## A COLLOCATION METHOD USING CUBIC B-SPLINES FUNCTIONS FOR SOLVING SECOND ORDER LINEAR VALUE PROBLEMS WITH CONDITIONS INSIDE THE INTERVAL $[0,1]$
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Abstract. Consider the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y^{\prime \prime}(x)-Q(x) y(x)=R(x), \quad x \in[0,1] \\
& y(a)=\alpha \\
& y(b)=\beta, \quad a, b \in(0,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Q(x), R(x) \in C[0,1] ; y \in C^{2}[0,1]$. The aim of this paper is to present an approximate solution of this problem based on cubic B-splines. The approximate solution uses a mesh based on Legendre points.A numerical solution is also given.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the problem(PVP):

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)-Q(x) y(x) & =R(x), \quad x \in[0,1]  \tag{1.1}\\
y(a) & =\alpha \\
y(b) & =\beta, \quad a, b \in(0,1) .
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q(x), R(x) \in C[0,1] ; y \in C^{2}[0,1], a, b, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. This is not a two point boundary value problem (BVP), since $a, b \in(0,1)$.

If the solution of the two-point boundary value problem (BVP):

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime}(x)-Q(x) y(x) & =r(x), \quad x \in[a, b] \\
y(a) & =\alpha  \tag{1.2}\\
y(b) & =\beta,
\end{align*}
$$

exists and it is unique, then the requirement $y \in C^{2}[0,1]$ assures the existence and the uniqueness of (1.1).

I have two initial value problems on $[0, a]$ and $[b, 1]$, respectively, and the existence and the uniqueness for (1.2) assure existence and uniqueness of these problems. It is possible to solve this problem by dividing it into the three above-mentioned problems and to solve each of these problem separately, but I am interested to a unitary approach that solve it as a whole.
Remark 1.1. - If $a=0$ and $b=1$ the problem (PVP) becomes a classical (BVP).

- If $a=0$ or $b=1$ the problem (PVP) may be decomposed into an (BVP) and one initial value problem(IVP).


## Historical Note

In 1966, two researchers from Tiberiu Popoviciu Institute of Romanian Academy Cluj Napoca, D. Rîpianu and O. Aramă published a paper on polylocal problem (see [10]).

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider a partition of $[0,1]$ like:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: 0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{N}<x_{N+1}=1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the step sizes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}:=x_{i+1}-x_{i}, \quad i=0, \ldots, N \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In each subinterval $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ we construct the collocation points as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i j}:=x_{i}+H_{i} \rho_{j} ; i=0,1, \ldots, N, j=0,1,2, \ldots, k \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \rho_{0}<\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\ldots<\rho_{k} \leq 1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the roots of $k$-th Legendre polynomial on each subintervals: $\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right], i=0,1, \ldots, N$ with the stepsize given by (2.2) (see [1] for more details). I insert the points $a, b$ so I obtained $N(k+1)+2$ points. One renumbers the collocation points such that the first is $\xi_{0}:=x_{0}+H_{0} \rho_{0}=0$, and the last is $\xi_{n+2}:=x_{N}+H_{N} \rho_{k}=1$, where $n=N(K+1)$. Therefore the partition of $[0,1]$ becomes:

$$
\Delta:=0 \leq \xi_{0}<\xi_{1}<\ldots<\xi_{n+2}=1
$$

We augment the above partition $\Delta$ to form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Delta}: \xi_{-2}<\xi_{-1}<\xi_{0}=0<\xi_{1}<\ldots<\xi_{n+2}=1<\xi_{n+3}<\xi_{n+4} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $\xi_{l}:=a ; \xi_{l+p}:=b ; 0<l<n+1 ; 1<l+p<n+2, \xi_{-1}-\xi_{-2}=\xi_{0}-\xi_{-1}=\xi_{1}-\xi_{0}$, $\xi_{n+4}-\xi_{n+3}=\xi_{n+3}-\xi_{n+2}=\xi_{n+2}-\xi_{n+1}$.
Remark 2.1. If $a=\xi_{i}$ or $b=\xi_{i+p}, 1 \leq i \leq n-2,1<p<n+1-i$ we increment $k$.

## Notation 2.2.

$$
Q_{i}:=Q\left(\xi_{i}\right) ; h_{i}:=\xi_{i+1}-\xi_{i} ; H:=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n+1}\left(\xi_{i+1}-\xi_{i}\right) ; h:=\min _{0 \leq i \leq n+1}\left(\xi_{i+1}-\xi_{i}\right)
$$

Definition 2.3. Given the meshpoint (2.5) I define the vector space:

$$
S(\bar{\Delta})=\left\{p(x) \in C^{2}[0,1]: p(x)\right. \text { is a cubic polynomial of each }
$$

subinterval $\left.\left[\xi_{i-2}, \xi_{i+2}\right], 0 \leq i \leq n+2\right\}$.
$\operatorname{dim} S(\bar{\Delta})=n+2$ (numbers of subintervals, see [12, pp. 73])
Definition 2.4. For $x \in \mathbb{R} ; 0 \leq i \leq n$, the cubic B-splines with the five knots: $\xi_{i-2}$, $\xi_{i-1}, \xi, \xi, \xi$ are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, 3}(x)=\frac{x-\xi_{i-2}}{h_{i-2}+h_{i-1}+h_{i}} B_{i, 2}(x)+\frac{\xi_{i+2}-x}{h_{i+1}+h_{i}+h_{i-1}} B_{i+1,2}(x) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{i, 0}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \text { if } \xi_{i-2} \leq x<\xi_{i-1} \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right. \\
& B_{i, 2}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left(x-\xi_{i-2}\right)^{2}}{\frac{\left.h_{i-2}\left(h_{i-2}\right)^{2}+h_{i-1}\right)}{}, \text { if } \xi_{i-2} \leq x \leq \xi_{i-1}} \\
\frac{\left(x-\xi_{i-2}\right)\left(\xi_{i}-x\right)}{h_{i-1}\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)}+\frac{\left(\xi_{i+1}-x\right)\left(x-\xi_{i-1}\right)}{h_{i-1}\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i}\right)}, \text { if } \xi_{i-1} \leq x \leq \xi_{i} \\
\frac{\left(\xi_{i+1}-x\right)^{2}}{\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i}\right) h_{i}}, \quad \text { if } \xi_{i} \leq x \leq \xi_{i+1} \\
0, \quad \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

We need a bases from $S(\bar{\Delta})$ having $(n+2)$ cubic B-splines. Our choice is based on some special properties of cubic B-splines (see [11, pp.19-21] for details):

- The set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{B_{i}\right\} i=0, \ldots, n+1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a basis for $S(\bar{\Delta})$.
-

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{B_{i}\right\} \text { is positive on }\left(\xi_{i-2}, \xi_{i+2}\right) \text { and zero elsewhere. } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- $\left\{B_{i}\right\}$ has local support $\left(\xi_{i-2}, \xi_{i+2}\right)$ so computations using B-splines lead to linear system of equations with banded matrices.
- 

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} B_{i, 3}(x)=1 \text { for every } x \in[0,1] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

I recall some results from matrix theory ([7, pp. 359-361], [8, pp. 50-55]):


Figure 1. B-spline bases

Definition 2.5. A matrix $A=\left[a_{i}{ }_{j}\right], i=1,2, \ldots, m, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ is called reducible if there is a permutation that puts it into the form

$$
\widetilde{A}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & 0 \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $B$ and $D$ are square matrices. Otherwise $A$ is called irreducible.
Definition 2.6. A matrix $A=\left[a_{i} j\right], i=1,2, \ldots, m, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ is called monotone if $A z \geq 0$ implies $z \geq 0$.
Theorem 2.7. A square tridiagonal matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$ is irreducible iff:

$$
a_{i, i-1} \neq 0(i=2,3, \ldots, n) \text { and } a_{i, i+1} \neq 0(i=1,2, \ldots, n-1)
$$

and is reducible iff:

$$
a_{i, i-1}=0 \text { or } a_{i, i+1}=0 \text { for some } i=2,3, \ldots, n
$$

Theorem 2.8. A monotone matrix is nonsingular.

## 3. Main Results

3.1. Consistency of the method. I wish to find a approximate solution of the problem (1.1) in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\bar{\Delta}}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} c_{i} B_{i, 3}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i, 3}(x)$ is a cubic B-splines with knots $\left\{\xi_{i+k}\right\}_{k=-2}^{2}$.
Remark 3.1. My approximation method is inspired from ([3], chap. 2,5)

I impose the conditions:
(c1) The approximate solution (3.1) verifies the differential equation (1.1) at $\xi_{j}, j=1, \ldots, n+2, j \neq l, j \neq l+p$.
(c2) The solution verifies $u_{\bar{\Delta}}\left(\xi_{l}\right)=\alpha, u_{\bar{\Delta}}\left(\xi_{l+p}\right)=\beta$ (we recall that $a=$ $\left.\xi_{l}, b=\xi_{l+p}\right)$.

Conditions (c1) and (c2) yield to a linear system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \cdot c=\gamma \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(n+2)$ equations and $(n+2)$ unknowns $c_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n+1$.The system matrix $A$ is tridiagonal with 3 nonzero elements on each row.

We denote by:

$$
f_{i}(x):=B "_{i, 3}(x)-Q(x) B_{i, 3}(x), i=0,1, \ldots, n+1
$$

then

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{i}\left(\xi_{j}\right) ; i \in\{0,1,2 \ldots, n+1\}, \quad j \in\{1,2, \ldots, n+2\} \backslash\{l, l+p\} \\
B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{l}\right) ; i=l-1, l, l+1 \\
B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{l+p}\right) ; i=l+p-1, l+p, l+p+1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The right hand side of (3.2) is:

$$
\gamma=\left[R\left(\xi_{1}\right), \ldots, R\left(\xi_{l-1}\right), \alpha, R\left(\xi_{l+1}\right), \ldots, R\left(\xi_{l+p-1}\right), \beta, R\left(\xi_{l+p+1}\right), \ldots, R\left(\xi_{n+2}\right)\right]
$$

Lemma 3.2. (see [11, p. 23]) For each $l>0$, and $x \in[0,1]$, we have $B_{i, l}(x) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, l}^{\prime}(x)=l\left[\frac{B_{i, l-1}(x)}{\xi_{i+l-2}-\xi_{i-2}}-\frac{B_{i+1, l-1}(x)}{\xi_{i+l-1}-\xi_{i-1}}\right] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

First I prove the next lemmas:
Lemma 3.3. For each $x \in[0,1], B_{i, 3}(x) \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}(x) & =3!\left[\frac{B_{i, 1}(x)}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)}-\right.  \tag{3.4a}\\
& -\frac{B_{i+1,1}(x)\left(h_{i-2}+2 h_{i-1}+2 h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}\right)\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i}+h_{i-1}\right)}+  \tag{3.4b}\\
& \left.+\frac{B_{i+2,1}(x)}{\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i}+h_{i-1}\right)\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)}\right], \tag{3.4c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
B_{i, 1}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{x-\xi_{i-2}}{h_{i-2}}, \text { if } \xi_{i-2} \leq x<\xi_{i-1} \\
\frac{\xi_{i-}-x}{h_{i-1}}, \text { if } \xi_{i-1} \leq x<\xi_{i} \\
0, \quad \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. For $l=3$ we obtain from (3.3)

$$
B_{i, 3}^{\prime}(x)=3\left[\frac{B_{i, 2}(x)}{h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}}-\frac{B_{i+1,2}(x)}{\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i}+h_{i-1}\right.}\right]
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}(x)=3\left[\frac{B_{i, 2}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}}-\frac{B_{i+1,2}^{\prime}(x)}{h_{i+1}+h_{i}+h_{i-1}}\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using again (3.3) for $l=2$, it results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{i, 2}^{\prime}(x)=2\left[\frac{B_{i, 1}(x)}{h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}}-\frac{B_{i+1,1}(x)}{h_{i}+h_{i-1}}\right]  \tag{3.6}\\
& B_{i+1,2}^{\prime}(x)=2\left[\frac{B_{i+1,1}(x)}{h_{i}+h_{i-1}}-\frac{B_{i+2,1}(x)}{h_{i+1}+h_{i}}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.5), I obtain (3.4a),
Lemma 3.4. For every $i=0,1, \ldots, n+1$, it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{h^{2}}{3 H^{2}} & <B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{i}\right)<\frac{H^{2}}{3 h^{2}}  \tag{3.8}\\
-\frac{2}{h^{2}} & <B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)<-\frac{2}{H^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By substituting $\xi_{i}$ into (2.6) I obtain:

$$
B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i}\right)}\left[\frac{h_{i}\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)}+\frac{h_{i-1}\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i}\right)}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i+1}\right)}\right]
$$

But since

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \leq h_{i} \leq H, \text { for every } i=0,1, \ldots, n \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain (3.8). Also substituting $\xi_{i}$ into (3.4a) we have:

$$
B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=-\frac{1}{\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)}+\frac{1}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i+1}\right)}\right]
$$

Using again (3.10), it results (3.9).
Lemma 3.5. If $Q(x)<-1$ for all $x \in[0,1]$, then the elements of the matrix $A$ are strictly positive.
Proof. From (2.8)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{l}\right)>0 ; i=l-1, l, l+1 \\
& B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{l+p}\right)>0 ; i=l+p-1, l+p, l+p+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## A COLLOCATION METHOD USING CUBIC B-SPLINES FUNCTIONS

## Using (3.4a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i-1}\right) & =\frac{3!}{\left(h_{i}+h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)\left(h_{i-1}+h_{i-2}\right)}>0, \\
B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i+1}\right) & =\frac{3!}{\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i}+h_{i-1}\right)\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

and:

$$
Q(x)<0, B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{i-1}\right)>0, B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)>0 \text { then } f_{i}\left(\xi_{i-1}\right)>0, f_{i}\left(\xi_{i+1}\right)>0
$$

Also since

$$
f_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-Q_{i} \cdot B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{i}\right)
$$

it follows:
If $Q_{i}<\frac{B_{i, 3}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)}{B_{i, 3}\left(\xi_{i}\right)}<-\frac{2}{H^{2}} \frac{3 H^{2}}{h^{2}}<-\frac{1}{h^{2}}<-1$; then for all $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n: f_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)>0$

Lemma 3.6. If $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$ is a square tridiagonal matrix with all elements strict positive then $A$ is monotone.

Proof. By hypothesis $a_{i, i-1}>0 ; a_{i, i}>0 ; a_{i, i+1}>0$ then, cf. Theorem 2.7, the matrix $A$ is irreducible, and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i, i-1}+a_{i, i}+a_{i, i+1}>0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reductio ad absurdum.I assume that there exists a vector $z$ with a negative component $z_{q}<0$ but such $A z \geq 0$. This assumption is equivalent to assuming that $A$ is not monotone.I shall show that this contradicts the assumption that $A$ is irreducible. Denote by $W:=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $e$ the vector whose components are all 1. Then from (3.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \cdot e>0, A \cdot e \neq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sum of two nonnegative vectors is nonnegative, it follows that for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda A z+(1-\lambda) A e=A[\lambda z+(1-\lambda) e]>0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the vector $w_{\lambda}=\lambda z+(1-\lambda) e$ as a function of $\lambda$.For $\lambda=0$ all components $w_{\lambda}$ are positive, namely +1 . For $\lambda=1$ there is a least one negative component, namely $z_{q}, q \in W$. The components of $w_{\lambda}$ are continuous functions of $\lambda$. Since $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, at least one component of $w_{\lambda}$ must pass thought the value 0 . Let $\delta$ the smallest value of $\lambda$ such that $w_{\lambda}$ has a zero component $(0<\delta<1)$. Now let $S$ be a set of indices of zero components of $w_{\lambda}$ and let $T=W-S$. (By construction,
$S \neq \Phi, T \neq \Phi)$. For if all components of $w_{\lambda}$ were zero, then the vectors $z$ and $e$ would be proportional:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=-\frac{\delta}{1-\delta} z \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from $A z \geq 0$ it would followed that:

$$
A e=-\frac{\delta}{1-\delta} A z \leq 0
$$

contradicting (3.12). By (3.13), $A w_{\delta} \geq 0$, so in particular, if $i \in S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A w_{\delta}\right)_{i}=\sum_{j \in T} a_{i}{ }_{j} w_{\delta}{ }_{j} \geq 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

by construction $w_{\delta}{ }_{j}>0$, if $j \in T$. In view of $a_{i, j}>0$ if $j \in T$, (3.15) is thus possible if $a_{i, i-1}=a_{i, i}=a_{i, i+1}=0$. Then $A$ is reducible, contradicting our assumption, that implies $A$ is monotone.
Theorem 3.7. If $Q(x)<-1$ the system(3.2) has a unique solution.
Proof. Using above lemmas the system matrix $A$ is monotone. By Theorem $2.8 A$ is nonsingular and moreover $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$.

To solve the system (3.2), I use Crout Reduction for Tridiagonal Linear Systems Algorithm (see [5, pp. 336-340]). This algorithm requires only ( $5 n-4$ ) multiplications/divisions and $(3 n-3)$ addition/subtractions, and consequently it has considerable computational advantages over the methods that do not consider the tridiagonality of the matrix, especially for large values of $n$.

### 3.2. Error analysis. I recall ([2, pp. 58-62]):

Theorem 3.8. If the exact solution of (PVP) $y(x) \in C^{2}[0,1]$, then there exists a $B$-spline $B(x) \in S(\bar{\Delta})$ determined locally as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\xi_{i-2} \leq x \leq \xi_{i+2}}\left|y(x)-B_{i}(x)\right|:=\left\|y-B_{i}\right\|_{\left[\xi_{i-2}, \xi_{i+2]}\right.} \leq K \cdot H_{1}^{2} \cdot\left\|y^{(2)}\right\|_{\left[\xi_{i-2}, \xi_{i+2]}\right.} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{1}:=\max \left\{h_{i-2}, h_{i-1}, h_{i}, h_{i+1}\right\}$ and $K$ is a real constant independent of $\bar{\Delta}$ and $y(x)$.

Since the points of $\bar{\Delta}$, except $\xi_{l}=a$ and $\xi_{l+p}=b$ are the roots of the $k$ th Legendre polynomial, the orthogonality relation

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \rho(t) \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(t-\rho_{j}\right) d t=0
$$

holds for all polynomials $\rho(t)$ of degree $q(2 \leq q \leq k)$, and then the superconvergence occurs at the meshpoints:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{(j)}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-u_{\bar{\Delta}}^{(j)}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|=\mathbb{O}\left(H^{k+q}\right) ; 0 \leq i \leq n+2,0 \leq j \leq 1 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [1], [4]). I use as collocation points the Gaussian points taking $q=k$. Then the superconvergence of my method at the meshpoints $\xi_{i}, i \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, n+2\} \backslash\{l, l+p\}$ is assured.

$$
\left|y^{(j)}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-u_{\bar{\Delta}}^{(j)}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|=\mathbb{O}\left(H^{2 k}\right) ; 0 \leq i \leq n+2,0 \leq j \leq 1
$$

Since $Q(x) \in C^{1}[0,1]$, then there exists $N=\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}|Q(x)|$ such that

$$
\left|y^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-u_{\bar{\Delta}}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right| \leq N\left|y\left(\xi_{i}\right)-u_{\bar{\Delta}}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right|=N \cdot \mathbb{O}\left(H^{2 k}\right) .
$$

In $\xi_{l}=a, \xi_{l+p}=b \operatorname{cf}(3.16)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|y\left(\xi_{l}\right)-B_{i}\left(\xi_{l}\right)\right|_{\left[\xi_{l-2}, \xi_{l+2]}\right.} \leq K_{1} \cdot H^{2} \cdot\left\|y^{(2)}\right\|_{\left[\xi_{l-2}, \xi_{l+2]}\right.} \\
&\left|y\left(\xi_{l+p}\right)-B_{i}\left(\xi_{l+p}\right)\right|_{\left[\xi_{l+p-2}, \xi_{l+p+2]}\right.} \leq K_{1} \cdot H^{2} \cdot\left\|y^{(2)}\right\|_{\left[\xi_{l+p-2}, \xi_{l+p+2]}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{1}, K_{2}$ are constants, independent of $\bar{\Delta}$ and $y(x)$. It follows that my method is superconvergent of order $\mathbb{O}\left(H^{2}\right)$.
3.3. Numerical examples. I shall give one example. For this example, I plot the approximate solution, error in semilogarithmic scale and I generate the execution profile with the pair profile - showprofile, see ([6]).

I want to approximate the oscillating solution of the following problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{\prime \prime}(t)-50 \cdot Z(t)=\sin (t) ; 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)=\frac{1}{49} \frac{-\sin \left(\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{6}\right) \sin 1+\sin \frac{1}{6} \sin (5 \sqrt{2})}{\sin (5 \sqrt{2})}  \tag{3.19}\\
& Z\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)=\frac{1}{49} \frac{-\sin \left(\frac{15 \sqrt{2}}{4}\right) \sin 1+\sin \frac{3}{4} \sin (5 \sqrt{2})}{\sin (5 \sqrt{2})}
\end{align*}
$$

The exact solution provided by dsolve is:

$$
Z(t)=\frac{1}{49} \frac{-\sin (5 \sqrt{2} t) \sin 1+\sin t \sin (5 \sqrt{2})}{\sin (5 \sqrt{2})}
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{1}|Q(x)| d x>4
$$

due to disconjugate criteria given by Lyapunov (1893), the problem (3.18) has an oscillatory solution. I used Maple 8 to solve the problem exactly and to approximate the solution, for $n=10$ and $k=3$. I obtained a very good approximation, but I must increase the number of decimals with Maple command:
> Digits := 18;

If I use a method based on orthogonal polynomials, for example first kind Chebyshev polynomials, I observe that the B-spline method is faster and requires less memory. The reason is that for the B-spline method the matrix of the system that provides the coefficients is a band matrix with at most 3 nonzero elements per line, while for Chebyshev method the matrix is dense. This example with oscillating solution supports this conclusion (see for more details [9]).

Here are the profiles for the procedures genspline and genceb in the case of oscillating solution to problem (3.18):

| function | depth | calls | time | time | bytes | bytes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| genspline | 1 | 1 | 7.691 | 100.0 | 156424156 | 100.00 |
| genceb | 1 | 1 | 17115 | 100.0 | 156424156 | 100.00 |

The the graphs of approximate solution and the error in semilogarithmic scale are given in Figure 2 and Figure 3, respectively.


Figure 2. Approximate solution $n=10, k=3$


Figure 3. Error plot, $n=10, k=3$
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