{n}(x) w(x) d x=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0, & \text { for } & k=0,1, \ldots, n-r-1  \tag{1.1}\\
\neq 0, & \text { for } & k=n-r
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $w$ is a positive weight function on $[a, b]$, then $R_{n}$ is a quasi-orthogonal polynomial of order $r$ on $[a, b]$ with respect to $w$.

Remark 1.2. The quasi-orthogonal polynomials $R_{n}$ are only defined for $n \geq r$.
If $r=0$ then $R_{n}=\lambda P_{n}$ where $\lambda$ is a real constant.
The following result can be found in [1].

Theorem 1.3. Let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ be the family of orthogonal polynomials on $[a, b]$ with respect to a positive weight function $w$. A necessary and sufficient condition for a polynomial $R_{n}$ of degree $n$ to be quasi-orthogonal of order $r$ on $[a, b]$ with respect to $w$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=c_{0} P_{n}(x)+c_{1} P_{n-1}(x)+\ldots+c_{r} P_{n-r}(x) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ 's are numbers which can depend on $n$ and $c_{0} c_{r} \neq 0$.
If $R_{n}$ is quasi-orthogonal of order $r$ on $[a, b]$, then at least $n-r$ distinct zeros of $R_{n}$ lie in the interval $(a, b)$.

In [1] C. Brezinski, K. A. Driver, M. Redino-Zaglia consider quasiorthogonal polynomials of degree $n-1, n-2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=P_{n}(x)+a_{n} P_{n-1}(x), \quad a_{n} \neq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(x)=P_{n}(x)+a_{n} P_{n-1}(x)+b_{n} P_{n-2}(x), \quad b_{n} \neq 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and make a study of its zeros.
The following result is well known.
Theorem 1.4. The quadrature formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i, n} f\left(x_{i, n}\right)+R(f) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the degree of exactness $n+k$ if and only if it is of interpolatory type and the nodal polynomial

$$
\Pi_{n}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i, n}\right)
$$

is quasi-orthogonal of order $n-k-1$ in $[a, b]$ with respect to $w$.
A. Bultheel, R. Cruz-Barroso and Marc Van Borel ([2]) consider an $n$ point quadrature formula of Gauss-Radon type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x=A_{\alpha} f(\alpha)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_{k, n} f\left(x_{k, n}\right)+R(f) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in[a, b]$ is a fixed point and the degree of exactness is $2 n-2$.
Remark 1.5. If $P_{n}(\alpha)=0$ then (1.6) is actually a Gaussian quadrature formula.

Remark 1.6. The coefficients of the quadrature formula (1.6) are positive.
In [2] the authors studied also Gauss-Lobatto-type quadrature formulas with two arbitrary prefixed nodes, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x=A_{\alpha} f(\alpha)+A_{\beta} f(\beta)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-2} A_{k, n} f\left(x_{k, n}\right)+R_{n}(f) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the degree of exactness being $2 n-3$.

From Theorem 1.3, the nodes of such a rule will be the zeros of

$$
R_{n}(x)=P_{n}(x)+a_{n} P_{n-1}(x)+b_{n} P_{n-2}(x)
$$

## 2. $P_{n, k}$-polynomials and its properties

Let $w$ be a positive weight function on $[a, b](a>-\infty), n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq n$.

We denote by $P_{n, k}$ the polynomial of degree $n$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b}(x-a)^{i} P_{n, k}(x) w(x) d x=\delta_{k, i}, \quad i=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, without loss of generality, we will consider $a=0$.
Remark 2.1. By (2.1) it follows that $P_{n, k}$ is a quasi-orthogonal polynomial of order $n-k$ with respect to the weight function $w$.

Theorem 2.2. The zeros of $P_{n, k}$ are all real, distinct and lie in $(0, b)$.
Proof. Let us denote by $0<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{i}<b$ the zeros of $P_{n, k}$ where it changes the sign. Obviously $i \geq k$. Suppose $i<n$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b}\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{i}\right) P_{n, k}(x) w(x) d x>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the definition of $P_{n, k}$, from (2.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{i-k} \sigma_{i-k}>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(-1)^{i-k} \sigma_{i-k}$ is the coefficient of $x^{k}$ of the polynomial

$$
\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{i}\right), \quad \sigma_{i-k}>0 .
$$

On the other hand we have:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} x\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{i}\right) P_{n, k}(x) w(x) d x>0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{i-k-1} \sigma_{i-k+1}>0 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relations (2.3) and (2.4) are contradictory.
It is easy to see that the set $\left\{P_{n, k}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$ forms a base in $\Pi_{n}$ and for every $P \in \Pi_{n}$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P & =\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\langle e_{k}, P\right\rangle P_{n, k} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} e_{k}\left\langle P_{n, k} P\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{k}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, e_{k}(x)=x^{k}$, and

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) w(x) d x
$$

We denote by $K_{n}(x, y)$ the Christoffel-Darboux kernel

$$
K_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{k}(x) p_{k}(y)
$$

where the set $\left\{p_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$ is an orthonormal set

$$
\int_{0}^{b} p_{k}(x) p_{i}(x) w(x) d x=\delta_{k, i}, \quad k, i \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\} .
$$

The result from the following Theorem is easily verified.
Theorem 2.3. The following relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{n}(x, y) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k} P_{n, k}(y)  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n} y^{k} P_{n, k}(x) \\
& =\frac{1}{a_{n+1, n+1}} \cdot \frac{P_{n+1, n+1}(x) P_{n, n}(y)-P_{n, n}(x) P_{n+1, n+1}(y)}{x-y}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{n+1, n+1}$ is the coefficient of $x^{n+1}$ from $P_{n+1, n+1}$.

## 3. Main results

Let $P$ be a polynomial of degree $n$ and let $m_{k}$ be the moment of order $k$ with respect to the weight function $w$,

$$
m_{k}=\left\langle e_{k}, P\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{b} x^{k} P(x) w(x) d x, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n
$$

Then $P$ can be written as

$$
P(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} m_{k} P_{n, k}(x)
$$

Theorem 3.1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k} m_{k} \geq 0, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, n \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the zeros of $P$ are all real, distinct and lie in $(0, b)$.
Proof. By (3.1) it follows that there exist at least a point $x_{1}$ where $P$ changes the sign.

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}$ be all the zeros where $P$ changes its sign in the interval $(0, b)$ and suppose that $p<n$.

So, the polynomial $\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{p}\right) P(x)$ doesn't change the sign.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{p}\right) P(x) \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) we get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{b}\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{p}\right) P(x) w(x) d x>0  \tag{3.3}\\
\int_{0}^{b}\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{p}\right) P(x) w(x) d x=(-1)^{p} \sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^{p-i} m_{p-i} \sigma_{i} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}$ are Vieta's sum of order $i$ of the numbers $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}$.
On the other hand we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{b} x\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{p}\right) P(x) w(x) d x>0  \tag{3.5}\\
\int_{0}^{b} x\left(x-x_{1}\right) \ldots\left(x-x_{p}\right) P(x) w(x) d x=(-1)^{p+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p}(-1)^{p-i+1} m_{p-i+1} \sigma_{i} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.4) and (3.6) it follows that the inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) are contradictory and so $p=n$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $R_{n}$ be a quasi-orthogonal polynomial of order 1 ,

$$
R_{n}(x)=P_{n, n-1}(x)-a_{n} P_{n, n}(x)
$$

If $a_{n}>0$ then the zeros of $R_{n}$ are all real and distinct and lie in $(0, b)$.
Remark 3.3. The condition $a_{n}>0$ is only sufficient.
A necessary and sufficient condition is given by

$$
(-1)^{n}\left(P_{n, n-1}(0)-a_{n} P_{n, n}(0)\right)\left(P_{n, n-1}(b)-a_{n} P_{n, n}(b)\right)>0
$$

Let $\alpha \in[0, b]$ be a fixed point and let us consider the quadrature formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} f(x) w(x) x=A_{\alpha} f(\alpha)+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} A_{k, n} f\left(x_{k, n}\right)+R(f) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

having the degree of exactness $2 n-2$.
This means that $\alpha$ is a root of polynomial $R_{n}$ which is of the form

$$
R_{n}(x)=P_{n, n-1}(x)+a P_{n, n}(x)
$$

The coefficients $A_{\alpha}, A_{k, n}, k=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ are positive and are given by

$$
A_{k, n}=\frac{\int_{0}^{b}(x-\alpha)^{2} l_{k}^{2}(x) w(x) d x}{\left(x_{k, n}-\alpha\right)^{2}}, \quad A_{\alpha}=\frac{\int_{0}^{b} l^{2}(x) w(x) d x}{l^{2}(\alpha)}
$$

where

$$
l(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(x-x_{k, n}\right), \quad l_{k}(x)=\frac{l(x)}{\left(x-x_{k, n}\right) l^{\prime}\left(x_{k, n}\right)}
$$

Theorem 3.4. The coefficients $A_{k, n}, k=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $A_{\alpha}$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{k, n}=\frac{1}{K_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}, x_{k, n}\right)}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n-1 \\
A_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{K_{n-1}(\alpha, \alpha)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. Let us denote by:

$$
M_{i}=\int_{0}^{b} x^{i}(x-\alpha) l_{k}(x) w(x) d x
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}=x_{k, n} M_{0} \\
& M_{2}=x_{k, n}^{2} M_{0}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \ldots \\
& M_{n-1}=x_{k, n}^{n-1} M_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-\alpha) l_{k}(x)=M_{0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{k, n}^{i} P_{n-1, i}(x) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.9) we obtain

$$
M_{0}=\frac{x_{k, n}-\alpha}{K_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}, x_{k, n}\right)}
$$

and so

$$
A_{k, n}=\frac{1}{K_{n-1}\left(x_{k, n}, x_{k, n}\right)}, \quad k=\overline{1, n-1} .
$$

Similarly we get

$$
A_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{K_{n-1}(\alpha, \alpha)}
$$

The proof of the theorem is finished.
Corollary 3.5. Let $P \in \Pi_{2 n-2}, P(x)>0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{b} P(x) w(x) d x \geq \frac{1}{K_{n-1}(\alpha, \alpha)} P(\alpha), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $R_{n}$ be a quasi-orthogonal polynomial of order 1 with the weight function $w$ having all its zeros lie in $[0, b)$. Suppose that

$$
R_{n}(x)=a_{n} x^{n}+\ldots
$$

Then for every continuous function $f, f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} w(x) f(x) d x-\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{n}}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ;\left[x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right]\right] \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}} \int_{0}^{b}\left[x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ;\left[\cdot, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right]\right] R_{n}^{2}(x) w(x) d x
$$

where $x_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$, are the zeros of $R_{n}$ and $A_{k}=\frac{1}{K_{n-1}\left(x_{k}, x_{k}\right)}$.
Proof. The quadrature formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} w(x) f(x) d x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)+R(f) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

having degree of exactness $2 n-2$ is a quadrature formula of interpolatory type, coefficients $A_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$ being given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k} & =\int_{0}^{b} l_{k}(x) w(x) d x \\
& =\frac{1}{K_{n-1}\left(x_{k}, x_{k}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)-L_{n-1}\left(f ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)(x)=\frac{1}{a_{n}} R_{n}(x)\left[x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{n-1}\left(f ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is Lagrange's polynomial of degree $n-1$ which interpolates the function $f$ at the points $x_{k}, k=\overline{1, n}$.
$R_{n}$ is of the form:

$$
R_{n}=P_{n, n-1}+\alpha P_{n, n}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

From (3.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{b} f(x) R_{n}(x) w(x) d x-\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right]  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{0}^{b} R_{n}^{2}(x)\left[x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right] w(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x-\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{a_{n}} \int_{0}^{b} R_{n}(x)\left[x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right] w(x) d x \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.13) and (3.14) we get (3.10).
Corollary 3.7. Let $f \in C^{1}[0, b]$. Then there exists $\theta \in[0, b]$ such that $R(f)$ from (3.11) can be written in the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
R(f) & =\frac{1}{a_{n}}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ;\left[x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right]\right]  \tag{3.15}\\
& +\frac{k_{n}}{a_{n}^{2}}\left[\theta, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ;\left[x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right]\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
k_{n}=\int_{0}^{b} R_{n}^{2}(x) w(x) d x
$$

Proof. Equation (3.15) follows from (3.13) if we put instead of $f$ the divided difference $\left[x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; f\right]$.

Theorem 3.8. Let $x_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, n$ be the zeros of $P_{n, 0}$ and $w$ a positive weight such that

$$
\int_{0}^{b} w(x) d x=1
$$

Then, for every $P \in \Pi_{n-1}$ we have:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} P(x) w(x) d x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{P\left(x_{k}\right)}{K_{n}\left(x_{k}, x_{k}\right)}-\frac{1}{a_{n}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; \frac{P(x)}{x}\right]
$$

where $a_{n}$ is the coefficient of $x^{n}$ from $P_{n, 0}$.
Proof. Let us consider the quadrature formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k} f\left(x_{k}\right)+R(f) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadrature formula (3.16) has the degree of exactness $n-1$ and $A_{k}$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ are given by

$$
A_{k}=\int_{0}^{b} \frac{P_{n, 0}(x) w(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right) P_{n, 0}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)} d x
$$

Let us denote by $M_{i}$ the moment of order $i, i=0,1, \ldots, n$ of the polynomial

$$
\frac{P_{n, 0}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right) P_{n, 0}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)} .
$$

We get

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{1}-x_{k} M_{0}=\frac{1}{P_{n, 0}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)}  \tag{3.17}\\
M_{i}=x_{k}^{i-1} M_{1}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n
\end{gather*}
$$

So

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{P_{n, 0}(x)}{\left(x-x_{k}\right) P_{n, 0}^{\prime}(x)}=M_{0} P_{n, 0}(x)+M_{1} P_{n, 1}(x)  \tag{3.18}\\
& +\frac{M_{1}}{x_{k}}\left(K_{n}\left(x, x_{k}\right)-P_{n, 0}(x)-x_{k} P_{n, 1}(x)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

For $x=x_{k}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{M_{1}}{x_{k}} K_{n}\left(x_{k}, x_{k}\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.17) and (3.19) we obtain

$$
M_{0}=\frac{1}{K_{n}\left(x_{k}, x_{k}\right)}-\frac{1}{x_{k} P_{n, 0}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)} .
$$

On the other hand $M_{0}=A_{k}$ and the quadrature formula (3.16) becomes:

$$
\int_{0}^{b} f(x) w(x) d x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{f\left(x_{k}\right)}{K_{n}\left(x_{k}, x_{k}\right)}-\frac{1}{a_{n}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} ; \frac{f(x)}{x}\right]+R(f) .
$$

If $f \in \Pi_{n-1}, R(f)=0$ and the theorem is proved.
Corollary 3.9. If $P(0)=0$ and $P \in \Pi_{n-1}$ then

$$
\int_{0}^{b} P(x) w(x) d x=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{P\left(x_{k}\right)}{K_{n}\left(x_{k}, x_{k}\right)}
$$

Acknowledgment. The author is extremely thankful to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions, leading to a better presentation of the paper.

## References

[1] Brezinski, C., Driver, K. A., Redino-Zaglia, M., Quasi-orthogonality with applications to some families of classical orthogonal polynomials, Appl. Numer. Math., 48(2004), 157-168.
[2] Bultheel, A., Cruz-Barroso, R., Van Borel, M., On Gauss-type quadrature formulas with prescribed nodes anywhere on the real line, Calcolo, 47(2010), 21-48, DOI 10.1007s 10092-009-0013-x.

Ioan Gavrea<br>Technical University of Cluj-Napoca<br>Department of Mathematics<br>28 Memorandumului Street<br>400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania<br>e-mail: ioan.gavrea@math.utcluj.ro

