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#### Abstract

In this article we study the asymptotic behavior of the solution $U_{\epsilon}$ of a parametric variational inequality governed by a nonlinear differential operator posed in a notched beam (i.e. a thin cylinder with small part of it having a diameter much smaller than the rest) which depends on three positive parameters: $\epsilon, r_{\epsilon}$, and $t_{\epsilon}$.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of the paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of the solution of nonlinear variational inequalities in a notched beam (i.e. a thin cylinder with small part of it having a diameter much smaller than the rest). Mathematically, this notched beam is given by
$\Omega_{\epsilon}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:-1<x_{1}<1,\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\epsilon\right.$ if $\left|x_{1}\right|>t_{\epsilon},\left|x^{\prime}\right|<\epsilon r_{\epsilon}$ if $\left.\left|x_{1}\right| \leq t_{\epsilon}\right\}$,
where $\epsilon, r_{\epsilon}$, and $t_{\epsilon}$ are positive parameters.
Previous work on domains of this type was done by Hale \& Vegas [6], Jimbo [7, 8], Cabib, Freddi, Morassi, \& Percivale [2], Rubinstein, Schatzman \& Sternberg [12], and Casado-Díaz, Luna-Laynez \& Murat [3, 4], Kohn \& Slastikov [9].

The most recent results are of Casado-Díaz, Luna-Laynez \& Murat [4]. They studied the asymptotic behavior of the solution of a diffusion equation in the notched beam $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ and obtained at the limit a one-dimensional model.

[^0]In the present article the geometrical setting is the same as in [4], but we consider nonlinear variational inequalities instead of linear variational equalities.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the geometrical setting is described, the studied problem is given, and the assumptions for our results are formulated. In Section 3 the asymptotic behavior of the solution is studied. The main results are Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7.

## 2. Setting the problem

Let $\epsilon>0$ be a parameter, $r_{\epsilon}\left(r_{\epsilon}>0\right)$ and $t_{\epsilon}\left(t_{\epsilon}>0\right)$ be two sequences of real numbers, with

$$
r_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0, \quad t_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { when } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

We assume that

$$
\frac{t_{\epsilon}}{r_{\epsilon}^{2}} \rightarrow \mu, \quad \frac{\epsilon}{r_{\epsilon}} \rightarrow \nu, \quad \text { with } 0 \leq \mu \leq+\infty, 0 \leq \nu \leq+\infty, \quad \text { when } \epsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be a bounded domain such that $0 \in S$, which is sufficiently smooth to apply the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality.

Define the following subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Omega_{\epsilon}^{-}=\left(-1,-t_{\epsilon}\right) \times(\epsilon S), \quad \Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}=\left[-t_{\epsilon}, t_{\epsilon}\right] \times\left(\epsilon r_{\epsilon} S\right), \quad \Omega_{\epsilon}^{+}=\left(t_{\epsilon}, 1\right) \times(\epsilon S), \\
\Omega_{\epsilon}=\Omega_{\epsilon}^{-} \cup \Omega_{\epsilon}^{0} \cup \Omega_{\epsilon}^{+}, \quad \text { and } \Omega_{\epsilon}=\Omega_{\epsilon}^{-} \cup \Omega_{\epsilon}^{+}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\Omega_{\epsilon}$ is a notched beam, the main part of the beam is $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{1}$ and the notched part $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}$. The plane section of this domain is presented in Figure 1. A point of $\Omega^{\epsilon}$ is denoted by $x=\left(x_{1}, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$.


Figure 1. The plane section of the notched beam $\Omega_{\epsilon}$
Denote by

$$
\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{-}=\{-1\} \times(\epsilon S) \text { and } \Gamma_{\epsilon}^{+}=\{1\} \times(\epsilon S)
$$

the two bases of the beam, and let

$$
\Gamma_{\epsilon}=\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{-} \cup \Gamma_{\epsilon}^{+}
$$

be the union of the two bases.
Denote

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}=\left\{V \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right), \quad V=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{\epsilon}\right\} .
$$

We consider the following problem:
find $U_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}$ such that, for all $V_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon} \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, U_{\epsilon}, B_{\epsilon} \nabla U_{\epsilon}\right), \nabla\left(V_{\epsilon}-U_{\epsilon}\right)\right] d x+\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(x, U_{\epsilon}, \nabla U_{\epsilon}\right)\left(V_{\epsilon}-U_{\epsilon}\right) d x  \tag{2.1}\\
& +\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left[G_{\epsilon}, \nabla\left(V_{\epsilon}-U_{\epsilon}\right)\right] d x+\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \Theta_{\epsilon}\left(x, U_{\epsilon}, V_{\epsilon}-U_{\epsilon}\right) \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

with $A_{\epsilon}, B_{\epsilon}, \Phi_{\epsilon}, \Psi_{\epsilon}, G_{\epsilon}$, and $\Theta_{\epsilon}$ given functions, $M_{\epsilon}$ a closed, convex, nonempty subset of $\mathcal{V}_{\epsilon}$.

This problem has applications in Physics. Bruno [1] observed that when a ferromagnet has a thin neck, this will be preferred location for the domain wall. He also notice that if the geometry of the neck varies rapidly enough, it can influence and even dominate the structure of the wall.

We impose the following assumptions:
(B1) The matrix $A_{\epsilon}$ has the following form

$$
A_{\epsilon}(x)=\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{1}}(x) A^{1}\left(x_{1}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)+\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}}(x) A^{0}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{t_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon r_{\epsilon}}\right)
$$

where $A^{1}, A^{0} \in L^{\infty}((-1,1) \times S)^{3 \times 3}$.
(B2) The matrix $B_{\epsilon}$ has the following form

$$
B_{\epsilon}(x)=\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{1}}(x) B^{1}\left(x_{1}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)+\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}}(x) B^{0}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{t_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon r_{\epsilon}}\right)
$$

where $B^{1}, B^{0} \in L^{\infty}((-1,1) \times S)^{3 \times 3}$.
(B3) The functions $\Phi_{\epsilon}: \Omega_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\Psi_{\epsilon}: \Omega_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathédory mappings having the following form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi_{\epsilon}(x, \eta, \xi)=\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{1}}(x) \Phi_{\epsilon}^{1}\left(x_{1}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon}, \eta, B^{1}\left(x_{1}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right) \xi\right) \\
\\
+\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}}(x) \Phi_{\epsilon}^{0}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{t_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon r_{\epsilon}}, \eta, B^{0}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{t_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon r_{\epsilon}} \xi\right) \xi\right) ; \\
\Psi_{\epsilon}(x, \eta, \xi)=\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{1}}(x) \Psi_{\epsilon}^{1}\left(x_{1}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon}, \eta, \xi\right)+\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}}(x) \Psi_{\epsilon}^{0}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{t_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon r_{\epsilon}}, \eta, \xi\right) ;
\end{gathered}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}$, for all $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$;
for all $U_{\epsilon} \in H^{1}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right), \quad \Phi_{\epsilon}^{1}\left(\cdot, U_{\epsilon}(\cdot), B_{\epsilon}^{1}(\cdot) \nabla U_{\epsilon}(\cdot)\right), \Phi_{\epsilon}^{0}\left(\cdot, U_{\epsilon}(\cdot), B_{\epsilon}^{0}(\cdot) \nabla U_{\epsilon}(\cdot)\right) \in$ $L^{2}((-1,1) \times S)^{3} ; \Psi_{\epsilon}^{1}\left(\cdot, U_{\epsilon}(\cdot), \nabla U_{\epsilon}(\cdot)\right), \Psi_{\epsilon}^{0}\left(\cdot, U_{\epsilon}(\cdot), \nabla U_{\epsilon}(\cdot)\right) \in L^{2}((-1,1) \times S)$.
(B4) Coercivity conditions
There exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ and $k_{1} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ $\left[A_{\epsilon}(x) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, \eta, B_{\epsilon}(x) \xi\right), \xi\right]+\Psi_{\epsilon}(x, \eta, \xi) \eta \geq C_{1}\|\xi\|^{2}+C_{2}|\eta|^{q_{1}}-k_{1}(x)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}$,
for some $1<q_{1}<2$, for each $\epsilon>0$.
(B5) Growth conditions
There exist $C>0$ and $\alpha \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A_{\epsilon}(x) \Phi_{\epsilon}(x, \eta, \xi)\right\| \leq C\|\xi\|+C|\eta|+\alpha(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\epsilon>0$.
There exist $C>0$ and $\beta \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)$ such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Psi_{\epsilon}(x, \eta, \xi)\right| \leq C\|\xi\|+C|\eta|+\beta(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\epsilon>0$.
(B6) Monotonicity condition For all $\xi, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left[A_{\epsilon}(x) \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, \eta, B_{\epsilon}(x) \xi\right)-A_{\epsilon}(x) \phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, \eta, B_{\epsilon}(x) \tau\right), \xi-\tau\right] \geq 0, \text { a. e. } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}
$$

for each $\epsilon>0$.
(B7) The function $G_{\epsilon} \in L^{2}((-1,1) \times S)^{3}$ has the following form

$$
G_{\epsilon}(x)=\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{1}}(x) G_{\epsilon}^{1}\left(x_{1}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon}\right)+\chi_{\Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}}(x) G_{\epsilon}^{0}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{t_{\epsilon}}, \frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon r_{\epsilon}}\right) \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}
$$

where $G_{\epsilon}^{1}, G_{\epsilon}^{0} \in L^{2}((-1,1) \times S)^{3}$.
(B8) There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left\|G_{\epsilon}(x)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<C \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\epsilon>0$.
(B9) $\Theta_{\epsilon}: \Omega_{\epsilon} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \Theta_{\epsilon}(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is upper semi-continuous for almost all $x \in \Omega_{\epsilon} ; \Theta_{\epsilon}(\cdot, y, z)$ is measurable for all $y, z \in \mathbb{R} ; \Theta_{\epsilon}$ is sublinear in its second variable, for each $\epsilon$.
(B10) There exists $g_{1}, g_{2} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)$ nonnegative functions such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Theta_{\epsilon}(x, y, z)\right| \leq g_{1}(x)+g_{2}(x)|z| \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}$, for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$, for each $\epsilon>0$.
Remark 2.1. From Theorem 3.4 in [10] it follows that, for all $\epsilon>0$, the variational inequality (2.1) has at least one solution.

## 3. Asymptotic behavior of the solution

To study the asymptotic behavior we use the change of variables $y=y_{\epsilon}(x)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=x_{1} \quad y^{\prime}=\frac{x^{\prime}}{\epsilon} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which transforms the beam (except the notch) in a cylinder of fixed diameter. This change of variable is classical in the study of asymptotic behavior of variational equalities in thin cylinders or beams (see [5], [11], [13]). We denote
by $Y_{\epsilon}^{-}, Y_{\epsilon}^{0}, Y_{\epsilon}^{+}, Y_{\epsilon}$, and $Y_{\epsilon}^{1}$ the images of $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{-}, \Omega_{\epsilon}^{0}, \Omega_{\epsilon}^{+}, \Omega_{\epsilon}$, and $\Omega_{\epsilon}^{1}$ by the change of variables $y=y_{\epsilon}(x)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{gathered}
Y_{\epsilon}^{-}=\left(-1,-t_{\epsilon}\right) \times S, \quad Y_{\epsilon}^{0}=\left[-t_{\epsilon}, t_{\epsilon}\right] \times\left(r_{\epsilon} S\right), \quad Y_{\epsilon}^{+}=\left(t_{\epsilon}, 1\right) \times S \\
Y_{\epsilon}=Y_{\epsilon}^{-} \cup Y_{\epsilon}^{0} \cup Y_{\epsilon}^{+}, \quad Y_{\epsilon}^{1}=Y_{\epsilon}^{-} \cup Y_{\epsilon}^{+}
\end{gathered}
$$

Denote by $Y^{-}, Y^{+}$, and $Y^{1}$ the "limits" of $Y_{\epsilon}^{-}, Y_{\epsilon}^{+}$, and $Y_{\epsilon}^{1}$, i.e.

$$
Y^{-}=(-1,0) \times S, \quad Y^{+}=(0,1) \times S, \quad Y^{1}=Y^{-} \cup Y^{+} .
$$

Note that $Y_{\epsilon}^{1}$ is contained in its limit $Y^{1}$.
The two bases of the beam $\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{-}$and $\Gamma_{\epsilon}^{+}$are transformed to $\Lambda^{-}$and $\Lambda^{+}$, respectively, where

$$
\Lambda^{-}=\{-1\} \times S \text { and } \Lambda^{+}=\{1\} \times S
$$

$\Gamma_{\epsilon}$ transforms to $\Lambda=\Lambda^{-} \cup \Lambda^{+}$, which doesn't depend on $\epsilon$.
Let $U_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}$ be the solution of the variational inequality (2.1). Define $u_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\epsilon}(y)=U_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \quad \text { a.e. } y \in Y_{\epsilon}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K_{\epsilon}$ being the image of $M_{\epsilon} . K_{\epsilon}$ is a closed, convex, nonempty cone in $\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}$, with $\mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}=\left\{v \in H^{1}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right) \mid v=0\right.$ on $\left.\Lambda\right\}$. We need the following two assumptions
(B11) There exists a nonempty, convex cone $K$ in $H^{1}\left(Y^{1}\right)$ such that
(i) $K \cap H^{1}((-1,0) \cup(0,1)) \neq \emptyset$;
(ii) $\epsilon_{i} \rightarrow 0, u_{\epsilon_{i}} \in K_{\epsilon_{i}}, u \in H^{1}((-1,0) \cup(0,1)), u_{\epsilon_{i}} \rightharpoonup u$ (weakly) in $H^{1}\left(Y^{1}\right)$

$$
\text { imply } u \in K
$$

(B12) There exists a nonempty, convex cone $L$ in $L^{2}\left((-1,1) ; H^{1}(S)\right)$ such that $\epsilon_{i} \rightarrow 0, w_{\epsilon_{i}} \in K_{\epsilon_{i}}, w \in L^{2}\left((-1,1) ; H^{1}(S)\right), w_{\epsilon_{i}} \rightharpoonup w$ (weakly) in $L^{2}\left((-1,1) ; H^{1}(S)\right)$ imply $w \in L$.

By change of variables $y=y_{\epsilon}(x)$ the operator $\nabla$ transforms to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{\epsilon} \cdot=\left(\frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial y_{2}}, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \cdot}{\partial y_{3}}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the change of variables $y=y_{\epsilon}(x)$, given by (3.1), the inequality (2.1) transforms to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}(y)-u_{\epsilon}(y)\right)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right)\left(v_{\epsilon}(y)-u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) \mathrm{d} y  \tag{3.4}\\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[G_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon}\left(v_{\epsilon}(y)-u_{\epsilon}(y)\right)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Theta_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), v_{\epsilon}(y)-u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) \mathrm{d} y \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $v_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}$, where $v_{\epsilon}(y)=V_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right)$ a. e. $y \in Y_{\epsilon}$.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that (B4) holds, $U_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}$, and $u_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}$ is given by (3.2). Then there exist $C_{1}, C_{2}>0$ and $C_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) u_{\epsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} y  \tag{3.5}\\
& \geq C_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2}-C_{2}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{q_{1}}-C_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Putting $\eta=U_{\epsilon}(x)$ and $\xi=\nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)$ in coercivity condition (2.2), integrating on $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon}(x) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, U_{\epsilon}(x), B_{\epsilon}(x) \nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right), \nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& +\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(x, U_{\epsilon}(x), \nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right) U_{\epsilon}(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq C_{1} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left\|\nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-C_{2} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left|U_{\epsilon}(x)\right|^{q_{1}} \mathrm{~d} x-\left|\Omega_{\epsilon}\right|\left\|k_{1}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}$ and using the change of variables $y=y_{\epsilon}(x)$, given by (3.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) u_{\epsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq C_{1} \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y-C_{2} \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left|u_{\epsilon}(y)\right|^{q_{1}} \mathrm{~d} y-\bar{k}_{1} \\
& \geq C_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2}-C_{2}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{q_{1}}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{q_{1}}-\bar{k}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $q_{1}<2$.
Lemma 3.2. Assume that (B5) holds and let $v_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon},\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ bounded in $H^{1}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$. Then the following properties hold
a) There exist $k_{1}, k_{2}$, and $k_{3}$ constants such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} & {\left[A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y }  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq k_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+k_{2}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+k_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

b) There exists $k_{4}, k_{5}$, and $k_{6}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) v_{\epsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} y \leq k_{4}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+k_{5}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+k_{6} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. a) Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then the growth condition (2.3) for $x=y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left\|A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right)\right\|\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}(y)\right\| \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left(C\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right\|+C\left|u_{\epsilon}(y)\right|+\bar{\alpha}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right)\right)\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}(y)\right\| \mathrm{d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)

$$
\leq\left(C\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+C\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+\bar{\alpha}\right)\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)},
$$

as $\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded.
b) Using the growth condition (2.4) for $x=y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)$ and the CauchySchwarz inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) v_{\epsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left(C\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right\|+C\left|u_{\epsilon}(y)\right|+\beta\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right)\right)\left|v_{\epsilon}(y)\right| \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq\left(C\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+C\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+\bar{\beta}\right)\left\|v_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded.
Lemma 3.3. If assumption (B10) is satisfied, $U_{\epsilon}, V_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}, u_{\epsilon}$ and $v_{\epsilon}$ are given by (3.2), then there exist $\bar{g}_{1}, \bar{g}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Theta_{\epsilon}\left(u_{\epsilon}(y), v_{\epsilon}(y)-u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) \mathrm{d} y \leq \bar{g}_{1}+\bar{g}_{2}\left\|v_{\epsilon}-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)} .
$$

Proof. Putting $y=U_{\epsilon}(x)$ and $z=V_{\epsilon}(x)-U_{\epsilon}(x)$ in (2.6), multiplying by $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}$, then integrating over $\Omega_{\epsilon}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}} \Theta_{\epsilon}\left(U_{\epsilon}(x), V_{\epsilon}(x)-U_{\epsilon}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x & \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left|\Theta_{\epsilon}\left(U_{\epsilon}(x), V_{\epsilon}(x)-U_{\epsilon}(x)\right)\right| \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left(g_{1}(x)+g_{2}(x)\left|V_{\epsilon}(x)-U_{\epsilon}(x)\right|\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \bar{g}_{1} \frac{\left|\Omega_{\epsilon}\right|}{\epsilon^{2}}+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \bar{g}_{2} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left|V_{\epsilon}(x)-U_{\epsilon}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{g}_{1}=\left\|g_{1}\right\|_{\infty}$ and $\bar{g}_{2}=\left\|g_{2}\right\|_{\infty}$. Using the change of variable $y_{\epsilon}$, the result follows.

Lemma 3.4. Let $U_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}$ be the solution of the variational inequality (2.1) and $u_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}$ defined by

$$
u_{\epsilon}(y)=U_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \quad \text { a.e. } y \in Y_{\epsilon} .
$$

If assumptions (B1)-(B10) are verified then the following statements hold 2) $\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$;

1) $\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial y_{2}}\right)_{\epsilon}$ and $\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial y_{3}}\right)_{\epsilon}$ are bounded in $L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$;
2) $\left(\sigma_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)^{3}$, where

$$
\sigma_{\epsilon}(y)=A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) \quad \text { a.e. } y \in Y_{\epsilon} .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$. From coercivity condition (B4) by Lemma 3.1, then inequality (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2}-C_{2}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{q_{1}}-C_{3} \\
& \leq \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) u_{\epsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \leq \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Psi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) v_{\epsilon}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left[G_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right), \nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}(y)-\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right] \mathrm{d} y \\
& +\int_{Y_{\epsilon}} \Theta_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), v_{\epsilon}(y)-u_{\epsilon}(y)\right) \mathrm{d} y \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

(using Lemma 3.2 for the first two terms, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and then assumption (2.5) for the third term, assumption (2.6) for the fourth term)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq k_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+k_{2}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)} \\
& +C\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} v_{\epsilon}-\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+c_{1}^{\prime}\left\|v_{\epsilon}-u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+k \\
& \leq c_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

using the Poincaré inequality, where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constants. On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2}-C_{2}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{q_{1}}-C_{3} \\
& \geq c_{3}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2}-c_{4}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{q_{1}}-c_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

by the Poincaré inequality, where $c_{3}, c_{4}$, and $c_{5}$ are constants. Thus

$$
c_{3}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2} \leq c_{1}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}+c_{4}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{q_{1}}+c_{6},
$$

where $c_{6}$ is a constant, $q_{1}<2$, and $c_{3}>0$.
It follows that, for $\epsilon \leq 1,\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}$ is bounded.
Then $\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial y_{2}}\right)_{\epsilon}$ and $\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial y_{3}}\right)_{\epsilon}$ are bounded in $L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$. Using

$$
\left\|\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)} \leq\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}
$$

we get that $\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $H^{1}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$, so 2$)$ is true.

To prove 3), we take the square of the first inequality of (B5) and we obtain

$$
\left\|A_{\epsilon}(x) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, U_{\epsilon}(x), B_{\epsilon}(x) \nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right)\right\|^{2} \leq C\left\|\nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right\|^{2}+C\left|U_{\epsilon}(x)\right|^{2}+|\alpha(x)|^{2}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{\epsilon}$.
Multiplying by $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}$ and integrating on $\Omega_{\epsilon}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left\|A_{\epsilon}(x) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(x, U_{\epsilon}(x), B_{\epsilon}(x) \nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left\|\nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left|U_{\epsilon}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}|\alpha|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left\|\nabla U_{\epsilon}(x)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{C}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left|U_{\epsilon}(x)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\left|\Omega_{\epsilon}\right|}{\epsilon^{2}} \bar{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{\alpha}$ is a constant. Using the change of variables $y=y_{\epsilon}(x)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left\|A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y), u_{\epsilon}(y), B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \leq C \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y+C \int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left|u_{\epsilon}(y)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y+\bar{\alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|A_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\cdot)\right) \Phi_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\cdot), u_{\epsilon}, B_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\cdot)\right) \nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2}+C\left\|u_{\epsilon}(y)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}^{2}+\bar{\alpha} \leq \bar{C},
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}$ and $\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)}$ are bounded. It follows that $\left(\sigma_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$.

Corollary 3.5. Let $U_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}$ be the solution of the inequality (2.1) and $u_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}$ given by (3.2). If assumptions (B1) - (B10) are verified then the sequence $U_{\epsilon}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\epsilon} \in M_{\epsilon}, \quad \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{\epsilon}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left|\nabla U_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} d x \leq C \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 we get that $\left(\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}\right)_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $L^{2}\left(Y_{\epsilon}\right)$, i.e. there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon}(y)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y \leq C
$$

Using the change of variables $x=y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)$, we get

$$
\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left\|\nabla^{\epsilon} U_{\epsilon}(x)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<C
$$

from where the statement of the corollary follows, as

$$
\left|\Omega_{\epsilon}\right|=2 \pi|S|^{2} \epsilon^{2}\left(1-t_{\epsilon}+t_{\epsilon} r_{\epsilon}^{2}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $U_{\epsilon}$ be the solution of the variational inequality (2.1) and $u_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}$ defined by

$$
u_{\epsilon}(y)=U_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)\right) \quad \text { a.e. } y \in Y_{\epsilon} .
$$

If assumptions (B1)-(B12) are verified, then there exist three functions $u$, $w$, and $\sigma^{1}$ with

$$
\begin{gathered}
u \in H^{1}((-1,0) \cup(0,1)) \cap K, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0, \\
w \in L, \quad \sigma^{1} \in L^{2}\left(Y^{1}\right)^{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

such that up to extraction of a subsequence

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{Y_{\epsilon}^{1}} u_{\epsilon} & \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(Y^{1}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
\chi_{Y_{\epsilon}^{-}} \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial y_{1}} & \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{1}} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(Y^{-}\right) ; \\
\chi_{Y_{\epsilon}^{+}} \frac{\partial u_{\epsilon}}{\partial y_{1}} & \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{1}} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(Y^{+}\right) ; \\
\chi_{Y_{\epsilon}^{1}} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_{y^{\prime}} u_{\epsilon} & \rightharpoonup \nabla_{y^{\prime}} w \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(Y^{1}\right)^{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\chi_{Y_{\epsilon}^{1}} \sigma_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \sigma^{1} \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(Y^{1}\right)^{3} .
$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.4 it follows that there exist three functions $u \in$ $H^{1}((-1,0) \cup(0,1)), w \in L^{2}\left((-1,1) ; H^{1}(S)\right)$, and $\sigma^{1} \in L^{2}\left(Y^{1}\right)^{3}$, which satisfy the statement of the lemma. From assumption (B11) we get that $u \in H^{1}((-1,0) \cup(0,1)) \cap K$, and from (B12) we obtain that $w \in L$.

Theorem 3.7. Let $U_{\epsilon}$ be the solution of the variational inequality (2.1) and $u \in H^{1}((-1,0) \cup(0,1)) \cap K$ given in Theorem 3.6. If assumptions (B1)-(B11) are verified, then there exists a subsequence of solutions $U_{\epsilon}$, also denoted by $U_{\epsilon}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{\epsilon}\right|} \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon}}\left|U_{\epsilon}(x)-u\left(x_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u_{\epsilon} \in K_{\epsilon}$ given by (3.2). From Theorem 3.6 follows that there exists $u$ with

$$
u \in H^{1}((-1,0) \cup(0,1)) \cap K, \quad u(-1)=u(1)=0
$$

such that up to extraction of a subsequence

$$
\chi_{Y_{\epsilon}^{1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u \quad \text { in } \quad L^{2}\left(Y^{1}\right)
$$

which is equivalent with

$$
\int_{Y_{\epsilon}}\left|u_{\epsilon}(y)-u\left(y_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y=0
$$

Using the change of variables $x=y_{\epsilon}^{-1}(y)$, we get (3.10).
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