# HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN $J C^{*}$-ALGEBRAS 

## CHUN-GIL PARK AND THEMISTOCLES M. RASSIAS


#### Abstract

It is shown that every almost linear mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of a $J C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ into a $J C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism when $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=$ $h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all unitaries $u \in \mathcal{A}$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that every almost linear continuous mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of a $J C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of real rank zero to a $J C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism when $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=$ $h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in\left\{v \in \mathcal{A} \mid v=v^{*},\|v\|=1, v\right.$ is invertible $\}$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We moreover prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms in $J C^{*}$-algebras. This concept of stability of mappings was introduced for the first time by Th.M. Rassias in his paper [On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297-300].


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces with norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|$, respectively. Consider $f: X \rightarrow Y$ to be a mapping such that $f(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in X$. Th.M. Rassias [26] introduced the following inequality, that is known as Cauchy-Rassias inequality: Assume that there exist constants $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $p \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \epsilon\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Th.M. Rassias [26] showed that there exists a unique $\mathbb{R}$-linear mapping $T: X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{2 \epsilon}{2-2^{p}}\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in X$. This inequality has provided a lot of influence in the development of what is called generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations. Beginning around the year 1980 the topic of approximate homomorphisms, or the stability of the equation of homomorphism, was studied by a number of mathematicians. Găvruta [7] generalized the Rassias' result in the following form: Let $G$ be an abelian group and $Y$ a Banach space. Denote by $\varphi: G \times G \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ a function such that

$$
\widetilde{\varphi}(x, y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}} \varphi\left(2^{k} x, 2^{k} y\right)<\infty
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Suppose that $f: G \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping satisfying

$$
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y)
$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T: G \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(x, x)
$$

for all $x \in G$. C. Park [15] applied the Găvruta's result to linear functional equations in Banach modules over a $C^{*}$-algebra. Various functional equations have been investigated by several authors ([1], [3]-[6], [8]-[12], [16]-[25], [27]-[32]).

Throughout this paper, let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $J C^{*}$-algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and unit $e$, and $\mathcal{B}$ a $J C^{*}$-algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and unit $e^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{u \in \mathcal{A} \mid u u^{*}=u^{*} u=e\right\}$, $\mathcal{A}_{s a}=\left\{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid x=x^{*}\right\}$, and $I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)=\left\{v \in \mathcal{A}_{s a} \mid\|v\|=1, v\right.$ is invertible $\}$.

Using the stability methods of linear mappings, we prove that every almost linear mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism when $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that for a $J C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of real rank zero (see [2]), every almost linear continuous mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism when $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We moreover prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms in $J C^{*}$-algebras.

## 2. Homomorphisms between $J C^{*}$-algebras

The original motivation to introduce the class of nonassociative algebras known as Jordan algebras came from quantum mechanics (see [33]). Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a
complex Hilbert space, regarded as the "state space" of a quantum mechanical system. Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the real vector space of all bounded self-adjoint linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$, interpreted as the (bounded) observables of the system. In 1932, Jordan observed that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a (nonassociative) algebra via the anticommutator product $x \circ y:=\frac{x y+y x}{2}$. A commutative algebra $X$ with product $x \circ y$ is called a Jordan algebra if $x^{2} \circ(x \circ y)=x \circ\left(x^{2} \circ y\right)$ holds.

A complex Jordan algebra $\mathcal{C}$ with product $x \circ y$ and involution $x \mapsto x^{*}$ is called a $J B^{*}$-algebra if $\mathcal{C}$ carries a Banach space norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying $\|x \circ y\| \leq\|x\| \cdot\|y\|$ and $\left\|\left\{x x^{*} x\right\}\right\|=\|x\|^{3}$. Here $\left\{x y^{*} z\right\}:=x \circ\left(y^{*} \circ z\right)-y^{*} \circ(z \circ x)+z \circ\left(x \circ y^{*}\right)$ denotes the Jordan triple product of $x, y, z \in \mathcal{C}$. A unital Jordan $C^{*}$-subalgebra of a $C^{*}$-algebra, endowed with the anticommutator product, is called a $J C^{*}$-algebra (see [23]-[25], [33]).

We investigate homomorphisms between $J C^{*}$-algebras.
Theorem 1. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ\right.$ $y)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\varphi}(x, y):=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{j}} \varphi\left(2^{j} x, 2^{j} y\right)<\infty  \tag{2.1}\\
\|h(\mu x+\mu y)-\mu h(x)-\mu h(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}| | \lambda \mid=1\}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}=e^{\prime} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism.
Proof. Put $\mu=1 \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$. It follows from Găvruta Theorem [7] that there exists a unique additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(x)-H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(x, x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. The additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$
H(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
By the assumption, for each $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$,

$$
\left\|h\left(2^{n} \mu x\right)-2 \mu h\left(2^{n-1} x\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(2^{n-1} x, 2^{n-1} x\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. One can show that

$$
\left\|\mu h\left(2^{n} x\right)-2 \mu h\left(2^{n-1} x\right)\right\| \leq|\mu| \cdot\left\|h\left(2^{n} x\right)-2 h\left(2^{n-1} x\right)\right\| \leq \varphi\left(2^{n-1} x, 2^{n-1} x\right)
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|h\left(2^{n} \mu x\right)-\mu h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|h\left(2^{n} \mu x\right)-2 \mu h\left(2^{n-1} x\right)\right\|+\left\|2 \mu h\left(2^{n-1} x\right)-\mu h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \varphi\left(2^{n-1} x, 2^{n-1} x\right)+\varphi\left(2^{n-1} x, 2^{n-1} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus $2^{-n}\left\|h\left(2^{n} \mu x\right)-\mu h\left(2^{n} x\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\mu x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} \mu x\right)}{2^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu h\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{n}}=\mu H(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
Now let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(\lambda \neq 0)$ and $M$ an integer greater than $4|\lambda|$. Then $\left|\frac{\lambda}{M}\right|<\frac{1}{4}<$ $1-\frac{2}{3}=\frac{1}{3}$. By [13], Theorem 1, there exist three elements $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3} \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ such that $3 \frac{\lambda}{M}=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}$. So by $(2.5)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\lambda x) & =H\left(\frac{M}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x\right)=M \cdot H\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x\right)=\frac{M}{3} H\left(3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x\right) \\
& =\frac{M}{3} H\left(\mu_{1} x+\mu_{2} x+\mu_{3} x\right)=\frac{M}{3}\left(H\left(\mu_{1} x\right)+H\left(\mu_{2} x\right)+H\left(\mu_{3} x\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{M}{3}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}\right) H(x)=\frac{M}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} H(x) \\
& =\lambda H(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence

$$
H(\zeta x+\eta y)=H(\zeta x)+H(\eta y)=\zeta H(x)+\eta H(y)
$$

for all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}(\zeta, \eta \neq 0)$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. We have that $H(0 x)=0=0 H(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. So the unique additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping.

Since $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u \circ y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)=H(u) \circ h(y) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. By the additivity of $H$ and (2.6),

$$
2^{n} H(u \circ y)=H\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=H\left(u \circ\left(2^{n} y\right)\right)=H(u) \circ h\left(2^{n} y\right)
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u \circ y)=\frac{1}{2^{n}} H(u) \circ h\left(2^{n} y\right)=H(u) \circ \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} y\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Taking the limit in (2.7) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u \circ y)=H(u) \circ H(y) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $H$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear and each $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is a finite linear combination of unitary elements (see [14], Theorem 4.1.7), i.e., $x=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\left(\lambda_{j} \in\right.$ $\mathbb{C}, u_{j} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$ ), it follows from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x \circ y) & =H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j} \circ y\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(u_{j} \circ y\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} H\left(u_{j}\right) \circ H(y)=H\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_{j} u_{j}\right) \circ H(y) \\
& =H(x) \circ H(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.
By (2.3) and (2.6),

$$
H(y)=H(e \circ y)=H(e) \circ h(y)=e^{\prime} \circ h(y)=h(y)
$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$.
Therefore, the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism, as desired.
Corollary 2. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ\right.$ $y)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\|h(\mu x+\mu y)-\mu h(x)-\mu h(y)\| \leq \theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}=e^{\prime}$. Then the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism.
Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y)=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ and apply Theorem 1 .
Theorem 3. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ\right.$ $y)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{A})$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.3) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(\mu x+\mu y)-\mu h(x)-\mu h(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mu=1, i$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. If $h(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism.
Proof. Put $\mu=1$ in (2.9). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (2.4).

By the same reasoning as in the proof of [26], Theorem, the additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear.

Put $\mu=i$ in (2.9). By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 1, one can obtain that

$$
H(i x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} i x\right)}{2^{n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i h\left(2^{n} x\right)}{2^{n}}=i H(x)
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
For each element $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda=s+i t$, where $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(\lambda x) & =H(s x+i t x)=s H(x)+t H(i x)=s H(x)+i t H(x)=(s+i t) H(x) \\
& =\lambda H(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus

$$
H(\zeta x+\eta y)=H(\zeta x)+H(\eta y)=\zeta H(x)+\eta H(y)
$$

for all $\zeta, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$, and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence the additive mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.
The rest of the proof is the same as in the proof of Theorem 1.

From now on, assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $J C^{*}$-algebra of real rank zero, where "real rank zero" means that the set of invertible self-adjoint elements is dense in the set of self-adjoint elements (see [2]).

Now we investigate continuous homomorphisms between $J C^{*}$-algebras.
Theorem 4. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continuous mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\text {sa }}\right)$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). Then the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (2.4).

Since $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u \circ y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)=H(u) \circ h(y) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. By the additivity of $H$ and (2.10),

$$
2^{n} H(u \circ y)=H\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=H\left(u \circ\left(2^{n} y\right)\right)=H(u) \circ h\left(2^{n} y\right)
$$

for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u \circ y)=\frac{1}{2^{n}} H(u) \circ h\left(2^{n} y\right)=H(u) \circ \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} y\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Taking the limit in (2.11) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(u \circ y)=H(u) \circ H(y) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$.
By (2.3) and (2.10),

$$
H(y)=H(e \circ y)=H(e) \circ h(y)=e^{\prime} \circ h(y)=h(y)
$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. So $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous. But by the assumption that $\mathcal{A}$ has real rank zero, it is easy to show that $I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$ is dense in $\left\{x \in A_{s a} \mid\|x\|=1\right\}$. So for each $w \in\left\{z \in \mathcal{A}_{s a} \mid\|z\|=1\right\}$, there is a sequence $\left\{\kappa_{j}\right\}$ such that $\kappa_{j} \rightarrow w$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$
and $\kappa_{j} \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{s a}\right)$. Since $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous, it follows from (2.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
H(w \circ y) & =H\left(\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{j} \circ y\right)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} H\left(\kappa_{j} \circ y\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} H\left(\kappa_{j}\right) \circ H(y)=H\left(\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_{j}\right) \circ H(y) \\
& =H(w) \circ H(y)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $w \in\left\{z \in \mathcal{A}_{s a} \mid\|z\|=1\right\}$ and all $y \in \mathcal{A}$.
For each $x \in \mathcal{A}, x=\frac{x+x^{*}}{2}+i \frac{x-x^{*}}{2 i}$, where $x_{1}:=\frac{x+x^{*}}{2}$ and $x_{2}:=\frac{x-x^{*}}{2 i}$ are self-adjoint.

First, consider the case that $x_{1} \neq 0, x_{2} \neq 0$. Since $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, it follows from (2.13) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x \circ y) & =H\left(x_{1} \circ y+i x_{2} \circ y\right)=H\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\| \frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|} \circ y+i\left\|x_{2}\right\| \frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|} \circ y\right) \\
& =\left\|x_{1}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|} \circ y\right)+i\left\|x_{2}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|} \circ y\right) \\
& =\left\|x_{1}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|}\right) \circ H(y)+i\left\|x_{2}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|}\right) \circ H(y) \\
& =\left\{H\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\| \frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|}\right)+i H\left(\left\|x_{2}\right\| \frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|}\right)\right\} \circ H(y)=H\left(x_{1}+i x_{2}\right) \circ H(y) \\
& =H(x) \circ H(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$.
Next, consider the case that $x_{1} \neq 0, x_{2}=0$. Since $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, it follows from (2.13) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x \circ y) & =H\left(x_{1} \circ y\right)=H\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\| \frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|} \circ y\right)=\left\|x_{1}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|} \circ y\right) \\
& =\left\|x_{1}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|}\right) \circ H(y)=H\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\| \frac{x_{1}}{\left\|x_{1}\right\|}\right) \circ H(y)=H\left(x_{1}\right) \circ H(y) \\
& =H(x) \circ H(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Finally, consider the case that $x_{1}=0, x_{2} \neq 0$. Since $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, it follows from (2.13) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x \circ y) & =H\left(i x_{2} \circ y\right)=H\left(i\left\|x_{2}\right\| \frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|} \circ y\right)=i\left\|x_{2}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|} \circ y\right) \\
& =i\left\|x_{2}\right\| H\left(\frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|}\right) \circ H(y)=H\left(i\left\|x_{2}\right\| \frac{x_{2}}{\left\|x_{2}\right\|}\right) \circ H(y)=H\left(i x_{2}\right) \circ H(y) \\
& =H(x) \circ H(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence

$$
H(x \circ y)=H(x) \circ H(y)
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$.
Therefore, the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism, as desired.
Corollary 5. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continuous mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\text {sa }}\right)$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\|h(\mu x+\mu y)-\mu h(x)-\mu h(y)\| \leq \theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. Assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} e\right)}{2^{n}}=e^{\prime}$. Then the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism.
Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y)=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right)$ and apply Theorem 4.
Theorem 6. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a continuous mapping satisfying $h(0)=0$ and $h\left(2^{n} u \circ y\right)=h\left(2^{n} u\right) \circ h(y)$ for all $u \in I_{1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\text {sa }}\right)$, all $y \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.1), (2.3) and (2.9). Then the mapping $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (2.4).

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 4.

## 3. Stability of homomorphisms in $J C^{*}$-algebras

In this section, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of homomorphisms in $J C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 7. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping with $h(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{4} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\varphi}(x, y, z, w):=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \varphi\left(2^{j} x, 2^{j} y, 2^{j} z, 2^{j} w\right)<\infty  \tag{3.1}\\
\|h(\mu x+\mu y+z \circ w)-\mu h(x)-\mu h(y)-h(z) \circ h(w)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w) \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h(x)-H(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\varphi}(x, x, 0,0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Put $z=w=0$ in (3.2). By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1 , there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (3.3). The $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{n}} h\left(2^{n} x\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
Let $x=y=0$ in (3.2). Then we get

$$
\|h(z \circ w)-h(z) \circ h(w)\| \leq \varphi(0,0, z, w)
$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2^{2 n}} \varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} z, 2^{n} w\right) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} z, 2^{n} w\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2^{2 n}}\left\|h\left(2^{n} z \circ 2^{n} w\right)-h\left(2^{n} z\right) \circ h\left(2^{n} w\right)\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2^{2 n}} \varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} z, 2^{n} w\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2^{n}} \varphi\left(0,0,2^{n} z, 2^{n} w\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. By (3.1) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(z \circ w) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{2 n} z \circ w\right)}{2^{2 n}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} z \circ 2^{n} w\right)}{2^{n} \cdot 2^{n}} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{h\left(2^{n} z\right)}{2^{n}} \circ \frac{h\left(2^{n} w\right)}{2^{n}}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} z\right)}{2^{n}} \circ \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(2^{n} w\right)}{2^{n}} \\
& =H(z) \circ H(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence the $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism satisfying (3.3), as desired.

Corollary 8. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping with $h(0)=0$ for which there exist constants $\theta \geq 0$ and $p \in[0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| h(\mu x+\mu y+z \circ w) & -\mu h(x)-\mu h(y)-h(z) \circ h(w) \| \\
& \leq \theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}+\|w\|^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. Then there exists a unique homomorphism $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
\|h(x)-H(x)\| \leq \frac{2 \theta}{2-2^{p}}\|x\|^{p}
$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$.
Proof. Define $\varphi(x, y, z, w)=\theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}+\|z\|^{p}+\|w\|^{p}\right)$ and apply Theorem 7.
Theorem 9. Let $h: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a mapping with $h(0)=0$ for which there exists a function $\varphi: \mathcal{A}^{4} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfying (3.1) such that

$$
\|h(\mu x+\mu y+z \circ w)-\mu h(x)-\mu h(y)-h(z) \circ h(w)\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z, w)
$$

for $\mu=1, i$ and all $x, y, z, w \in \mathcal{A}$. If $h(t x)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for each fixed $x \in \mathcal{A}$, then there exists a unique homomorphism $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (3.3).

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3, there exists a unique $\mathbb{C}$-linear mapping $H: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying (3.3).

The rest of the proof is the same as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 7.
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